## CHINESE TAIPEI \& NEW ZEALAND TIED IN OPEN CHINA HOLDS NARROW LEAD IN LADIES INDONESIA LEADS YOUTH SERIES

| Rank | Open Series | VPs | Ladies Series | VPs | Youth Series | VPs |
| :---: | :---: | ---: | :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | CHINESE TAIPEI | 155 | CHINA | 149 | INDONESIA | 97 |
| 2 | NEW ZEALAND | 155 | AUSTRALIA | 147 | AUSTRALIA | 82 |
| 3 | CHINA | 143 | JAPAN | 122 | JAPAN | 78 |
| 4 | JAPAN | 127 | INDONESIA | 122 | CHINA HONG KONG | 76 |
| 5 | CHINA HONG KONG | 120 | NEW ZEALAND | 94 | CHINESE TAIPEI | 61 |
| 6 | INDONESIA | 118 | CHINESE TAIPEI | 41 | SINGAPORE | 56 |
| 7 | AUSTRALIA | 110 | KOREA | 40 |  |  |
| 8 | MALAYSIA | 110 |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | MACAU | 105 |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | PHILIPPINES | 93 |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | SINGAPORE | 85 |  |  |  |  |

## PABF SCHEDULE/TIMES

| Day | Date | Time | Event |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Monday | May 18 | 11:30-14:30 | $1^{\text {st }}$ RR Match $9(\mathrm{O}) 2^{\text {nd }}$ RR Match 2 (L) 1 (Y) |
|  |  | 15:00-18:00 | $1^{\text {st }}$ RR Match $10(\mathrm{O}) 2^{\text {nd }}$ RR Match $3(\mathrm{~L}) 2(\mathrm{Y})$ |
|  |  | 20:00-23:00 | $1{ }^{\text {st }}$ RR Match 11 (O) $2^{\text {nd }}$ RR Match 3 (Y) |
|  |  | 11:00-14:30 | Continuous Pairs 1 |
| Tuesday | May 19 | 11:30-14:30 | ${ }^{\text {2nd }}$ RR Match 1 (O) 4 (L,Y) |
|  |  | 15:00-18:00 | ${ }^{\text {2nd }}$ RR Match 2 (O) 5 (L,Y) |
|  |  | 20:00-23:00 | ${ }^{\text {2nd }}$ RR Match 3 (O) 6 (L) |
|  |  | 11:00-14:30 | Continuous Pairs 2 |
| O=Open; L=Ladies; $\mathrm{Y}=$ Youth |  |  |  |

## YESTERDAY'S MATCH RESULTS

| OPEN Series (RR 1) - Match 6 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AUSTRALIA | (11) 7-23 (52) | CHINA |
| PHILIPPINES | (69) 21 - 9 (39) | CHINA HONG KONG |
| JAPAN | (73) 24-6 (31) | MACAU |
| NEW ZEALAND | (66) $20-10$ (40) | INDONESIA |
| CHINESE TAIPEI | (66) 20-10 (44) | MALAYSIA |
| LADIES Series (RR 1) - Match 6 |  |  |
| NEW ZEALAND | (35) 15-15 (33) | INDONESIA |
| KOREA | (43) 16-14 (40) | CHINESE TAIPEI |
| CHINA | (87) 25-2 (16) | JAPAN |
| YOUTH Series (RR 1) - Match 4 |  |  |
| SINGAPORE | (70) 11-19 (89) | JAPAN |
| CHINESE TAIPEI | (16) 8-22 (52) | CHINA HONG KONG |
| AUSTRALIA | (40) 8-22 (76) | INDONESIA |

## RR 1- MATCH 6 (O,L) 4 (Y): IN BRIEF

## Open Event:

China won the swing boards eleven to four to send Australia a message. The Philippines harvested five double-digit swings against only two for their opponents to hand China Hong Kong their third defeat in four matches. Making a move upward in the standings, Japan won twelve of the sixteen swing boards and their match against Macau. New Zealand took five of the seven double-digit swings in their contest to send a strong Indonesian team reeling from their third defeat in a row. And Chinese Taipei had the best of all the smaller swings in their contest to take the decision over Malaysia.

## Women's Event:

Two close contests and one blowout marked Match 6 of the Ladies' event. In what turned out to be close contests all the way, New Zealand battled Indonesia to a draw and Korea outscored Chinese Taipei by just enough to eke out the smallest possible win. In the mean time Japan won one of the most lopsided victories we've seen yet over favorite China when they won sixteen boards outright, while losing IMPs on only two others.

## Youth Event:

Japan Youth, not to be outdone by their elders in the Open event, made their own bid to move up in the standings by defeating bottom-of-the-heap Singapore. Meanwhile China Hong Kong was making their own bid for bigger and better things by dispatching Chinese Taipei handily. And finally, second-place Indonesia took the lead away from first-place Australia, who were just 3 VPs ahead going into the contest. Watch out for those Indonesian kids.

| OPEN Series (RR 1) - Match 7 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CHINA | (65) 21-9 (37) | SINGAPORE |
| MACAU | (30) 11-19 (49) | CHINA HONG KONG |
| INDONESIA | (66) 24-6 (21) | MALAYSIA |
| JAPAN | (84) 25-1 ( 7) | PHILIPPINES |
| NEW ZEALAND | (90) 25-2 (21) | CHINESE TAIPEI |
| LADIES Series (RR 1) - Match 7 |  |  |
| INDONESIA | (83) 25-3 (21) | KOREA |
| NEW ZEALAND | (41) 18-12 (25) | CHINESE TAIPEI |
| CHINA | (25) 10-20 (47) | AUSTRALIA |
| YOUTH Series (RR 1) - Match 5 |  |  |
| JAPAN | (54) 17-13 (44) | CHINA HONG KONG |
| SINGAPORE | (61) 19-11 (43) | AUSTRALIA |
| CHINESE TAIPEI | (38) 15-15 (40) | INDONESIA |

## RR1— MATCH 7 ( $\mathbf{O}, \mathrm{L}$ ) 5 (Y): IN BRIEF

## Open Event:

When you win the big (double-digit) swings five to two, you stand a fair chance to win, and so China pounded lowly Singapore even lower in the standings, and furthered their own bid for the top spot.
China Hong Kong overcame Macau without too much trouble, in a contest that saw few large swings. Indonesia halted their failing fortunes by crushing Malaysia, handing them their second defeat in a row. The hometown folks had much to bow and grin about, even from their position looking up at most of the field, as Japan scored a resounding victory over The Philippines. But the biggest result in Match 7 was New Zealand's stunning defeat of the leaders, Chinese Taipei, making the race much closer than it figured to be after yesterday's sessions.

## Women's Event:

Twelve to four in swing boards ain't bad; just ask the Indonesian women. They dispatched Korea just that way, to send the peninsula-based ladies further toward the bottom of the standings. New Zealand lost the board-a-match contest with Chinese Taipei six to eight, but won the IMP contest handily. Australia won ten of the fifteen swings in their contest with China, in their bid to reassume their place atop the standings.

## Youth's Event:

Japan won a modest victory over China Hong Kong, while Singapore stemmed the tide of their sinking fortunes by beating one of the leaders, Australia. Meanwhile, Chinese Taipei and Indonesia fought a swingling battle, in which only two boards were pushed, to a draw.

"Was that RDBL really necessary?"

| OPEN Series (RR 1) - Match 8 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MALAYSIA | $(72) 18-12(59)$ | CHINA |
| INDONESIA | $(70) 15-15(69)$ | CHINA HONG KONG |
| SINGAPORE | $(45) 8-22(81)$ | MACAU |
| CHINESE TAIPEI | $(98) 25-2(31)$ | PHSTRALIA |
| NEW ZEALAND | $(69) 25-5(18)$ | JAPAN |
| KOREA | LADIES Series (RR 2) - Match 1 | CHINESE TAIPEI |
| CHINA | $(12) 0-25(98)$ | NEW ZEALAND |
| INDONESIA | $(101) 25-2(29)$ | (73) $21-9(44)$ |

## RR1— MATCH 8 (0); RR2—MATCH 1 (L): IN BRIEF

## Open Event:

Malaysia scored a handy win over a China team that has been doing quite well and was lately on a substantial run. Indonesia and China Hong Kong fought to a push on Vu-Graph in a contest featuring the largest swing of the tournament. Macau handily dispatched fading Singapore. Chinese Taipei won seven of the nine double-digit swings in their match with Australia, handing the Aussies their worst loss so far. New Zealand also handed The Philippines another loss.

## Women's Event:

Japan handed Korea its second straight loss, winning by as huge a margin as we've seen so far. China won big, too, over Chinese Taipei, in a match that resembled Japan-Korea in the margin of victory. The Indonesian women continued to turn in surprisingly strong performances, this time dispatching the favored New Zealand team by a substantial margin.

| Vu-Graph Show Schedule |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Date (Day) | Time | Match | Date (Day) | Time | Match |
|  |  |  | May 21 (THU) | $\begin{aligned} & 15: 30 \\ & 20: 00 \end{aligned}$ | RR 2-8 RR 2-9 |
| May 18 (MON) | $\begin{aligned} & 15: 30 \\ & 20: 00 \end{aligned}$ | RR 1-10 <br> RR 1-11 | May 22 (FRI) | $\begin{aligned} & 12: 00 \\ & 15: 30 \\ & 20: 30 \end{aligned}$ | RR 2-10 <br> RR 2-11 <br> SF $1^{\text {st }}$ Qtr |
| May 19 (TUE) | $\begin{aligned} & 15: 30 \\ & 20: 00 \end{aligned}$ | RR 2-2 <br> RR 2-3 | May 23 (SAT) | $\begin{aligned} & 12: 00 \\ & 14: 40 \\ & 17: 20 \\ & 21: 10 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { SF } 2^{\text {nd }} \text { Qtr } \\ \text { SF } 3^{\text {rd }} \mathrm{Qtr} \\ \text { SF } 4^{\text {th }} \mathrm{Qtr} \\ \text { FINAL } 1^{\text {st }} \mathrm{Qtr} \end{gathered}$ |
| May 20 (WED) | $\begin{aligned} & 15: 30 \\ & 20: 00 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RR 2-5 } \\ & \text { RR 2-6 } \end{aligned}$ | May 24 (SUN) | $\begin{aligned} & 10: 30 \\ & 14: 20 \\ & 17: 00 \\ & \hline \hline \end{aligned}$ | FINAL $2^{\text {nd }}$ Qtr FINAL $3^{\text {rd }}$ Qtr FINAL $4^{\text {th }}$ Qtr |

## WIRE PALADIN, KOBE

1
Those of you out there reading these Bulletins on the Internet can contact us here (players, officials, even us editors) via e-mail. Just send your message to:


Make sure that your intended recipient is clearly specified (preferably in the message's "Subject," or "re" field). Unfortunately, we have no way of sending e-mail from here. (That's right. We can receive, but we can't send.) If your message is of an urgent nature and you need a response, make sure you provide a phone number or other means for contacting you.

## THE HUMBLE APOLOGY DEPARTMENT

It had to happen; Sunday's Bulletin contained a number of errors, some of which we might well have caught. Among the notable ones were actions debited to Benjamin Kwok, Greta Chai. And Foo Yoke Lan, who simply weren't in the seats in which we placed them at certain moments. Once again, we hate to get these things wrong; players may help to protect us from ourselves by sitting in their assigned seats. Not that the aforementioned did not; we believe that they were right and we were wrong. But you know what we mean.

And then there is the matter of the kiwi bird, whose roots seem to have been alluded to as vaguely Australian. Not our intention. After all, anyone could tell you that no self-respecting kiwi would hold himself out to be an Aussie. On the other hand, we have our next report...


Alleged Aussie sympathizer

## PABF CHAMPIONSHIPS ON THE INTERNET

We are happy to announce that our Daily Bulletins are available on the Internet. Call your family and friends and tell them to follow the adventures of some of the best players from Asia and the Pacific rim (including yourself) by surfing the net to the following address:
http://bridge.cplaza.ne.jp/pabf/index-e.html

## KURATANI TEAM TAKES KOBE CUP

Taeko Kuratani, Seiya Shimizu, Yoshiko Nishino and Ryoga Tanaka won a narrow victory in the Kobe Cup Teams yesterday. The winners finished with 101 VPs. Tied at 97 VPs, 4 VPs behind the winners, were Akihiko Yamada, Kyoko Ohno, Masayuki Ino and Tadashi Teramoto and Sei Nagasaka, Minoru Mizuta, Yasushi Kobayashi and Makoto Tanabe. Congratulations to all the competitors.


## DEFENSE IS THE NAME OF THE GAME

In the Kobe Cup, as in any bridge event, defense is the name of the game. Case in point:

| North <br> © KJ7 <br> 9762 <br> Q87 <br> 2 Q102 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| West <br> - 9865 |  | East <br> - AQ10 |  |
| $\bigcirc 83$ |  | $\bigcirc$ J5 |  |
| $\checkmark$ K4 |  | $\diamond$ A1052 |  |
| - AKJ95 |  | \& 8643 |  |
|  | South ¢ 432 $\checkmark$ AKQ $\checkmark$ J963 $\pm 7$ | 104 |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Kagari | Nakayama | Yasuyo | Zida |
|  |  |  | Pass |
| 1\% | Pass | $1 \diamond$ | 18 |
| 14 | Pass | 3\% | Pass |
| 38 | DBL | 34 | Pass |
| 4\% | Pass | 49 | All Pass |

E/W bid briskly to the "pushy" spade game. We guess if you're going to open the West hand, you might as well bid game when partner invites. Still, four-three fits don't usually produce game when your side barely has the majority of the high-cards.

North led a heart and South won to continue the suit. When everyone followed to two rounds, South shifted to his singleton club. Declarer now had no problem. He had entries to his hand in both clubs and diamonds to take the two necessary spade finesses, and the thirteenth trump remained to ruff a heart after he conceded a trick to the e .

South can try to give declarer more of a problem by continuing a third round of hearts at trick three, but declarer can prevail. He ruffs the third heart in hand, cashes the A, finesses the ten of trumps and then leads a club toward his hand.

If South pitches, declarer wins the king and concedes a club to North. Now no return can harm him. On a heart return declarer simply ruffs in hand, finesses trumps again, draws the remaining two trumps with the ace, and uses the $\triangleleft K$ to return to his established clubs. If South ruffs the second round of clubs, the club suit is now established and again declarer is in control. He can ruff the heart return in hand and continue as in the previous line.

## LESS EXPENSIVE, TASTES GOOD — LAUNDRY AND SUSHI, TOO

For those looking for a taste of Japan, without the typical Japanese bite on the pocketbook, the following food sources are located within a short walk of the hotel. We've tried several of them ourselves and can recommend them to the budget-minded among you.


The Family Mart, just in front of and to the West of the hotel, is a small convenience store with many items (soft drinks, ice cream, candy, chips, sundries, other food snacks, etc.) on which bridge players have been known to subsist - often for extended periods of time.

Two jumbo supermarkets are located across the monorail, to the North and West of the hotel. A third one, with excellent value sushi (go to fishery section - only $¥ 1.280$ for 8 pieces), is located on the ground floor of the Lausanne Hotel.

Fifteen restaurants are located in the Urban Gourmet Port. These range from Wendy's hamburgers and Vie de France to other more upscale (and expansive) eateries.

Of course, for those dining with the benefit of a generous expense account there's always the highpriced restaurants in the hotel (but see the special the Garden Cafe is offering, described on the next page); just don't say we didn't warn you. - Bon appetite!

Once you've eaten, if you're anything like your editors you'll need your laundry done. A good place to go is the laundry located outside of the Supermarkets, West of the monorail. The turnaround is only two days.

"You see Sartje, Franky doesn't like his bid much either."

## RECOGNITION FOR A JOB WELL-DONE!

Derek Maggs, Captain of the Malaysian Open Team, brought the following hand to our attention. It clearly rivals the 6 slam bid by Simon Wong and Daniel Chui, of Hong Kong Youth, reported in yesterday's Daily Bulletin. Today's auction, also a seven-round beauty, was conducted by Australia's Avon Wilsmore (West) and Hugh Grosvenor (East) against Derek and partner Alan Sia Chong Meng.

| Bd: 13 | North |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DIr: North | - J9864 |  |  |
| Vul: Both | $\bigcirc$ K8 |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ J102 |  |  |
|  | - 964 |  |  |
| West | East |  |  |
| ¢ 3 | - AQ10 |  |  |
| $\bigcirc$ J10943 | $\bigcirc 6$ |  |  |
| $\diamond$ KQ8764 | $4 \diamond$ A95 |  |  |
| - 3 | \& AKJ872 |  |  |
|  | South |  |  |
|  | ( K752 |  |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ AQ752 |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark 3$ |  |  |
|  | Q Q105 |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Wilsmore MaggsGrosv'n'r Meng |  |  |  |
|  | Pass Pass(1) 1ه(2) |  |  |
| $2 \diamond(3)$ | Pass 2¢(4) Pass |  |  |
| 2NT(5) | Pass 3\%(4) Pass |  |  |
| $3 \bigcirc(6)$ | DBL Pass(4) Pass |  |  |
| RDBL(7) | Pass 34(4) Pass |  |  |
| 3NT(8) | Pass 4\%(4) Pass |  |  |
| 44(9) | Pass 6 6 All Pass |  |  |

East's initial Pass(1) showed either a balanced 8-12 HCP with no 4-card major or any 17+ point hand.

South's $1 ه(2)$ bid showed a 5 -card suit and overcall values.

West's $2 \diamond(3)$ showed either 6+ clubs or 5+ diamonds and an undisclosed 5+-card major.

All of East's subsequent bids (4) were relays, showing nothing and requesting a further description of West's hand.

2NT(5) showed the diamond/heart hand-type.
$3 \bigcirc(6)$ showed 6+ diamonds and 5+ hearts.
RDBL(7) showed exactly 1-5-6-1 distribution.
$3 N T(8)$ showed 0 or 1 control ( $A=2, K=1$ ).
4(9) showed the king-queen of diamonds.
North led the $\odot \mathrm{K}$ and shifted to the $\$ 6$. When the clubs split three-three Wilsmore claimed his slam and validated the partnership's precision (small "p") auction. Well done!

Our thanks to Derek for reporting this hand for all of us to enjoy.

## STEAK OUT

The Garden Cafe, located on the $1^{\text {st }}$ floor of the Sheraton Hotel, is offering PABF members a super special: Soup of the day, sirloin steak with madeira wine sauce, bread, a green salad and coffee at a very special price. Normally $¥ 2.500$, this magnificent feast can be had all this week (May 18-22) for only $¥ 1.500$. The Cafe’s hours are from 11:30 to $21: 00$. At prices like this, you’d better get there quick before they run out of food.


## AN OZ EVENING: ROUND FIVE - vs SINGAPORE (O), JAPAN (W)

The fifth match of the PABF Championships started with a virtually impossible assignment for the N/S pairs. At least that's what your editors think ...

| Bd: 1 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dlr: North | AJ72 |  |  |
| Vul: None | $\bigcirc$--- |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \diamond \text { AKQ984 } \\ & \& . j 97 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| West E |  |  | East |
| - K65 |  |  | - 843 |
| ¢ K85 $¢$ |  |  | $\bigcirc$ Q643 |
| $\checkmark 10632$ - |  |  | $\checkmark$ J5 |
| + 863 |  |  | * Q1052 |
|  | South |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Q109 } \\ & \text { AJ10972 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Singapore vs Australia (0)Open Room |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Jacobs | Heng | Thmpsn | n Kow |
|  | 1 | Pass | 18 |
| Pass |  | Pass | 3NT/// |
|  | Closed Room |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Foo | Havas Ch |  | Travis |
|  | $1 \diamond$ | Pass | 18 |
| Pass | 14 | Pass | $3\rangle(\mathrm{FG})$ |
| Pass | 3NT/// |  |  |
| Japan vs Australia (W) |  |  |  |
| Open Room |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Bardon | Setogchi | Tully | Ota |
|  | $1 \checkmark$ | Pass | 18 |
| Pass | 14 | Pass | 2e(4SF) |
| Pass | 3 3 | Pass | 3 |
| Pass | 3NT/// |  |  |
|  | Closed | d Room |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Moriyma | Bourke | Naito | Beech |
|  | 1 | Pass | 18 |
| Pass | 14 | Pass | 2e(4SF) |
| Pass | 2 | Pass | 2 |
| Pass | 3 | Pass | 3NT/// |

Although Derrick Heng might have continued with $4 \diamond$ over Dennis Kow's atypical 3NT, it was hardly clear for him to do so. Although a forcing $3 \nu$ takes some of the strain off the fourth suit, one of its downsides appeared on this deal, where the bulky jump by Barbara Travis deprived her partner of valuable bidding space.
Liz Havas had an awkward bid and went with the percentages (we believe) when she bid 3NT.

The auctions in the Women's match were more promising. Nobuko Setoguchi was able to show six good diamonds with her third-round jump, but Hiroko Ota had an agenda of her own and the auction died at 3NT. Margaret Bourke and Lidia Beech were able to rebid their six cards at the two-level, which looks positive. However, $2 \curvearrowright$ might have been a five-card suit and the simple rebid implied no extra strength. At her fourth turn, Margaret had to choose between $3 \diamond$ and 3 and the best feature of her hand didn't really surface definitively.
$6 \diamond$ is very good, requiring three-three trumps (or jack-ten doubleton) or the spade finesse, with some obscure trump coup chances. Too tough.

```
Bd: \(2 \quad\) North
Dir: East J109
Vul: N/S \(\quad\) AJ9876
\(\diamond\) AJ
- K6
```

West
4 K53

- K1043
$\diamond 1063$
a J73

```
East
- 4
\(\bigcirc 52\)
\(\diamond\) K952
* AQ10984
South
AQ8762
\(\bigcirc\) Q
\(\diamond\) Q874
? 52
```

At three of the four tables, East opened 3\%, so West led a club against 4a and defeated the contract fairly routinely, East scoring the $\diamond$ K later. The East hand is certainly not an automatic three-bid at favorable vulnerability (short, very good suit, side king in a four-card suit, high defense to offense ratio, too much overall
strength) and Greta Chai elected to pass as dealer. She paid a heavy price for her sensible action when South opened a Multi $2 \triangleleft$ and North jumped to 4@, guessing her partner's hand type. 44 is much better from the North side; any lead but a trump gives a trick or a tempo. Greta led a diamond into Liz's tenace, and the contract came home with a couple of diamond ruffs in North. 13 unusual IMPs to Australia.

One of your editors loves partscore deals, so please bear with him while he picks this one apart...

| Bd: 3 | North |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DIr: South | ¢ K9 |  |
| Vul: E/W | $\bigcirc$ J106 |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \diamond \text { Q10632 } \\ & \& \text { A54 } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| West |  | East |
| - A32 |  | Q Q754 |
| $\bigcirc 854$ |  | $\bigcirc$ AK9 |
| $\diamond 9854$ |  | $\checkmark$ A |
| * KJ6 |  | * Q9872 |
|  | South |  |
|  | ¢ J1086 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ Q732 |  |
|  | $\diamond$ KJ7 |  |
|  | -103 |  |


| West Jacobs | Singapore vs Australia (O) Open Room |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | North | East | South |
|  | Heng | Thmpsn | Kow |
|  |  |  | Pass |
| Pass | Pass | 120 | Pass |
| $1 \diamond$ | Pass | 19 | Pass |
| 20 | All Pass |  |  |
|  | Closed Room |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Foo | Havas | Chai | Travis |
|  |  |  | Pass |
| Pass | Pass | 29 | Pass |
| 3s | All Pass |  |  |

It's easy to understand the auctions in the Open match, where it was difficult for N/S to enter the bidding. Thompson made three, plus 110; Chai five, plus 150. 1 IMP to Singapore.

| Japan vs Australia (W) Open Room |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| West | North | East | South |
| Bardon | Setogchi | Tully | Ota |
|  |  |  | Pass |
| Pass | $1 \diamond$ | DBL | $2 \diamond$ |
| Pass | Pass | DBL | All Pass |
| Closed Room |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Moriyma | Bourke | Naito | Beech |
|  |  |  | Pass |
| Pass | Pass | 120 | Pass |
| 1NT | Pass | Pass | DBL |
| Pass | $2 \diamond$ | All Pass |  |

In the Women's Closed Room, Bourke had the wit to open $1 \diamond$ in third seat, which prompted Beech to raise directly over Sakiko Naito's takeout double (North would have real diamonds if she were weak in third seat, and the raise might nudge E/W into an inferior major-suit contract). When Sakiko risked a second double,
Setsuko Moriyama had nowhere to go and thought her high cards and nuisance length in trumps might be enough to defeat $2 \diamond$ doubled. That was a sensible line of reasoning. Sakiko led the $\vee \mathrm{K}$ and switched to a club to the king and ace. A second heart went the ace and Sakiko erred slightly by continuing with queen and another club without cashing the $\diamond \mathrm{A}$. Margaret ruffed, cashed the 810 , and led a trump. Sakiko won the ace and had to concede a ruff-anddiscard or guess the spades for declarer; plus 180.

At the other table, where Toni Bardon's 1NT response threatened to end the auction, Ota protected with a takeout double (her initial pass precluded the penalty interpretation) and got her side to the same $2 \wedge$. Bardon had to have at least four clubs, so I think that Therese Tully should have taken another bid, but it turned out fairly well for her nonetheless when she stayed off the heart lead and started clubs. Nobuko won the \&A and returned the ten. Therese won the queen, cashed the $\diamond A$, and forced dummy with a third club. Nobuko cashed the $\diamond \mathrm{K}$ before starting hearts, but she was on her way down in any case, Therese forcing with clubs each time she won a heart trick. That built a trump trick for Toni to set the contract; minus 50.6 interesting IMPs to Australia, 8-0.

On Board 4, South held: ↔AK5 『Q7 $\diamond$ J108762 aK10. In the Women's match, both Souths overcalled $1 \%$ with $1 \diamond$, then defended $2 \triangleleft$, minus 110 and minus 140. 1 IMP to Australia, 7-0. In the Open match, Kow had to deal with a 18 opening on his right. He passed, then passed again when West's raise to $2 \checkmark$ came back to him. Right! He was going for 200 or 500 if he had bid $3 \diamond$. At the other table, Barbie Travis heard $1 \diamond$ (Precision) on her right. She passed and it continued $1 \triangleleft-\mathrm{P}-2 \odot$, to her. She doubled, presumably (looking at her hand) showing a good hand with diamonds rather than a takeout of hearts. That managed to boost her opponents to $3 \bigcirc$, which failed, so Australia gained 5 IMPs, 18-1.

Board 6 raises some interesting questions of tactics and opportunity cost . . .


| West Bardon | Japan vs Australia (W) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | North | East | South |
|  | Setogchi | Tully | Ota |
|  |  | Pass | 19 |
| $2 \nabla$ <br> All Pass | $3 \diamond$ | Pass | 3NT |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Close | d Room |  |
| West Moriyma | North | East | South |
|  | Bourke | Naito | Beech |
|  |  | Pass | 190000 |
| PassPass | $1 \diamond$ | Pass | 2\% |
|  | 3NT | All Pass |  |

Perhaps the East hand is not quite perfect for a vulnerable weak 24, but it's something to think about. The lead value, the obstructive value, the irritation factor. In the Open match, where neither West was willing to risk an overcall, East was left to introduce spades cheaply at his second turn. That effectively kept N/S out of the doomed 3NT and propelled them to $5 \%$. For reasons best known to his analyst, the irrepressible Ben Thompson doubled that, handing someone an opportunity to redouble. Instead, he convinced Heng to run to $5 \diamond$, which might have been going down on a different lie. Not here, though. Flat at plus 400 , but a nice story.

Bardon was the only West willing to show her color, and she did it in style. Alas, that preempted her partner's spades while jockeying her opponents into 3NT. Would she find the spade lead anyway? Nope, she chose a somewhat obscure diamond; plus 520. At the other table, Naito elected to remain silent over $1 \diamond$, leaving Bourke with the opportunity to enter a candidate for our freshly conceived "Cowboy Action of the Tournament" Contest. Her 3NT rebid with no stopper in either of the unbid suits might be tough to top, but keep those letters and postcards coming. You never know. Having failed to tell her partner what to lead, Naito was given the opportunity to tell herself what to lead. Spades, spades, spades. She tried the $\varsigma$. That held, and so did her continuation of the J , Moriyama following high-low. Alas, Naito continued with the $\$ 9$. Time stood still. Moriyama tried to find another spade but couldn't. Plus 430. 3 unusual IMPs to Japan, 3-9.

On Board 7 the North players were dealt: 4 AK 54 89 K K985 x 974 . Pass from partner, one-of-a-
minor on their right, $1 \odot-\mathrm{P}-2 \vee \ldots$ to them. Would you double or pass? Heng and Bourke passed and defended $2 \gtrdot$, which failed. Havas and Setoguchi doubled, getting their side to $3 \diamond$. The opponents now had the choice between minus 130 and minus 200. We mention this deal because we firmly believe that North should act; there might even be a game opposite some moderate shapely hands, and partner might have enough for a penalty pass.

Moriyama was perhaps unsurprisingly the only West to open: 1096 ©K983 $\triangle$ K9 \&AJ83, which got her to a thin 3NT attempted at no other table. Gentle defense spared her a crucial guess and she brought in 6 IMPs for Japan, 10-9.


Both Heng and Travis misjudged by going on to 5 when 4s was due to fail, but it's easy to see why they bid on. Thompson led a spade against Heng, who would have done best to lay down the $\vee A$. When he played a diamond instead, Ben went in with the ace, cashed the \&A, and
arranged his diamond ruff; minus 300. At the other table, Chai led the and continued the suit. Havas won the king and led the $\vee \mathrm{Q}$. One down; minus 100. 5 IMPs to Australia, 24-1.

|  | Japan vs Australia (W) Open Room |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| West | North | East | South |
| Bardon | Setogchi | Tully | Ota |
|  | $1 \diamond$ | 20 | $2 \bigcirc$ |
| 21 | 3 | 34 | $4 \bigcirc$ |
| 4 | Pass | Pass | 5 |
| 5 | DBL All Pass |  |  |
|  | Closed Room |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Moriyma | Bourke | Naito | Beech |
|  | $1 \diamond$ | Pass | 18 |
| 14 | 2 | 38 | 34 |
| Pass | $4 \bigcirc$ | All Pass |  |

Moriyama did have a lot of junk outside her suit, but it was surely tempting to compete to 4s after Naito's game-try cue-bid. A club or diamond lead would have beaten $4 \odot$ and justified her decision to defend, but she led a very human spade. Beech ruffed in hand and picked up trumps for plus 420. Bardon did compete to 4s, pushing her opponents to the five-level, but then she fell from grace (who is this Grace from whom we all fall sooner or later?) and went on to 5t. Setoguchi doubled and led her singleton club. Bardon played on trumps without taking her diamond ruff and eventually lost two trumps, a heart, a club, and a diamond for minus 800. 9 IMPs to Japan, 19-9.


[^0]| Bd: 10 | North |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DIr: East | - Q104 |  |  |
| Vul: Both | $\bigcirc$ J6 |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \diamond \text { AJ1065 } \\ & \stackrel{\text { A94 }}{ } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| West |  | East |  |
| ¢ 4653 |  | K872 |  |
| $\checkmark$ A754 |  | $\bigcirc$ Q82 |  |
| $\checkmark 8$ |  | $\diamond$ K9743 |  |
| \% 8532 |  | 9 |  |
|  | South |  |  |
|  | - J9 |  |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ K1093 |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ Q2 |  |  |
|  | - KQ1076 |  |  |
| Singapore vs Australia (0) |  |  |  |
|  | Open Room |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Jacobs | Heng | Thmpsn | Kow |
|  |  | Pass | Pass |
| Pass | $1 \diamond$ | Pass | 18 |
| DBL | 1NT | 21 | DBL |
| All Pass |  |  |  |
|  | Closed Room |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Foo | Havas | Chai | Travis |
|  |  | Pass | Pass |
| Pass <br> All Pass | $1 \diamond$ | Pass | 29 |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Japan vs Australia (W) |  |  |
|  | Open Room |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Bardon | Setogchi | Tully | Ota |
|  |  | Pass | 1\% |
| Pass | $1 \diamond$ | Pass | 18 |
| Pass | 14(4SF) | Pass | 2\% |
| Pass | 2NT | Pass | 3NT |
| All Pass |  |  |  |
|  | Closed Room |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Moriyma | Bourke | Naito | Beech |
|  |  | Pass | 180 |
| Pass | $1 \diamond$ | Pass | 18 |
| Pass | 1. ${ }^{\text {(4SF) }}$ | Pass | 2\% |
| Pass | 2NT | Pass | 3NT |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

N/S can make 3NT after a spade lead by playing on hearts rather than diamonds, but at the table, both Setoguchi and Bourke won the third spade and took the losing diamond finesse. Tully, who had kept the high spade, accurately switched to
hearts but led the queen, depriving Setoguchi of the opportunity to go wrong in true Grosvenor Gambit spirit. One down; minus 100. At the other table, Naito had led the $\uparrow 7$ and led the $\$ 8$ to the third round, cleverly leaving Moriyama on play with the $\$ 6$ to cash the setting trick - the $\vee \mathrm{A}$. Alas, Moriyama played a club and Bourke claimed her contract; plus 600. 12 unusual IMPs to Australia, 21-19.

3NT was just a candle in the wind in the other match. Havas-Travis, the rhyming Australians who are hoping to rival the notoriety of GitelmanMittelman, the rhyming Canucks, fetched up in 2e; plus 90. Heng-Kow decided to get after Jacobs-Thompson, applying the axe to 24. It always pays to remember that the axe you wield may inflict some personal damage, as the Singaporeans would soon discover. Heng led the $\diamond A$ and switched to the 4 . Ben won the king and led his club. Kow won and led his remaining trump. Ben won and scored four minor-suit ruffs, the $\triangleleft \mathrm{K}$, and the $\vee \mathrm{A}$ for plus 670. 13 IMPs to Australia, 37-1. "Should have led a trump, partner," admitted Derrick, ruefully.

Jacobs-Thompson won another 11 IMPs for Australia on Board 11 by reaching an inferior slam with these cards:

| West | East |
| :---: | :---: |
| , K654 | ¢ AJ103 |
| $\bigcirc 94$ | - KQ6532 |
| $\checkmark$ AK3 | $\checkmark 65$ |
| - A652 | $\because$ Q |
| Bill | Ben |
| 14 | $3 \bigcirc$ (Strong) |
| 34(waiting) |  |
| 54 | 64 |

All the bids seem sensible enough, but I am sure that Bill was expecting better hearts. No matter; the $\vee A$ was onside and everything broke, and he played North for the $Q$; plus 980. Australia, 481.


| Bd: 13 <br> DIr: North <br> Vul: Both | North |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | - 9862 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc 95432$ |  |
|  | $\checkmark 3$ |  |
|  | - K95 |  |
| West <br> - A7 <br> $\bigcirc 107$ <br> $\diamond 1052$ <br> \& J 108642 |  | East |
|  |  | - KQJ103 |
|  |  | $\bigcirc$ AQ6 |
|  |  | $\diamond$ AKQ9 |
|  |  | \& Q |
|  | South |  |
|  | - 54 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ KJ8 |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ J8764 |  |
|  | * 473 |  |

In the Open match, both E/W pairs reached 3NT easily after West showed some length in clubs. In the Women's match, both E/W pairs reached 44. Tully, who had concealed her diamonds, got a diamond lead from Ota and had an easy plus 620. Naito, however, had bid diamonds, so Beech found the best lead - a trump. Naito won the ace and led the 810 to the queen and king. A second trump killed the heart ruff, and Beech kept her diamond guard, so Naito had to go one down; minus 100. 12 IMPs to Australia, ahead 39-19.

| Bd: 14 <br> DIr: East <br> Vul: None | North |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ¢ KQ7 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ K1052 |  |
|  | $\checkmark 104$ |  |
|  | 2. 10764 |  |
| West <br> . J106543 |  | East |
|  |  | -98 |
| $\bigcirc 976$ |  | $\bigcirc$ A4 |
| $\diamond 2$ |  | $\diamond$ KQJ95 |
| - KJ3 |  | \% Q982 |
|  | South |  |
|  | - A2 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ QJ83 |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ A8763 |  |
|  | - ${ }^{\text {A }}$ |  |


| Singapore vs Australia (O)Open Room |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
| West Jacobs | North | East | South |
|  | Heng | Thmpsn | Kow |
|  |  | $1 \diamond$ | 1NT |
| 24 | DBL(1) | Pass | 3 |
| Pass | $4 \bigcirc$ | All Pass |  |
| (1) Neg |  |  |  |
| Closed Room |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Foo | Havas | Chai | Travis |
|  |  | $1 \diamond$ | 1NT |
| 24 | 2NT(1) | Pass | 39 |
| Pass | 3NT(2) | All Pass |  |
| (1) Lebensohl; (2) Stayman, with stopper Japan vs Australia (W) |  |  |  |
| Open Room |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Bardon | Setogchi | Tully | Ota |
|  |  | $1 \diamond$ | 1NT |
| 2 | 3NT | All Pass |  |
|  | Close | d Room |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Moriyma | Bourke | Naito | Beech |
|  |  | $1 \diamond$ | 18 |
| 14 | $2 \checkmark$ | All Pass |  |

South's 1NT overcall is no thing of beauty, but it got all its supporters to game, for better or worse. Kow, in $4 \checkmark$, got the lead of the $\diamond 2$ from Jacobs. He won and led a trump to the ten and ace. Ben cashed a diamond and played a third round to force dummy. That was good for Dennis, who came to a club to ruff another diamond. $\odot \mathrm{K}, \stackrel{\mathrm{A}}{ }$, $\bigcirc$ Q, ten tricks; plus 420. Travis, in 3NT, got a spade lead. She won in dummy and tried a diamond, ducking Chai's nine. She won the continuation of the $\diamond \mathrm{K}$ and continued with the $\diamond 8$. Chai won and switched accurately to a club. There was no time for a ninth trick now. One down; minus 50. 10 IMPs to Singapore, 11-54.

I like Setoguchi's direct raise to 3NT but it got her to the wrong game. At least in theory. Ota won the spade lead with her ace and knocked out the $\curvearrowright$ A. Tully switched to diamonds, and Ota ducked once, ducked twice . . . and got a diamond continuation. With lovely spots she could win and force out the $\diamond 9$ to build a ninth trick in that suit; plus 400. Beech's $1 \checkmark$ overcall is no thing of beauty either, but there is no reason that it had to achieve a bad result. Its great drawback is that she is too strong for an overcall on a four-card
suit. When raised to two, she can't afford to try for game with only four trumps, but game figures to be good opposite a fourth trump. Perhaps, then, North should try her best to get to the three-level when she holds that magic bonus trump. Playing safely, Beech took nine tricks. Plus 140 but 6 IMPs to Japan, 25-39.

| Bd: 15 | North |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DIr: South | - K1062 |  |  |
| Vul: N/S | $\bigcirc 9763$ |  |  |
|  | $974$ |  |  |
|  | - J5 |  |  |
| West |  | East |  |
| ¢ 87 |  | ¢ A53 |  |
| $\bigcirc$ J1085 |  | $\bigcirc 42$ |  |
| $\diamond$ A8 |  | $\checkmark$ KQ1063 |  |
| \% 109764 |  | - AK3 |  |
| South |  |  |  |
| , QJ94 |  |  |  |
| $\bigcirc$ AKQ |  |  |  |
| $\diamond$ J52 |  |  |  |
| - Q82 |  |  |  |
| Singapore vs Australia (O) |  |  |  |
| Open Room |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Jacobs | Heng | Thmpsn | Kow |
|  |  |  | 1NT |
| Pass | Pass | DBL | All Pass |
| Closed Room |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Foo | Havas | Chai | Travis |
|  |  |  | 1NT |
| Pass | Pass | DBL | Pass |
| 2\% All Pass |  |  |  |
|  | Japan vs Australia (W) |  |  |
| Open Room |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Bardon | Setogch | Tully | Ota |
|  |  |  | 1NT |
| All Pass |  |  |  |
|  | Closed Room |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Moriyma | Bourke | Naito | Beech |
|  |  |  | 1\% |
| Pass | Pass | $1 \diamond$ | Pass |
| Pass | DBL | RDBL | 14 |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

It would be lovely to play the N/S cards in 14, wouldn't it? Just a dream, of course. Unless you happen to be Beech-Bourke; plus 80. Bravissimo. Ota was left to play in 1NT when Tully eschewed a penalty double. Just as well. Bardon decided to lead the 8 J rather than a club. Hiroko won and knocked out the A . Therese switched to the $\triangleleft K$ and Toni overtook to switch to the 10 . Go and stop seven tricks now; plus 90. No swing!

In the Open match, both Easts used the red card but only one of them caught three little green cards. Foo Yoke Lan took ten tricks in her humble 2s; plus 130. Meanwhile, Kow had to play 1NT doubled on the lead of the 10 . Thompson won and switched to the $\diamond$ Q, which was permitted to hold. Ben cleared clubs while Jacobs retained the $\diamond \mathrm{A}$ (it would have been best to clear clubs without messing up the diamonds) and Dennis won the $\$ \mathrm{Q}$ to lead the 4 to the king and ace. Ben exited with a diamond and on the run of the clubs, Dennis threw two spades to keep the $\diamond$ J. Somewhat perversely, perhaps. Bill exited with his remaining spade and Dennis, not realizing the importance of dummy's six, let it run to his hand. Now he could not take his sixth trick and so finished two down; minus 500. 9 IMPs to Australia, 63-11.

Board 16 was a potential slam for $N / S$, who held:

| North | South |
| :---: | :---: |
| - AK3 | - J109875 |
| $\checkmark$ AQ8 | $\bigcirc$ K3 |
| $\checkmark$ KJ84 | $\checkmark$ Q9 |
| - A74 | - K93 |

6NT needs only the 4 to fall; 64 also needs to avoid a ruff. Both are worth bidding. The Q was singleton and there was no ruff. Travis-Havas and Bourke-Beech both earned 11 IMPs for their teams by bidding slam.


| Bd: 17 | North |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DIr: North | 498 |  |  |
| Vul: None | $\checkmark$ AJ9765 |  |  |
|  | $\diamond 1098$ |  |  |
|  | - 93 |  |  |
| West |  | East |  |
| - Q64 |  | - AJ32 |  |
| $\bigcirc$ - |  | $\bigcirc 103$ |  |
| $\checkmark$ KJ6542 |  | $\diamond$ Q7 |  |
| - AQ105 |  | \& KJ8 |  |
|  | South |  |  |
|  | - K1075 |  |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ KQ842 |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ A3 |  |  |
|  | - 42 |  |  |
| Singapore vs Australia (0) |  |  |  |
| Open Room |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Jacobs | Heng | Thmpsn | Kow |
|  | 2 | DBL! | $4 \bigcirc$ |
| $6 \diamond$ | Pass | Pass | DBL |
| All Pass |  |  |  |
|  | Closed Room |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Foo | Havas | Chai | Travis |
|  | $2 \diamond(1)$ | 38! | $4 \bigcirc(2)$ |
| 5\% | Pass | Pass | DBL |
| All Pass |  |  |  |
| (1) Multi; (2) Pass or correct |  |  |  |
|  | Japan vs Australia (W) |  |  |
|  | Open Room |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Bardon | Setogchi | Tully | Ota |
|  | $2 \bigcirc$ | DBL! | 4 |
| DBL! | All Pass |  |  |
|  | Closed Room |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Moriyma | Bourke | Naito | Beech |
|  | Pass | 18 | DBL |
| RDBL | 3 | Pass | $4 \bigcirc$ |
| 4NT | Pass | 5\% | All Pass |

Fireworks here. In the Open match, North's weak two-bid provoked East to enter the fray with inadequate values. Although it isn't saying much, Thompson's takeout double appears to have more going for it than Chai's 3\%, so perhaps it was bad luck that the latter fared so much better than the former. A spade lead would have beaten Jacobs two tricks in $6 \diamond$, but Heng tried the $\vee A$. There was nothing to do about the spade finesse, however. One down; minus 100. Foo
had vast reserves of strength for her gentle raise to $5 \%$ and must have been surprised to find that someone had a double, but was content to play there without redoubling; Chai ruffed the heart lead in dummy and could have made six easily enough by drawing two rounds of trumps. Instead, she came to a trump, ruffed a heart, and drew the last trump. Travis held up the $\diamond \mathrm{A}$, so Chai needed to hold her spade losers to one to make the contract. Low towards the queen did that; plus 550. 12 IMPs to Singapore, 23-74.

Tully and Thompson may have attended the same school of initial competitive actions, for Tully too doubled $2 \bigcirc$ for takeout. Bardon's double of $4 \bigcirc$ certainly did not show what she had, but Tully was happy to pass it. One down; minus 100. Moriyama-Naito did not reach 6e, but they did reach five and make six; plus 420.8 IMPs to Japan, closing to within 17 IMPs, 33-50.

On the last deal, two Norths opened a weak notrump with a full 14-count, got doubled and went two down, minus 500. Their counterparts started with $1 \diamond$ and defended a successful low partscore. 9 IMPs to Singapore, who lost their match 32-74, 6-24 in VP, and 8 IMPs to Japan, who lost 41-50, 13-17 in VP.

Not a bad night for the Australian teams, with the callow Youths winning 23-7 in their event.

"I would never have even dreamt of making this bid before I met Kokish."

## PABF YOUTH TEAM CHAMPIONSHIPS ROUND FIVE: AUSTRALIA vs SINGAPORE

"Hey, Vinny, wanna watch some of dem kids tonight?"
"Ya mean da utes?"
"Yeah, da utes. Hear dey can be pretty weird, but funny."
"Okay. Jus dis once, ta see what it's about."

| Bd: 1 | North |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dlr: North | ¢ Q10752 |  |  |
| Vul: None | $\checkmark 63$ |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ A2 |  |  |
|  | \% J |  |  |
| West |  | East |  |
| $\triangle$ A94 |  |  |  |
| $\checkmark$ AQ109 |  |  |  |
| $\diamond$ J10865 |  |  | Q94 |
| - 10 |  |  | AQ853 |
|  | South |  |  |
|  | - K63 |  |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ KJ852 |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark 73$ |  |  |
|  | ¢ K96 |  |  |
|  | Open Room |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Jappe | Lam | Madisn | E Lau |
|  | Pass | $1 \diamond(1)$ | Pass |
|  | Pass | 2\% | Pass |
| 24 (4SF) | Pass | 2NT | Pass |
| 3NT All | All Pass |  |  |
| (1) Limited, 3+ $\diamond$ |  |  |  |
|  | Closed Room |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Kiong | Baker | L Lau | Nunn |
|  | 24 | DBL(1) | 34 |
| $4 \diamond$ ! | Pass | 5 | DBL |
| All Pass |  |  |  |
| (1) Any 10+ HCP! |  |  |  |

E/W don't have to reach game, but after East opens and shows diamonds, it makes sense for West to revalue positively. John Maddison's 2NT suggested a spade stopper, but 3elt worse to him. In practice, who needed a spade stopper? Edwin Lau elected to lead his long suit
and when dummy's nine held, the rest was easy. Maddison knocked out the $\diamond \mathrm{A}$, ducked two spades, won the third, cashed winners, and squeezed Lau in hearts and clubs for an overtrick; plus 430. No problem.

Colin Baker's system card informs us that his weak two-bids are undisciplined. Right. We might have been silenced with the E/W cards, say via 2-P-3 -All Pass. Not so Luke Lau-Lawrence Kiong, whose direct doubles shown at least an average hand, with no distributional requirements. It occurs to us that this simply can't work well in the long run, but hey, this is the short run. It looks as if $4 \diamond$ showed significant values. When you see Tony Nunn, you might ask him why he doubled $5 \diamond$. Baker led a spade to the king and ace, rose on the first trump, cashed the $Q$ and exited in trumps. To make his contract, Kiong had to double-finesse hearts or ruff out the K . He did the latter for plus 550 . Now let's see: opening 2 figured to keep E/W out of game, which makes it good, but it certainly kept them out of 3NT, which would have been beaten, which makes it bad, but it goaded them (?) into $5 \diamond$ and convinced partner to double and showed how to make the contract, so that makes it bad. Ah, so. Much as we suspected. 2s isn't such a great bid. Not that Colin is going to change his style just because we've made him famous in the local trade rag. 3 IMPs (only) to Singapore.

Here's a pair of auctions for you ...

| Open Room |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| West | North | East | South |
| Jappe | Lam | Madisn | E Lau |
|  |  | 18 | 14 |
| 2 - | Pass | 28 | Pass |
| 32 | All Pass |  |  |
|  | Closed Room |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Kiong | Baker | L Lau | Nunn |
|  |  | 18 | $1{ }^{1}$ |
| 2 - | 2. | Pass | Pass |
| 3 | All Pass |  |  |

Do you think that East passed a forcing bid at his last turn?

We can't tell you the answer but West was one-one-six-five with only a moderate hand and East was four-six-one-two with an ace and two kings. 3e was already too high. Jappe went three down, Kiong just one (nonvulnerable). 3 IMPs to Singapore, 6-0.

Board 3 was a normal 1NT on which both declarers and most of the defenders made at least one odd play. Edwin Lau went one down but Baker made it. 4 IMPs to Australia, 4-6.

$4 \checkmark$ was very high. Two down; minus 200. 24 was low enough, but it went down when Nunn got two overruffs in hearts; minus 100. 7 IMPs to Australia, ahead 11-6.

"Look how unlucky we were," says Colin Baker. "Every time we opened a weak two-bid, we hit a singleton and got into trouble." I didn't have the heart to tell him that the reason weak two-bids were invented was to allow you to open a light hand in high cards but rich in playing tricks in the suit itself. This North hand, with five potential trump losers, lots of defense, and extreme suitability for two other suits, is not my idea of a weak two-bid. Call me a dinosaur. I know that most of my teammates have done so already. Colin did quite well in $2 \checkmark$ doubled, scoring a spade and four trump tricks on various ruffs. Still, he was down 800, vulnerable. With such triumphs, who needs disasters?

Ze Ying Lam avoided similar disappointment when her Multi $2 \triangleleft$ was overcalled and not doubled. Jappe made three overtricks in 3NT but Singapore won 7 IMPs, 13-11. Are we having fun yet?

Would you take action with this South hand at favorable vulnerability over a natural, limited $2 \boldsymbol{2}$ opening on your right: sAKJ6 ऽQ83 $\diamond 97$ \&AJ96? Edwin Lau passed and beat 2\% one
trick for plus 100. Nunn overcalled 2NT, played there, and mishandled the play to go one down; minus 50. 4 IMPs to Singapore, 17-11.

Singapore scored another heavy blow when Kiong-Lau outbid Jappe-Maddison . . .

| Bd: 7 | North |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DIr: South | - J9 |  |  |
| Vul: Both | $\bigcirc$ KJ4 |  |  |
|  | $\diamond 10763$ |  |  |
|  | \% Q1032 |  |  |
| West |  |  | East |
| , KQ765 |  |  | ¢ A10832 |
| $\checkmark$ A962 |  |  | $\bigcirc 105$ |
| $\diamond 8$ |  |  | $\checkmark$ KJ942 |
| - AJ4 |  |  |  |
|  | South |  |  |
|  | ¢ 4 |  |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ Q873 |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ AQ5 |  |  |
|  | \% 98765 |  |  |
| West | Open Room |  |  |
|  | North | East | South |
| Jappe | Lam | Madisn | E Lau |
|  |  |  | Pass |
|  | Pass | 3\%(1) | Pass |
|  | All Pass |  |  |
| (1) Splinter, INV or better |  |  |  |
|  | Closed Room |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Kiong | Baker | L Lau | Nunn |
|  |  |  | Pass |
| 24(1) | Pass | 4\%(2) | Pass |
| 4! (3) | Pass | 4NT(4) | Pass |
| $5 \bigcirc(5)$ | Pass | 64 | All Pass |
| (1) "Strong" but not so strong |  |  |  |
| (2) Control Ask |  |  |  |
| (3) No ¢A but first-round heart control |  |  |  |
| (4) More? (5) Club control |  |  |  |

Kiong got a diamond lead, ducked to the queen. He won the heart shift, cashed the $\Delta K$, went to the K , ruffed a diamond, cashed the Q , and soon ruffed away the $\diamond A$ to land his slam. Jappe also made six, but that was 13 IMPs to Singapore, 30-11.
"Anyone seen any flat boards?" we dared to ask

| Bd: 8 <br> DIr: West <br> Vul: None | North |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | - 765 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc \mathrm{K}$ |  |
|  | $\diamond$ QJ4 |  |
|  | K76532 |  |
| West <br> - 1098 |  | East |
|  |  | - KQ32 |
| $\bigcirc$ AJ7543 |  | $\bigcirc 10862$ |
| $\checkmark 105$ |  | $\checkmark$ K9 |
| * AJ |  | - 1084 |
|  | South |  |
|  | ¢ AJ4 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ Q9 |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ A87632 |  |
|  | - Q9 |  |

Both Wests finished in 3\& Kiong after Baker had protected with $3 *$ over $2 \vee$. Against Jappe, Lam led the $\diamond$ Q, which seems a promising start to the defense. Jappe covered and Edwin Lau followed inadvertently with the eight. Promising start for the offense. Jappe lost to the 8 K and Lam cashed the $\diamond$ J before switching to a club. Now Jappe could build a club trick to discard a spade and so made his contract; plus 140. Top that, will you Lawrence? Sure thing. Baker found the lead of the 55 and Nunn took the king with the ace to return the $\& \mathrm{Q}$. $\vee \mathrm{A}$. J to the king. Baker played not a diamond but a second spade. Oops. \&Q, 210. Nunn ruffed and Kiong discarded his remaining spade. Nunn was endplayed. He tried the J J but Kiong ruffed, entered dummy in trumps, and discarded a diamond on the long spade; plus 140. No swing.

Board 9 was a potential slam, due to make on a finesse, bid by neither E/W pair. 1 overtrick IMP to Singapore, ahead by 20, 31-11.

"You talkin to me, man?"

$2 \diamond$ was an easy contract; plus 110. 2s was touch-and-go. Nunn-Baker defended very nicely and did not set up the long club for declarer; down 100. 5 IMPs to Australia, 16-31.

| Bd: 11 | North |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dir: South | - AJ942 |  |
| Vul: None | $\bigcirc$ Q |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \diamond 98 \\ & \& \text { KJ973 } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| West |  | East |
| - 107 |  | , KQ653 |
| $\bigcirc$ K74 |  | $\bigcirc$ AJ62 |
| $\diamond$ AK1072 |  | $\checkmark 64$ |
| * A85 |  | -104 |
|  | South |  |
|  | ¢ 8 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc 109853$ |  |
|  | $\diamond$ QJ53 |  |
|  | * Q62 |  |


| Open Room |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| West | North | East | South |
| Jappe | Lam | Madisn | E Lau Pass |
| 1NT | 2(1) | DBL | 2NT(2) |
| DBL | 3\% | Pass |  |
| DBL | All Pas |  |  |
| (1) 4+minor; (2) which minor? |  |  |  |
| Closed Room |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Kiong | Baker | L Lau | Nunn |
|  |  |  | Pass |
| $1 \diamond$ | 14 | 1NT | Pass |
| Pass | 2 | All Pass |  |

Luke Lau's trump lead held Baker to four trumps in hand, the $\uparrow \mathrm{A}$, and a spade ruff, two down. It was scored as three down, however, minus 150. Maddison led the 8 A against Lam's 3\% doubled, but he switched to a trump, holding her to the same six tricks; three down, minus 500. 8 IMPs to Australia, 24-31. Closer now.

| Bd: 13 | North |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DIr: North | - AJ10 |  |  |
| Vul: Both | $\bigcirc 10873$ |  |  |
| $\checkmark$ J |  |  |  |
| 2 QJ743 |  |  |  |
| West | East |  |  |
| - 972 |  |  |  |
| $\bigcirc$ Q54 | $\bigcirc$ K962 |  |  |
| $\checkmark$ A72 | $\checkmark$ K843 |  |  |
| - 10652 |  |  | A9 |
| South |  |  |  |
| - 8543 |  |  |  |
| $\bigcirc$ AJ |  |  |  |
| $\diamond$ Q10965 |  |  |  |
| \% K8 |  |  |  |
| Open Room |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Jappe | Lam | Madisn | E Lau |
| Closed Room |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Kiong | Baker | L Lau | Nunn |
|  | Pass | $1 \bigcirc$ | Pass |
| 28 | Pass | 2NT | All Pass |

I would be happy to make 1NT with the E/W cards. Maddison must have been ecstatic to make two; plus 120. I would be depressed to
reach 2NT with the E/W cards. Luke Lau made one; minus 100. 6 IMPs to Australia, who had rallied to within 2 IMPs, 30-32.

| Bd: 14 <br> DIr: East <br> Vul: None | North |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | - 93 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ AK4 |  |
|  | $\diamond$ Q1073 |  |
|  | \% Q1075 |  |
| West <br> - KQ42 <br> $\bigcirc 105$ <br> $\diamond$ AK82 <br> - KJ9 |  | East |
|  |  | ¢ 8765 |
|  |  | $\bigcirc$ QJ86 |
|  |  | $\checkmark 95$ |
|  |  | - 486 |
|  | South |  |
|  | ¢ AJ10 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc 9732$ |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ J64 |  |
|  | - 432 |  |

Would you prefer to be in 24 or 4s, nonvulnerable? l'd take two. Jappe-Madison were in four, Kiong-Luke Lau in three. Lawrence made four; plus 170. Would Mark do as well in game? He got the lead of the $\vee A$ and a club switch. Prospects were pretty good now, given the spade position, but there was some danger of a promotion or overruff. Mark ruffed a diamond and led a trump, but Edwin went in with the ace, put Ze Ying in with the PK , and scored a diamond overruff to set the contract; minus 50 . Had Mark played a heart first, Ze Ying could have played a third heart. Then Edwin could rise on the first trump and play a fourth heart to promote a trump trick in a different way. 6 IMPs to Singapore, 38-30.

| Bd: 16 | North |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dlr: West | - K632 |  |
| Vul: E/W | $\bigcirc 96$ |  |
|  | $\diamond$ K5 |  |
|  | \& QJ865 |  |
| West |  | East |
| - A84 |  | - 1075 |
| $\checkmark$ K10873 |  | $\bigcirc$ QJ54 |
| $\diamond$ QJ72 |  | $\checkmark 643$ |
| -10 |  | - A43 |
|  | South |  |
|  | ¢ QJ9 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ A2 |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ A1098 |  |
|  | ¢ K972 |  |


| Open Room |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| West | North | East | South |
| Jappe | Lam | Madisn | E Lau |
| Pass | Pass | Pass | 1\% |
| 18 | DBL* | $2 \checkmark$ | DBL* |
| P | 24 | All Pass |  |
| Closed Room |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Kiong | Baker | L Lau | Nunn |
| 18 | 1NT(1) | 2 | 4. |
| (1) 4 $+5 \mathrm{~m}, 10-15 \mathrm{HCP}$ |  |  |  |

Wouldn't you have bet against both N/S pairs playing this hand in spades?

Maddison led a heart against 24. Jappe won the first trump to cash the OK and lead his club. Maddison ducked the ace, and Lam drew trumps; plus 170. Nunn's sporting 4s at the other table ran into an immediate club ruff. Kiong had time to lead diamonds and should have tried a heart first, but he switched to the $\diamond Q$. Nunn played $\diamond \mathrm{K}, \diamond \mathrm{A}, \diamond 10$, and ran it, discarding a heart from dummy. Then he led a trump to his jack, which was ducked, and continued with the $\varphi 9$. Kiong won the ace, but there was no longer any defense; plus 420. 6 IMPs to Australia, 36-39.

"Hmm.. I wonder if neatness counts."

| Bd: 17 | North |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DIr: North | ¢ A84 |  |  |
| Vul: None | ¢ A88752 |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark 73$ |  |  |
|  | * AJ9 |  |  |
| West |  | East |  |
| ¢ J 96 |  | Q Q105 |  |
| $\checkmark$ AKJ104 |  | $\bigcirc 96$ |  |
| $\checkmark$ K85 |  | $\diamond$ J1064 |  |
| - 106 |  |  | Q875 |
|  | South |  |  |
|  | , K732 |  |  |
|  | $\bigcirc 3$ |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ AQ92 |  |  |
|  | \% K432 |  |  |
| West | Open Room |  | South |
|  | North | East |  |
| Jappe | Lam | Madisn | E Lau |
|  | Pass | Pass | 12 |
| 18 | Pass | Pass | DBL |
| All Pass |  |  |  |
|  | Closed Room |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Kiong | Baker | L Lau | Nunn |
|  | 18 | Pass | 1NT |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

Against $1 \triangleleft$ doubled, the defenders led three rounds of spades. The 99 ran to the queen and Lam switched to the ducked to the ten. That was six tricks for Jappe, who drew trumps and led a club to the jack, queen, and king. Edwin had discarded the long spade, so he couldn't cash it. Instead, he played a club. Jappe discarded a diamond and had to score the $\triangleleft \mathrm{K}$ at trick twelve for plus 160. Not too shabby.

In 1NT, Nunn got a high heart lead and a diamond switch. He won the queen and led a club to the nine and queen, and so made 1NT, plus 90. 6 IMPs to Australia, who assumed the lead, 42-39.

"If I sit here long enough, maybe I won't have to bid."

| Bd: 18 | North |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DIr: East | ¢ KJ97 |  |
| Vul: N/S | $\bigcirc$ K975 |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ A105 |  |
|  | c |  |
| West |  | East |
| 4. Q10865 |  | ---- |
| $\bigcirc$ Q6 |  | $\bigcirc$ A10843 |
| $\diamond$ Q9872 |  | $\checkmark$ J |
| -6 |  | 2 10987432 |
|  |  |  |
| South |  |  |
| $\bigcirc$ J2 |  |  |
| $\diamond$ K643 |  |  |
| * AQJ |  |  |
| Open Room |  |  |
| West | North | East South |
| Jappe | Lam | Madisn E Lau |
|  |  | 2¢(1) DBL |
| Pass | 4 | 5\% DBL |
| 5 | DBL | All Pass |
| (1) $\odot$ +another, at least $5 / 4$, weak |  |  |
| Closed Room |  |  |
| West | North | East South |
| Kiong | Baker | L Lau Nunn |
|  |  | 4\% Pass |
| Pass | DBL | All Pass |

Ah, the exuberance of youth. Maddison, accompanying himself on tympani and harmonica, finished a mere six light in $5 \bigcirc$ doubled. Down 1400. Luke Lau, in 4\& doubled, did rather better. He got a diamond lead to the ace and . . . a low heart switch to the jack and queen. Heart to the ten, low heart ruffed in dummy. He lost only three trump tricks for a remarkable one down; minus 100. 16 IMPs to Singapore, who truly deserved them. Back in front, 55-42.

"Let's see. Four extra points for all the aces, two each for my three four-card suits, five for may dummy play . .

| Bd: 19 | North |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DIr: South | - AQ5 |  |  |
| Vul: E/W | $\bigcirc 98$ |  |  |
|  | $\diamond 7$ |  |  |
| 2. QJ97432 |  |  |  |
| West |  |  | East |
| - J102 |  |  | ¢ K94 |
| $\bigcirc$ A1042 |  |  | $\bigcirc$ KQ65 |
| $\checkmark$ J1053 |  |  | $\diamond 862$ |
| - A5 |  |  | \& K106 |
| South |  |  |  |
| - 8763 |  |  |  |
| $\bigcirc$ J73 |  |  |  |
| $\checkmark$ AKQ94 |  |  |  |
| 8 |  |  |  |
|  | Open Room |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Jappe | Lam | Madisn | E Lau |
|  |  |  | Pass |
| Pass | 3\% | Pass | Pass |
| DBL | Pass | 38 | All Pass |
|  | Closed | Room |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Kiong | Baker | L Lau | Nunn |
|  |  |  | 14. |
| DBL! | 3\% | DBL | Pass |
| $3 \diamond$ | Pass | 3 | All Pass |

The Open Room auction is a lot easier to understand than the Closed Room's, but everyone finished in $3 \odot$, with South leading the \%8. Maddison won the ace, drew trumps ending in West, and led a diamond (better from the other hand, John). Edwin could play four rounds of diamonds painlessly and Maddison ruffed in hand to lead spades away from the king. One down; minus 100. Luke won the k in hand, drew trumps, and led the which held. The rest was easy; plus 140.6 more IMPs to Singapore, who conceded an overtrick-IMP on the final deal to win 61-43, 19-11 in VP. That was an upset, given the early form, but don't tell that to the Singaporeans.

Thanks for the match, guys.


## REVERSAL OF FORTUNE

| Bd: 5 <br> DIr: North <br> Vul: N/S | North |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ¢ KQ8 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ J6 |  |
|  | $\diamond 10654$ |  |
|  | \& AKQJ |  |
| West <br> \& 106 <br> $\bigcirc 1$ <br> $\diamond$ AK9732 <br> \& 9875 |  | East |
|  |  | , AJ752 |
|  |  | $\bigcirc 974$ |
|  |  | $\diamond$--- |
|  |  | 106432 |
|  | South |  |
|  | - 943 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ AKQ8532 |  |
|  | $\diamond$ QJ8 |  |
|  | 9--- |  |

After four deals in the Vugraph match between Indonesia and Hong Kong, Indonesia was ahead 42-zip. This was Board 5, a curious hand that produces a small slam in hearts or notrump from the North side since West can't get his diamond tricks. Imagine having someone bid and make a slam against you on this combination! Well, it could happen on a transfer sequence, we suppose.

As luck would have it, Indonesia did reach slam, Henky Lasut-Munawar Sawirudin reaching $6 \triangleright$ from the South side. Benjamin Kwok doubled for a diamond lead and got it when no one ran to 6NT, minus 800. That felt awful for Indonesia, but if South declarer, he couldn't make even $4 \bigcirc$, so perhaps it wouldn't turn out so badly after all.

At the other table, however, E/W got into the auction, with
Franky Karwur, West, finishing in $4 \diamond$ doubled. He finished six down for minus 1100. And so it came to pass that Team Indonesia lost 1900 total points on this deal and Hong Kong gained 18 IMPs. The match ended 70-69 for someone, a 15-15 draw.

## RESTRICTED CHOICE REVISITED

The first deal in Round 8 presented an interesting play situation for SR Wu, in the match between Chinese Taipei and Australia . . .

| Bd: 1 <br> DIr: North <br> Vul: None | North |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | - AQJ |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ K54 |  |
|  | $\diamond$ K65 |  |
|  | \& AQ75 |  |
| WestK K763 |  | East |
|  |  | ¢ 105 |
|  |  | $\bigcirc$ AQ8 |
| $\diamond$ QJ4 |  | $\diamond 10932$ |
| - 1094 |  | - J632 |
|  | South |  |
|  | ¢ 9842 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc 10972$ |  |
|  | $\diamond$ A87 |  |
|  | - K8 |  |

SR was North, declaring 3NT against Ben Thompson, East, and Bill Jacobs, West. The opening lead was the $\diamond 10$, which held. A second diamond went to the king and SR played ace-queen of spades, the appearance of the ten brightening his prospects. Bill won the $\$ \mathrm{~K}$ and knocked out the $\diamond A$, so SR crossed to the $\Delta J$, went back to the $\$ K$ and played the 49 , discarding a heart. Ben had no problem discarding the 88 , but the last spade left him with a choice of evils. A club would be immediately fatal, and if he discarded the diamond winner, three more rounds of clubs would endplay him. He knew earlier that he'd have to part with the $\vee Q$, so he did.

Now put yourself in SR's position. Do you play East for an original holding of $\vee$ AQ8 or $\ulcorner$ QJ8? There doesn't seem to be much in it, but with 『QJ8, he would have had a choice of discards from equals. The theory of Restricted Choice pointed to East holding $\vee$ AQ8. SR led a heart and played low from hand. Bingo. The contract failed at the other table, so Chinese Taipei gained 10 IMPs. They gained a lot more in the ensuing deals and won 98-31, 25-2 in VP.

## TEST YOUR PLAY

Another deal from the same session is less interesting but worthy of inclusion for bridge teachers hungry for useful play problems . . .

| Bd: 18 | North |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DIr: East | - 542 |  |
| Vul: N/S | $\bigcirc$ J853 |  |
|  | $\diamond$ QJ732 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ |  |
| West |  | East |
|  |  | - K |
| $\bigcirc$ Q |  | $\bigcirc$ K10764 |
| $\diamond 1085$ |  | $\diamond$ AK9 |
| \% KQJ8 |  | \& A643 |
|  | South |  |
|  | - J973 |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ A92 |  |
|  | $\checkmark 64$ |  |
|  | -10952 |  |

You are in from the East side on a low trump lead. Plan the play.

It looks easy enough, with spades four-three, and you're not going to try a different line, but you must be careful. If you play a heart immediately, you will go down when South wins and plays a second trump; your entries will be tangled. Cash the $\$ \mathrm{~K}$ first and the world will be your oyster. South wins the heart and plays a trump, but you win, ruff a spade, lead your remaining trump to dummy to draw trumps and use the remaining spades. Dummy's third diamond goes on the 8 K late in the day.

| OPEN <br> No DATUM |  | (1st RR Match 6) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | CHINA |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { HONG } \\ & \mathrm{N}-\mathrm{S} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { KONG } \\ \mathrm{E}-\mathrm{W} \end{gathered}$ | MACAU |  | INDONESIA |  | MALAYSIA |  | SINGAPORE |  | AUSTRALIA |  | PHILIPPINES |  | JAPAN |  | NEW | ZEALAND | CH. TAIPEI |  |
|  |  | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{S}$ | E-W |  |  | N-S | E-W | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{S}$ | E-W | N-S | E-W | N-S | E-W | N-S | E-W | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{S}$ | E-W | N-S | E-W | N-S | E-W | N -S | E-W |
| 1 | 100 | -4 | 5 | 9 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -5 | 4 | -4 | -9 | 0 | 0 | -2 | 0 | 0 | -9 |
| 2 | -20 | -2 | -2 | 3 | 2 | 2 | -3 | -2 | 10 | -3 | -2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | -2 | -3 | 3 | -2 | -10 | 2 | 2 | 3 |
| 3 | 250 | 5 | -4 | -7 | 7 | 5 | 8 | -8 | -5 | 6 | -12 | 0 | 0 | 4 | -5 | -7 | 7 | -8 | -5 | 5 | 8 | 12 | -6 |
| 4 | -40 | 4 | 2 | -3 | -4 | -3 | -6 | -3 | 2 | -3 | -4 | 0 | 0 | -2 | -4 | 4 | 3 | 6 | 3 | -2 | 3 | 4 | 3 |
| 5 | -120 | 1 | 0 | -2 | -1 | 1 | -1 | 1 | 0 | -2 | -5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | -1 | 0 | -1 | 5 | 2 |
| 6 | -390 | -6 | 6 | -6 | -10 | -6 | -11 | 10 | 6 | 5 | 6 | 0 | 0 | -6 | 6 | 10 | 6 | 11 | 6 | -6 | -10 | -6 | -5 |
| 7 | -140 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | -2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -1 | 2 | 0 |
| 8 | 10 | 1 | 4 | -4 | 3 | 1 | -3 | 1 | -1 | 1 | -3 | 0 | 0 | -4 | -1 | -3 | 4 | 3 | -1 | 1 | -1 | 3 | -1 |
| 9 | -170 | 7 | -7 | -10 | -7 | -10 | 10 | 7 | 10 | 9 | -7 | 0 | 0 | 7 | -7 | 7 | 10 | -10 | 10 | -10 | -7 | 7 | -9 |
| 10 | 690 | 0 | -3 | 0 | 3 | 1 | -12 | 0 | 3 | -10 | -3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | -3 | 0 | 12 | -1 | -3 | 0 | 3 | 10 |
| 11 | -260 | -4 | 4 | -4 | -8 | 4 | -7 | -4 | 4 | 7 | 4 | 0 | 0 | -4 | 4 | 8 | 4 | 7 | -4 | -4 | 4 | -4 | -7 |
| 12 | -420 | 0 | 1 | -2 | 1 | -1 | -6 | 0 | -15 | -2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | -1 | 0 | -1 | 2 | 6 | 1 | 15 | 0 | -2 | 2 |
| 13 | 90 | 2 | 7 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -7 | -2 | 0 | -2 | -5 | -2 | 0 | -1 | 0 | -2 |
| 14 | -810 | 8 | 5 | -5 | -8 | -5 | -1 | -5 | -8 | -5 | -1 | 0 | 0 | -5 | -8 | 8 | 5 | 1 | 5 | 8 | 5 | 1 | 5 |
| 15 | -520 | 3 | -1 | -9 | -1 | 2 | -3 | 3 | -2 | 2 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 1 | -3 | 1 | 9 | 3 | -2 | 2 | -3 | -9 | -2 |
| 16 | -1030 | -2 | 10 | 8 | 10 | -10 | 15 | -10 | -8 | 15 | -15 | 0 | 0 | -10 | 2 | -10 | -8 | -15 | 10 | 8 | 10 | 15 | -15 |
| 17 | -110 | 0 | -2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | -1 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -1 | -1 | -1 |
| 18 | -100 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -1 | 1 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 19 | -770 | -12 | 12 | 4 | -4 | 2 | -13 | -12 | -4 | 2 | -12 | 0 | 0 | -12 | 12 | 4 | -4 | 13 | -2 | 4 | 12 | 12 | -2 |
| 20 | -50 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 2 | -3 | -4 | -4 | 3 | -3 | -4 | 0 | 0 | -3 | -4 | -2 | -4 | 4 | 3 | -3 | 4 | 4 | 3 |
|  | IMP + | 35 | 59 | 31 | 33 | 20 | 38 | 25 | 41 | 59 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 31 | 43 | 52 | 70 | 38 | 43 | 49 | 70 | 29 |
|  | IMP - | 31 | 19 | 52 | 43 | 38 | 70 | 49 | 43 | 29 | 70 | 0 | 0 | 59 | 35 | 33 | 31 | 38 | 20 | 41 | 25 | 22 | 59 |

OPEN (1st RR Match 7)

|  |  | CHINA |  | HONG | KONG | MACAU |  | INDONESIA |  | MALAYSIA |  | SINGAPORE |  | AUSTRALIA |  | PHILIPPINES |  | JAPAN |  | NEW ZEALAND |  | CH. TAIPEI |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No | DATUM | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{S}$ | E-W | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{S}$ | E-W | N-S | E-W | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{S}$ | E-W | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{S}$ | E-W | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{S}$ | E-W | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{S}$ | E-W | N-S | E-W | N -S | E-W | N-S | E-W | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{S}$ | E-W |
| 1 | -170 | 6 | 6 | 1 | 6 | -6 | -1 | 6 | 6 | -6 | -6 | -6 | -6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | -6 | 0 | 6 | -7 | 7 | -6 |
| 2 | 370 | 12 | 5 | 10 | 6 | -6 | -10 | -6 | 8 | -8 | 6 | -5 | -12 | 0 | 0 | -6 | 3 | -3 | 6 | 14 | 6 | -6 | -14 |
| 3 | -20 | -5 | 1 | -2 | 2 | -2 | 2 | 4 | -4 | 4 | -4 | -1 | 5 | 0 | 0 | -4 | -8 | 8 | 4 | -4 | -4 | 4 | 4 |
| 4 | 10 | 11 | -4 | -3 | 9 | -9 | 3 | 12 | -3 | 3 | -12 | 4 | -11 | 0 | 0 | -3 | -3 | 3 | 3 | -12 | 7 | -7 | 12 |
| 5 | -460 | 0 | 0 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | -1 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -1 | -1 | 1 | 1 | 12 | 1 | -1 | -12 |
| 6 | 40 | -4 | -10 | 2 | 3 | -3 | -2 | 2 | -2 | 2 | -2 | 10 | 4 | 0 | 0 | -4 | 4 | -4 | 4 | 3 | -2 | 2 | -3 |
| 7 | -960 | 7 | -7 | -10 | 10 | -10 | 10 | 7 | -7 | 7 | -7 | 7 | -7 | 0 | 0 | -10 | -7 | 7 | 10 | 7 | 10 | -10 | -7 |
| 8 | 60 | 3 | 0 | -10 | -2 | 2 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -3 | 0 | 0 | -4 | -1 | 1 | 4 | 1 | -1 | 1 | -1 |
| 9 | -660 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | -1 | 0 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | -1 |
| 10 | 90 | 2 | -2 | 0 | -2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 5 | -5 | 0 | 2 | -2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 5 | -5 | -7 |
| 11 | -190 | -12 | -6 | 7 | -6 | 6 | -7 | 3 | 12 | -12 | -3 | 6 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 1 | -3 | 3 | -1 | 6 | 7 | -7 | -6 |
| 12 | -440 | 1 | -1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | -6 | 6 | 0 |
| 13 | -60 | 6 | 10 | -1 | 1 | -1 | 1 | 4 | 2 | -2 | -4 | -10 | -6 | 0 | 0 | -1 | 1 | -1 | 1 | -3 | -4 | 4 | 3 |
| 14 | -150 | 5 | -5 | 1 | -1 | 1 | -1 | -7 | 1 | -1 | 7 | 5 | -5 | 0 | 0 | -1 | -1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 7 | -7 | -1 |
| 15 | 390 | -10 | 6 | 6 | -6 | 6 | -6 | 14 | -6 | 6 | -14 | -6 | 10 | 0 | 0 | -10 | -7 | 7 | 10 | 5 | 10 | -10 | -5 |
| 16 | 90 | 0 | -2 | -4 | -1 | 1 | 4 | 0 | -2 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -4 | -3 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 17 | -30 | -1 | 1 | 8 | 1 | -1 | -8 | -1 | 1 | -1 | 1 | -1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | -1 | 1 | -1 | 1 | 4 | 1 | -1 | -4 |
| 18 | 460 | 4 | 8 | 8 | -1 | 1 | -8 | -11 | -5 | 5 | 11 | -8 | -4 | 0 | 0 | -13 | -8 | 8 | 13 | 5 | -1 | 1 | -5 |
| 19 | -90 | 1 | 2 | -11 | -1 | 1 | 11 | 1 | 2 | -2 | -1 | -2 | -1 | 0 | 0 | -3 | -5 | 5 | 3 | 1 | -1 | 1 | -1 |
| 20 | 100 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | -2 | 0 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | -1 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
|  | IMP + | 59 | 40 | 44 | 39 | 20 | 42 | 56 | 38 | 29 | 26 | 37 | 32 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 15 | 48 | 65 | 73 | 54 | 26 | 20 |
|  | IMP - | 32 | 37 | 42 | 20 | 39 | 44 | 26 | 29 | 38 | 56 | 40 | 59 | 0 | 0 | 65 | 48 | 15 | 2 | 20 | 26 | 54 | 73 |

DATUM

| OPEN <br> No DATUM |  | (1st RR Match 8) |  |  |  | MACAU |  | INDONESIA |  | MALAYSIA |  | SINGAPORE |  | AUSTRALIA |  | PHILIPPINES |  | JAPAN |  | NEW ZEALAND |  | CH. TAIPEI |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | CHINA |  | HONG | KONG |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{S}$ | E-W | N-S | E-W | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{S}$ | E-W | N-S | E-W | N-S | E-W | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{S}$ | E-W | N-S | E-W | N-S | E-W | N-S | E-W | N-S | E-W | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{S}$ | E-W |
| 1 | 180 | -6 | -6 | -6 | -6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | -6 | -6 | -6 | -6 | -6 | -6 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| 2 | 70 | 1 | -2 | -5 | -2 | 2 | 5 | 2 | 5 | 2 | -1 | -5 | -2 | 1 | -2 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 0 | -5 | -2 | 2 | -1 |
| 3 | -250 | -15 | 14 | -10 | -8 | 8 | 9 | 8 | 10 | -14 | 15 | -9 | -8 | 8 | -8 | 8 | -8 | 0 | 0 | 8 | -8 | 8 | -8 |
| 4 | -300 | -12 | 5 | 3 | -14 | -8 | 8 | 14 | -3 | -5 | 12 | -8 | 8 | -8 | -3 | 3 | -14 | 0 | 0 | 14 | -3 | 3 | 8 |
| 5 | 240 | 10 | 8 | 13 | 14 | -8 | 14 | -14 | -13 | -8 | -10 | -14 | 8 | 13 | 10 | 10 | -9 | 0 | 0 | 9 | -10 | -10 | -13 |
| 6 | 210 | 7 | 6 | -6 | -5 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 6 | -6 | -7 | -6 | -7 | 5 | -5 | -2 | 6 | 0 | 0 | -6 | 2 | 5 | -5 |
| 7 | -640 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | -1 | -1 | 0 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 8 | -40 | -4 | -3 | 3 | -3 | 3 | -3 | 3 | -3 | 3 | 4 | 3 | -3 | -9 | -4 | -10 | -3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 10 | 4 | 9 |
| 9 | -600 | 0 | -13 | 0 | -3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -2 | 0 | -2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| 10 | 560 | 2 | -1 | -9 | -1 | -10 | -1 | 1 | 9 | 1 | -2 | 1 | 10 | 2 | -1 | 2 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | -2 | 1 | -2 |
| 11 | -480 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | -1 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -1 | -1 |
| 12 | -140 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 0 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -5 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | -1 | -1 | 0 | -1 |
| 13 | -110 | -10 | -5 | 5 | -5 | -1 | -7 | 5 | -5 | 5 | 10 | 7 | 1 | -10 | -5 | -11 | -5 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 11 | 5 | 10 |
| 14 | -10 | 3 | 3 | -3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | -3 | 3 | -3 | -3 | -3 | -3 | -3 | -6 | 3 | -2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | -3 | 6 | 3 |
| 15 | -620 | 3 | -3 | 3 | 8 | 4 | -4 | -8 | -3 | 3 | -3 | 4 | -4 | 4 | 8 | -10 | -4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 10 | -8 | -4 |
| 16 | 20 | 10 | 4 | 2 | 4 | -8 | -2 | -4 | -2 | -4 | -10 | 2 | 8 | -2 | -5 | -2 | -2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 2 |
| 17 | -370 | 9 | -9 | -2 | 11 | 10 | 1 | -11 | 2 | 9 | -9 | -1 | -10 | -11 | 2 | -2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | -1 | 2 | -2 | 11 |
| 18 | -620 | 12 | 7 | 4 | 7 | -7 | -12 | -7 | -4 | -7 | -12 | 12 | 7 | -7 | -12 | -7 | 7 | 0 | 0 | -7 | 7 | 12 | 7 |
| 19 | -550 | -3 | -12 | -4 | -2 | -4 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 12 | 3 | -2 | 4 | -4 | -12 | -4 | 4 | 0 | 0 | -4 | 4 | 12 | 4 |
| 20 | -120 | 1 | 2 | 8 | 9 | 7 | 2 | -9 | -8 | -2 | -1 | -2 | -7 | -1 | 1 | -2 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | -1 | 1 |
|  | IMP + | 58 | 49 | 41 | 58 | 55 | 56 | 49 | 45 | 54 | 51 | 30 | 46 | 35 | 22 | 29 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 56 | 58 | 70 | 64 |
|  | IMP - | 51 | 54 | 45 | 49 | 46 | 30 | 58 | 41 | 49 | 58 | 56 | 55 | 64 | 70 | 58 | 56 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 29 | 22 | 35 |

LADIES (1st RR Match 6)

|  |  | INDONESIA |  | KOREA |  | NEW ZEALAND |  | CH. TAIPEI |  | CHINA |  | AUSTRALIA |  | JAPAN |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No | DATUM | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{S}$ | E-W | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{S}$ | E-W | N-S | E-W | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{S}$ | E-W | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{S}$ | E-W | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{S}$ | E-W | N -S | E-W |
| 1 | 0 | 2 | 2 | -2 | 2 | -2 | -2 | -2 | 2 | 4 | -2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | -4 |
| 2 | -40 | 4 | -12 | -6 | 4 | 12 | -4 | -4 | 6 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | -2 | -3 |
| 3 | 590 | -4 | 4 | 9 | -9 | -4 | 4 | 9 | -9 | -3 | 4 | 0 | 0 | -4 | 3 |
| 4 | -20 | -3 | -3 | -3 | -8 | 3 | 3 | 8 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 0 | -4 | -3 |
| 5 | -100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -4 |
| 6 | -640 | 10 | 1 | 0 | -1 | -1 | -10 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -1 |
| 7 | -120 | -1 | 0 | -1 | -6 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | -1 | -1 |
| 8 | -30 | -2 | -2 | -3 | -2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 0 | -2 | -5 |
| 9 | -80 | 5 | -5 | 5 | 11 | 5 | -5 | -11 | -5 | 5 | 11 | 0 | 0 | -11 | -5 |
| 10 | 670 | 1 | 1 | -1 | 1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | 1 | 0 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 11 | -420 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 12 | -340 | 6 | 3 | 6 | 4 | -3 | -6 | -4 | -6 | -2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | -2 | 2 |
| 13 | 70 | 1 | -2 | 1 | 4 | 2 | -1 | -4 | -1 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 0 | -5 | -2 |
| 14 | -560 | 2 | 6 | 2 | -2 | -6 | -2 | 2 | -2 | 2 | 9 | 0 | 0 | -9 | -2 |
| 15 | -460 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | -1 | -1 | -1 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | -10 | 0 |
| 16 | -930 | -11 | -4 | -10 | -6 | 4 | 11 | 6 | 10 | 4 | -16 | 0 | 0 | 16 | -4 |
| 17 | -80 | -1 | 1 | 1 | -4 | -1 | 1 | 4 | -1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | -1 | -1 |
| 18 | -100 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | -1 | -5 |
| 19 | -640 | 0 | -1 | 1 | 12 | 1 | 0 | -12 | -1 | 10 | 2 | 0 | 0 | -2 | -10 |
| 20 | -80 | 5 | -5 | -2 | 3 | 5 | -5 | -3 | 2 | -2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | -2 | 2 |
|  | IMP + | 37 | 19 | 26 | 42 | 34 | 22 | 38 | 28 | 50 | 56 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 7 |
|  | IMP - | 22 | 34 | 28 | 38 | 19 | 37 | 42 | 26 | 7 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 56 | 50 |

DATUM

| LADIES <br> No DATUM |  | (1st RR INDONESIA |  | Match 7) |  |  |  | CH. TAIPEI |  | CHINA |  | AUSTRALIA |  | JAPAN |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{S}$ | E-W | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{S}$ | E-W | N-S | E-W | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{S}$ | E-W | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{S}$ | E-W | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{S}$ | E-W | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{S}$ | E-W |
| 1 | -30 | -3 | -4 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 3 | -3 | -4 | 2 | 9 | -9 | -2 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | 260 | -3 | 4 | -4 | 3 | 13 | -9 | 9 | -13 | -10 | 4 | -4 | 10 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 | -40 | -2 | -10 | 10 | 2 | -2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | -2 | -4 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| 4 | -20 | 8 | -4 | 4 | -8 | -5 | 5 | -5 | 5 | -5 | -5 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 0 |
| 5 | -480 | 0 | 12 | -12 | 0 | 0 | -1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | -2 | 0 | 0 |
| 6 | 130 | 0 | 6 | -6 | 0 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | -1 | 0 | 0 |
| 7 | -680 | 1 | 0 | 0 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8 | 50 | 0 | -2 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 3 | -3 | -2 | -3 | -2 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| 9 | -650 | 0 | -2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 10 | 200 | 3 | -3 | 3 | -3 | -3 | 2 | -2 | 3 | 0 | 2 | -2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 11 | -200 | 3 | -3 | 3 | -3 | -5 | -2 | 2 | 5 | 2 | 7 | -7 | -2 | 0 | 0 |
| 12 | -450 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 13 | -90 | 5 | 3 | -3 | -5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 14 | -130 | 5 | 0 | 0 | -5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 15 | 610 | 13 | 13 | -13 | -13 | 0 | -1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 16 | 70 | 4 | -1 | 1 | -4 | -3 | -1 | 1 | 3 | -3 | -2 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| 17 | 20 | 13 | 2 | -2 | -13 | -6 | -3 | 3 | 6 | -3 | -2 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| 18 | 410 | 5 | -5 | 5 | -5 | 17 | -6 | 6 | -17 | -12 | 12 | -12 | 12 | 0 | 0 |
| 19 | -10 | 3 | 4 | -4 | -3 | 3 | 1 | -1 | -3 | -1 | 1 | -1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 20 | 50 | 4 | 4 | -4 | -4 | 1 | -1 | 1 | -1 | -6 | -2 | 2 | 6 | 0 | 0 |
|  | IMP + | 67 | 48 | 34 | 8 | 40 | 14 | 24 | 25 | 7 | 35 | 17 | 47 | 0 | 0 |
|  | IMP - | 8 | 34 | 48 | 67 | 25 | 24 | 14 | 40 | 47 | 17 | 35 | 7 | 0 | 0 |

## LADIES (2nd RR Match 1)

| No | DATUM | AUSTRALIA |  | CHINA |  | INDONESIA |  | JAPAN |  | NEW ZEALAND |  | KOREA |  | CH. TAIPEI |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{S}$ | E-W | N -S | E-W | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{S}$ | E-W | N-S | E-W | N-S | E-W | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{S}$ | E-W | N-S | E-W |
| 1 | 290 | 0 | 0 | -8 | -3 | 3 | 8 | 3 | -4 | -8 | -3 | 4 | -3 | 3 | 8 |
| 2 | 100 | 0 | 0 | -6 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 6 |
| 3 | -480 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 14 | 11 | 5 | 11 | 14 | -5 | -11 | -14 | -11 | -14 | -11 |
| 4 | -640 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -10 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | -1 |
| 5 | 480 | 0 | 0 | 5 | -5 | -11 | 11 | 5 | -5 | -11 | 11 | 5 | -5 | 5 | -5 |
| 6 | 240 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 7 | -7 | 7 | 12 | -7 | -7 | 7 | 7 | -12 | -7 | -7 |
| 7 | -630 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | -1 | 0 | -1 | -1 |
| 8 | 40 | 0 | 0 | -4 | -2 | 0 | -2 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 0 | -4 | -2 | 2 | 4 |
| 9 | -250 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 8 | 3 | 9 | 13 | 9 | -9 | -3 | -9 | -13 | -8 | -12 |
| 10 | 90 | 0 | 0 | 1 | -2 | -7 | -3 | 2 | 5 | 3 | 7 | -5 | -2 | 2 | -1 |
| 11 | -490 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | -1 | -1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | -1 | 0 |
| 12 | -160 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | -1 | -1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | -2 | -1 | -1 |
| 13 | -510 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 3 | 9 | 4 | -3 | 4 | -4 | -9 | -4 | 3 | -3 | -12 |
| 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -4 | 9 | 5 | -11 | 2 | 3 | 11 | -5 | -3 | -2 | -9 | 4 |
| 15 | -840 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 11 | 8 | 4 | 8 | 11 | -4 | -8 | -11 | -8 | -11 | -10 |
| 16 | 180 | 0 | 0 | -8 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 3 | -3 | -2 | -1 | 3 | -3 | -2 | 8 |
| 17 | -660 | 0 | 0 | -6 | 6 | 6 | -12 | 6 | 6 | 12 | -6 | -6 | -6 | -6 | 6 |
| 18 | -330 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 4 | -3 | -9 | -4 | 11 | 9 | 3 | -11 | 4 | -4 | -9 |
| 19 | -530 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 3 | 12 | 4 | -3 | 4 | -4 | -12 | -4 | 3 | -3 | -8 |
| 20 | -50 | 0 | 0 | -1 | 2 | 4 | -6 | -2 | 2 | 6 | -4 | -2 | 2 | -2 | 1 |
|  | IMP + | 0 | 0 | 78 | 72 | 62 | 55 | 69 | 74 | 44 | 29 | 30 | 12 | 13 | 37 |
|  | IMP - | 0 | 0 | 37 | 13 | 29 | 44 | 12 | 30 | 55 | 62 | 74 | 69 | 72 | 78 |

DATUM

| YOUTH <br> No DATUM |  | (1st RR JAPAN |  | Match 4) |  |  |  | CH. TAIPEI |  | INDONESIA |  | AUSTRALIA |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | HONG | KONG | SINGAPORE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{S}$ | E-W | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{S}$ | E-W | N-S | E-W | N-S | E-W | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{S}$ | E-W | N -S | E-W |
| 1 | 60 |  |  | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -3 | 0 | 0 | -3 | -1 | 1 | 3 |
| 2 | 10 | 3 | 3 | 1 | -1 | -3 | -3 | 1 | -1 | -3 | -1 | 1 | 3 |
| 3 | 600 | -4 | -9 | -3 | 3 | 9 | 4 | -3 | 3 | -4 | -9 | 9 | 4 |
| 4 | -10 | -5 | 4 | -3 | -3 | -4 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 3 | -3 | 3 | -3 |
| 5 | -60 | -1 | -4 | -1 | 1 | 4 | 1 | -1 | 1 | -4 | -3 | 3 | 4 |
| 6 | -670 | -12 | -2 | 13 | -2 | 2 | 12 | 2 | -13 | 1 | 4 | -4 | -1 |
| 7 | -140 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 8 | 20 | -4 | -1 | 1 | -2 | 1 | 4 | 2 | -1 | -4 | -2 | 2 | 4 |
| 9 | 150 | -2 | -2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | -2 | -2 | 2 | 13 | -13 | -2 |
| 10 | 500 | 5 | 12 | 5 | -5 | -12 | -5 | 5 | -5 | 5 | 12 | -12 | -5 |
| 11 | -300 | 8 | 3 | 8 | 3 | -3 | -8 | -3 | -8 | -3 | 3 | -3 | 3 |
| 12 | -30 | 13 | 9 | 4 | -4 | -9 | -13 | 4 | -4 | 4 | 9 | -9 | -4 |
| 13 | 60 | -4 | -2 | -6 | -2 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 6 | 2 | -2 | 2 | -2 |
| 14 | -630 | 4 | 8 | 4 | 4 | -8 | -4 | -4 | -4 | 4 | 3 | -3 | -4 |
| 15 | -680 | -12 | -6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 12 | -6 | -6 | 6 | 6 | -6 | -6 |
| 16 | -1090 | -9 | -9 | -9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | -9 | 9 | 9 | -9 | 9 | -9 |
| 17 | -50 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 2 | -2 | -4 | -2 | 0 | 3 | 2 | -2 | -3 |
| 18 | -100 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 19 | -840 | 6 | 11 | 4 | -6 | -11 | -6 | 6 | -4 | 4 | 11 | -11 | -4 |
| 20 | -30 | 12 | 4 | 4 | 5 | -4 | -12 | -5 | -4 | -4 | -4 | 4 | 4 |
|  | IMP + | 58 | 57 | 52 | 35 | 35 | 53 | 25 | 22 | 43 | 63 | 34 | 27 |
|  | IMP - | 53 | 35 | 22 | 25 | 57 | 58 | 35 | 52 | 27 | 34 | 63 | 43 |
|  | OUTH | (1s |  | Mat | ch |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | HONG | KONG | SING | ORE | CH. T | PEI | INDO | SIA | AUS | LIA |
| No | DATUM | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{S}$ | E-W | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{S}$ | E-W | N-S | E-W | N-S | E-W | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{S}$ | E-W | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{S}$ | E-W |
| 1 | -280 | -4 | -8 | 8 | 4 | -4 | 7 | 4 | -4 | 4 | -4 | -7 | 4 |
| 2 | 150 | 4 | -2 | 2 | -4 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2 | -2 | 0 | -3 | 0 |
| 3 | 20 | -6 | -3 | 3 | 6 | -2 | -2 | -3 | -3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2 |
| 4 | -50 | 4 | 10 | -10 | -4 | -4 | -4 | -4 | -12 | 12 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| 5 | -480 | 2 | 0 | 0 | -2 | 0 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 0 | -1 | -8 | 0 |
| 6 | 120 | 1 | 1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | 5 | 1 | -8 | 8 | -1 | -5 | 1 |
| 7 | -680 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -13 | 0 |
| 8 | -80 | -6 | -5 | 5 | 6 | -2 | 2 | 6 | 2 | -2 | -6 | -2 | 2 |
| 9 | -670 | 0 | -1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | -1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | -1 |
| 10 | 60 | 2 | 5 | -5 | -2 | -5 | -1 | 3 | -1 | 1 | -3 | 1 | 5 |
| 11 | -190 | 6 | 5 | -5 | -6 | -7 | -1 | 2 | -3 | 3 | -2 | 1 | 7 |
| 12 | -440 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | -1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | -1 |
| 13 | -110 | 0 | -1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | -5 | 0 | 5 | -5 | 0 | 5 | 0 |
| 14 | -120 | -1 | -1 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 2 | -2 | -1 | -2 | -5 |
| 15 | 440 | 5 | -5 | 5 | -5 | 5 | -4 | -11 | 12 | -12 | 11 | 4 | -5 |
| 16 | 90 | -5 | -2 | 2 | 5 | 2 | -8 | 1 | 5 | -5 | -1 | 8 | -2 |
| 17 | 20 | -3 | -4 | 4 | 3 | -5 | -2 | -2 | -9 | 9 | 2 | 2 | 5 |
| 18 | 130 | 10 | 6 | -6 | -10 | 15 | 1 | -6 | 6 | -6 | 6 | -1 | -15 |
| 19 | -50 | -2 | 3 | -3 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 0 | -4 | -3 | -4 |
| 20 | 60 | 3 | 4 | -4 | -3 | 1 | -2 | 2 | 4 | -4 | -2 | 2 | -1 |
|  | IMP + | 37 | 34 | 32 | 27 | 34 | 44 | 25 | 38 | 42 | 26 | 29 | 30 |
|  | IMP - | 27 | 32 | 34 | 37 | 30 | 29 | 26 | 42 | 38 | 25 | 44 | 34 |

## NO SMOKING ALERT!

Smoking is not permitted anywhere on the fourth floor of the Urban Gourmet Port, including the playing areas, the Secretariat, the foyer and the rest rooms. Please be considerate of others and refrain from smoking in these areas. Smoking is permitted in hotel rooms and outside.

## AIRPORT LIMOUSINE AND RETURN FLIGHT RECONFIRMATION

It is advisable to reconfirm your return flight and to reserve a seat on the airport limousine bus to Kansai International Airport (KIX) as soon as possible during your stay here. Should you require assistance, please contact the Business Center (ext. 3780) located on the second floor of the Sheraton. The Business Center's hours are 8:00 am to 7:00 pm every day.

## KYOTO ONE-DAY TOUR ON MAY 25

Two buses will leave for Kyoto at 8:30 am sharp. Registered participants are advised to be at the hotel main entrance ten minutes early. The tour includes visits to Kinkakuji (or Golden Pavilion), Heian Shrine, lunch and shopping at Kyoto Handicraft Center, Hekiunso Garden and Sanjusangendou Temple. Buses will return to the hotel by 5:30 pm. Anyone interested in this trip must reconfirm as soon as possible at the Secretariat. Those wishing to leave the buses and travel around Kyoto on their own are advised to declare their intentions in advance.

## DELAYS IN ROOM SERVICE

The hotel advises that, due to the expected heavy use of room service during this tournament, there may be delays in food delivery. Please be patient.

## ACCESS BETWEEN HOTEL AND PLAYING AREA

Be advised that there are two access routes between the Kobe Bay Sheraton Hotel and the Urban Gourmet Port Building. One is located on the fourth floor and the other on the second floor.

## COMPLIMENTARY BUS SHUTTLE TO SANNOMIYA OR SHIN KOBE

The Kobe Bay Sheraton offers complimentary shuttle bus service between the hotel and Sannomiya or Shin Kobe. Tickets may be obtained at the desk on the fourth floor of the Urban Gourmet Port Building. Schedules are available in your room, guest packet, the hotel Business Center, or the desk.

## SPORTS PUB ARENA

The Sports Pub Arena will return to its normal 11:00 pm closing time beginning May 18.

## CONTACTING THE PABF SECRETARIAT

The Secretariat, located in the I-Hall on the $4^{\text {th }}$ floor of the Urban Gourmet Port, can be contacted as follows: From your hotel room dial 6060 or 6061; from the Kobe City area dial $857-5585$; from outside the City area dial 078-857-5585; and from overseas (for our Internet readers) dial +81-78-857-5585 (voice) or +81-78-857-5584 (fax).

## DISCOUNT ON USE OF TENNIS COURTS

The Bay Club on the $5^{\text {th }}$ floor of the Kobe Bay Sheraton Hotel is offering $50 \%$ off tennis court rentals. Please book all reservations directly to \#3891 in order to receive the discount. (Note: rental items do not qualify for the discount.)

## 38th PABF CHAMPIONSHIPS

| OPEN Series | Rank | Total | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | bye | Penalty |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1.CHINA | 3 | 143 |  |  |  |  | 12 | 21 | 23 | 25 | 25 | 15 | 4 | 18 |  |
| 2.CHINA HONG KONG | 5 | 120 |  |  | 19 | 15 |  |  | 20 | 9 | 19 | 10 | 10 | 18 |  |
| 3.MACAU | 9 | 105 |  | 11 |  |  |  | 22 | 7 | 9 | 6 | 16 | 16 | 18 |  |
| 4.INDONESIA | 6 | 118 |  | 15 |  |  | 24 |  | 16 | 13 | 17 | 10 | 5 | 18 |  |
| 5.MALAYSIA | 7 | 110 | 18 |  |  | 6 |  |  | 18 | 20 | 1 | 19 | 10 | 18 |  |
| 6.SINGAPORE | 11 | 85 | 9 |  | 8 |  |  |  | 6 | 15 | 19 | 4 | 6 | 18 |  |
| 7.AUSTRALIA | 8 | 110 | 7 | 10 | 23 | 14 | 12 | 24 |  |  |  |  | 2 | 18 |  |
| 8.PHILIPPINES | 10 | 93 | 3 | 21 | 21 | 17 | 10 | 15 |  |  | 1 | 5 |  |  |  |
| 9.JAPAN | 4 | 127 | 0 | 11 | 24 | 13 | 25 | 11 |  | 25 |  |  |  | 18 |  |
| 10.NEW ZEALAND | 1 | 155 | 15 | 20 | 12 | 20 | 11 | 25 |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  |
| 11.CHINESE TAIPEI | 2 | 155 | 25 | 20 | 14 | 25 | 20 | 24 | 25 |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |


| LADIES Series | Rank | Total | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | bye | Penalty | $1^{\text {st }} \mathrm{RR}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1.AUSTRALIA | 2 | 147 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 18 |  | 129 |
| 2.CHINA | 1 | 149 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 25 |  |  | 124 |
| 3.INDONESIA | 4 | 122 |  |  |  |  | 21 |  |  |  |  | 101 |
| 4.JAPAN | 3 | 122 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 25 |  |  | 97 |
| 5.NEW ZEALAND | 5 | 94 |  |  | 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 85 |
| 6.KOREA | 7 | 40 |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  | 40 |
| 7.CHINESE TAIPEI | 6 | 41 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 39 |


| YOUTH Series | Rank | Total | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | Penalty |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1.JAPAN | 3 | 78 |  | 17 | 19 | 14 | 14 | 14 |  |
| 2.CHINA HONG KONG | 4 | 76 | 13 |  | 24 | 22 | 10 | 7 |  |
| 3.SINGAPORE | 6 | 56 | 11 | 6 |  | 14 | 6 | 19 |  |
| 4.CHINESE TAIPEI | 5 | 61 | 16 | 8 | 16 |  | 15 | 6 |  |
| 5.INDONESIA | 1 | 97 | 16 | 20 | 24 | 15 |  | 22 |  |
| 6.AUSTRALIA | 2 | 82 | 16 | 23 | 11 | 24 | 8 |  |  |


[^0]:    "Hi, mom."

