## CHINA TAKES OVER LEAD IN OPEN \& LADIES CHINESE TAIPEI WINS YOUTH SERIES (see p.6)

| Rank | Open Series | VPs | Ladies Series | VPs | Youth Series | VPs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | CHINA | 358 | CHINA | 345 | CHINESE TAIPEI | 249 |
| 2 | NEW ZEALAND | 350 | AUSTRALIA | 323 | INDONESIA | 241 |
| 3 | CHINESE TAIPEI | 343 | INDONESIA | 285 | JAPAN | 237 |
| 4 | INDONESIA | 321 | NEW ZEALAND | 251 | AUSTRALIA | 217 |
| 5 | JAPAN | 312 | JAPAN | 247 | HONG KONG, CHINA | 207 |
| 6 | HONG KONG, CHINA | 302 | CHINESE TAIPEI | 172 | SINGAPORE | 198 |
| 7 | AUSTRALIA | 294 | KOREA | 130 |  |  |
| 8 | MALAYSIA | 258 |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | PHILIPPINES | 224 |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | MACAU | 188 |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | SINGAPORE | 163 |  |  |  |  |


| PABF SCHEDULE/TIMES |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Day | Date | Time | Event |
| Friday | May 22 | 11:30-14:30 | $2^{\text {nd }}$ RR Match 10 (0); $3^{\text {rd }}$ RR Match 6 (L) |
|  |  | 15:00-18:00 | $2^{\text {nd }}$ RR Match 11 (O); $3^{\text {rd }}$ RR Match 7 (L) |
|  |  | 20:30-22:50 | Semi-Final ${ }^{\text {st }}$ Qtr (O) |
|  |  | 11:00-18:30 | Kobe Bay Sheraton Cup Open Pairs (2 sessions) |
| Saturday | May 23 | 11:30-13:50 | Semi-Finals $2^{\text {nd }}$ Qtr (O); Final $1^{\text {st }}$ Segment (L) |
|  |  | 14:10-16:30 | Semi-Finals $3^{\text {rd }}$ Qtr (O); Final $2^{\text {nd }}$ Segment (L) |
|  |  | 16:50-19:10 | Semi-Finals $4^{\text {th }}$ Qtr (O); Final $3^{\text {rd }}$ Segment (L) |
|  |  | 21:10-23:30 | Final $1^{\text {st }} \mathrm{Qtr}$ (O) |
|  |  | 13:30-17:00 | PABF Open Pairs $1^{\text {st }}$ Qualifying Session |
|  |  | 18:30-22:00 | PABF Open Pairs 2 ${ }^{\text {nd }}$ Qualifying Session |

## WE GET IT RIGHT - EVENTUALLY

The night before last we had two appeals, which interrupted our reporting of the day's match results (as well as changing some of the scores we had already written about). When we got back to our computers in the wee morning hours we had temporarily lost track of some of what had, and had not, been completed before we were so rudely interrupted. We also had even less time than usual to proofread what had already been done. Unfortunately, as a result the matches below were reported inaccurately in yesterday's Daily Bulletin. Here are the corrected results of the matches from Wednesday's evening session, as well as the real "In Briefs." Our apologies for the confusion.

| OPEN Series (RR 2) - Match 6 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NEW ZEALAND | (10) 1-25 (87) | AUSTRALIA |
| MALAYSIA | (47) 10-20 (71) | CHINESE TAIPEI |
| CHINA | (91) 25-3 (27) | MACAU |
| INDONESIA | (52) 19-11 (32) | PHILIPPINES |
| SINGAPORE | (39) 7-23 (77) | HONG KONG, CHINA |
| LADIES Series (RR 3) - Match 2 |  |  |
| CHINA | (61) 21-9 (31) | KOREA |
| JAPAN | (32) 14-16 (39) | NEW ZEALAND |
| INDONESIA | (49) 21-9 (21) | CHINESE TAIPEI |
| YOUTH Series (RR 3) - Match 3 |  |  |
| INDONESIA | (35) 8-22 (70) | CHINESE TAIPEI |
| HONG KONG, CHINA | (33) 11-19 (50) | JAPAN |
| AUSTRALIA | (43) 9-21 (71) | SINGAPORE |

## RR 2 - MATCH 6 (O); RR 3 - MATCH 2 (L) 3 (Y): IN BRIEF

## Open Event:

Australia surprised second-place New Zealand with a hefty spanking, while top-ranked Chinese Taipei had the best of it against eighth-ranked Malaysia. Third-ranked China blasted Macau, and the Indonesian pretenders beat next-to-last-ranked Philippines. No one was surprised that Hong Kong, China crushed Singapore, who are in danger of falling off the bottom of our charts.

## Women's Event:

First-place China soundly thrashed Korea. Japan lost a close contest to closely-ranked New Zealand, and Indonesia predictably beat Chinese Taipei.

## Youth's Event:

First-place Indonesia lost soundly to Chinese Taipei, who are rising to first place, while high-ranked Japan beat lowly Hong Kong, China. But the real surprise was Australia's loss to bottom-ranked Singapore.

## YESTERDAY'S MATCH RESULTS

| OPEN Series (RR 2) - Match 7 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NEW ZEALAND | (64) 16-14 (59) | JAPAN |
| CHINA | (101) 25-0 (18) | CHINESE TAIPEI |
| HONG KONG, CHINA | (68) 15-15 (70) | INDONESIA |
| MALAYSIA | (72) 22-8 (38) | MACAU |
| SINGAPORE | (44) 8-22 (76) | PHILIPPINES |
| LADIES Series (RR 3) - Match 3 |  |  |
| CHINESE TAIPEI | (61) 16-14 (54) | CHINA |
| AUSTRALIA | (31) 11-19 (52) | NEW ZEALAND |
| KOREA | (85) 21-9 (57) | JAPAN |
| YOUTH Series (RR 3) - Match 4 |  |  |
| JAPAN | (42) 12-18 (56) | INDONESIA |
| CHINESE TAIPEI | (59) 20-10 (35) | AUSTRALIA |
| SINGAPORE | (41) 12-18 (56) | HONG KONG, CHINA |

## RR 2 - MATCH 7 (O); RR 3 - MATCH 3 (L) 4 (Y): IN BRIEF

## Open Event:

Second-ranked New Zealand outlasted fourth-ranked Japan, while third-place China annihilated topranked Chinese Taipei. Middle-ranked Hong Kong, China and Indonesia fought to an unrewarding draw, as Malaysia blew away Macau. Philippines, just recently escaped from the bottom two rankings, celebrated by trouncing last-place Singapore.

## Women's Event:

This was a round of upsets. Last-ranked Chinese Taipei beat first-place China while, in the most recent version of the "Battle of the South Stars," fifth-place New Zealand upset second-ranked Australia. And last-place Korea upset fourth-place Japan.

## Youth Event:

In the penultimate match of the junior division, the vying for position was fierce. Third-place Indonesia beat second-place Japan, while top-ranked Chinese Taipei soundly defeated fourth-place Australia. In the battle of the cellar-dwellers there were no surprises, as Hong Kong, China turned away lastplace Singapore.

## CAPTAINS' MEETING TODAY

There will be an Open and Ladies' Teams Captains' Meeting in the $4^{\text {th }}$ floor playing area at 6:00 p.m. today.

| OPEN Series (RR 2) - Match 8 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HONG KONG, CHINA | $(52) 14-16(57)$ | NEW ZEALAND |  |
| CHINESE TAIPEI | $(20) 8-22(56)$ | INDONESIA |  |
| CHINA | $(51) 20-10(28)$ | JAPAN |  |
| AUSTRALIA | $(72) 24-6(26)$ | SINGAPORE |  |
| PHILIPPINES | $(51) 16-14(46)$ | MALAYSIA |  |
| LADIES Series (RR 3) - Match 4 |  |  |  |
| CHINA | (95) $25-0(7)$ | NEW ZEALAND |  |
| CHINESE TAIPEI | (35) $13-17(45)$ | AUSTRALIA |  |
| INDONESIA | (54) $23-7(13)$ | KOREA |  |
| YOUTH Series (RR 3) - Match 5 |  |  |  |
| INDONESIA | (40) $12-18(54)$ | AUSTRALIA |  |
| JAPAN | (31) $12-18(44)$ | SINGAPORE |  |
| CHINESE TAIPEI | (50) $14-16(53)$ | HONG KONG, CHINA |  |

## RR 2 - MATCH 8 (O); RR 3 - MATCH 4 (L) 5 (Y): IN BRIEF

## Open Event:

Third-ranked New Zealand beat sixth-place Hong Kong, China, while fourth-ranked Indonesia did the same, but more so, to second-ranked Chinese Taipei. First-place China beat home-team Japan, and Australia easily dispatched poor Singapore. Now out of the cellar, The Philippines flexed its newly found muscle by defeating eighth-ranked Malaysia.

## Women's Event:

Top-ranked China dispatched fourth-place New Zealand in a whirlwind bashing, while second-place Australia beat next-to-last-place Chinese Taipei. Third-ranked Indonesia beat last-place Korea, as expected.

## Youth's Event:

This was it; last dance, last chance. Fourth-place Australia beat second-place Indonesia, ending the Indo's chances for the top spot. Last-place Singapore scored a rousing upset of third-place Japan, ending the home-country's chances too. Finally, fifth-place Hong Kong, China upset first-place Chinese Taipei, putting the hex on their chances for glory as well. All three of the top-placed teams had lost their final match. Now it would take a gaggle of accountants to figure out who had prevailed. So you think we're going to tell you who won, "Bunky"? Think again. You'll have to turn to page six to find out.

## PLAYING AREA CHANGE FOR OPEN AND LADIES' TEAMS SEMI-FINALS \& FINALS

The Semi-Finals and Finals of the PABF Open and Ladies' Teams will be held on the $1^{\text {st }}$ floor of the Kobe Bay Sheraton Hotel, in the Excelsior Room.

| OPEN Series (RR 2) - Match 9 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| INDONESIA | (28) $7-23(68)$ | NEW ZEALAND |
| CHINESE TAIPEI | $(43) 20-10(20)$ | JAPAN |
| HONG KONG, CHINA | $(24) 10-20(50)$ | CHINA |
| PHILIPPINES | (42) $16-14(38)$ | AUSTRALIA |
| MACAU | (43) $13-17(52)$ | SINGAPORE |
| LADIES Series (RR 3) - Match 5 |  |  |
| JAPAN | (15) $1-25(91)$ | CHINA |
| AUSTRALIA | (51) $14-16(55)$ | INDONESIA |
| KOREA | (48) $16-14(41)$ | CHINESE TAIPEI |

## RR 2 - MATCH 9 (O); RR 3 - MATCH 5 (L): IN BRIEF

## Open Event:

Second-ranked New Zealand dealt fourth-ranked Indonesia a hard blow, while third-place Chinese Taipei knocked fifth-ranked Japan further out of the money. Top-ranked China did the expected by defeating Hong Kong, China, but newly risen Philippines upset higher-ranked Australia (is this really "Manilla Power"?). Last-ranked Singapore filed a modest upset of next-to-last-ranked Macau.

## Women's Event:

Top-ranked China devastated fourth-place Japan (there's sorrow in Kobe and Tokyo, tonight), thirdranked Indonesia scored an upset-let over second-ranked Australia, but Korea delivered a full-blown upset of Chinese Taipei.

## ATTENTION: OPEN TEAMS SEMIFINALISTS \& LADIES TEAMS FINALISTS

Losing Semi-Finalists in the PABF Open Teams and Finalists in the PABF Ladies Teams may play in the Finals of the PABF Open Pairs on Sunday, May 24. Pairs consisting of two players who were both members of teams in the Open or Ladies Team events and who wish to play, will be given an automatic bye directly into the Open Pairs Finals. The entry fee will be $¥ 6.000$.

Please advise the Secretariat Office no later than 6:00 p.m. on Saturday, May 23, if you intend to play, as arrangements for the proper number of qualifying pairs has to be made.

"Sorry, Mate, Nike's using Michael Jordan to tout their sneakers."

"Not forcing, not invitational, not on the convention card."

"Just once l'd like to make my contract."

## YOUTH EVENT DECIDED IN NAIL-BITING FINISH

The Youth event went right down to the wire, with first, second and third place separated by only 10 VPs. With the other three teams far enough back that they were mathematically out of it, one of these three would be the 1998 PABF Youth Team Champions - but which? By 11 p.m. it looked like no one would win the event. All three top-ranked teams had lost to their underdog opponents (all played one of the three bottom-ranked teams). The closest match was between top-ranked Chinese Taipei and Hong Kong, China, Taipei losing 14-16 in VPs. Since they had started the round 6 VPs ahead of second-place Indonesia, and won more VPs on the last round (albeit in a "losing" cause), they emerged as winners. When both second-place Indonesia and third-place Japan lost to their respective opponents by the same 12-18 VP margin, they too retained their relative positions in the final rankings. If you think you're confused, think how we feel after having spent most of this afternoon sorting this whole thing out. So here they are:

## CHINESE TAIPEI — 1998 PABF YOUTH TEAM CHAMPIONS



Left to right: Eric Wu, Fred Chen, Tony Yung, Rong-yung King (npc), Dave Sun, Jim Wu and Wayne Choe.

## CONTINUOUS PAIRS RESULTS

The results from the four-session Continuous Pairs are in. The first five places are:

| 1. Emiko Hibikio | $120.69 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2. Gu Xuehai | $120.03 \%$ |
| 3. Yutaka Hiramatsu | $119.55 \%$ |
| 4. Takeshi Higashiguchi | $118.11 \%$ |
| 5. Yasuyoshi Toriumi | $117.79 \%$ |

Congratulations to all of the competitors.

| Vu-Graph Show Schedule |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Date (Day) | Time | Match | Date (Day) | Time | Match |
|  | $N$ |  | May 23 (SAT) | $\begin{aligned} & 12: 00 \\ & 14: 40 \\ & 17: 20 \\ & 21: 10 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { SF } 2^{\text {nd d }} \mathrm{Qtr} \\ \text { SF } 3^{\text {rd }} \mathrm{Qtr} \\ \text { SF } 4^{\text {th }} \mathrm{Qtr} \\ \text { FINAL } 1^{\text {st }} \mathrm{Qtr} \end{gathered}$ |
| May 22 (FRI) | $\begin{aligned} & 12: 00 \\ & 15: 30 \\ & 20: 30 \end{aligned}$ | RR 2-10 <br> RR 2-11 <br> SF $1^{\text {st }} \mathrm{Qtr}$ | May 24 (SUN) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 10:30 } \\ & \text { 14:20 } \\ & 17: 00 \end{aligned}$ | FINAL $2^{\text {nd }}$ Qtr FINAL $3^{\text {rd }}$ Qtr FINAL $4^{\text {th }}$ Qtr |

## WIRE PALADIN, KOBE $\underline{\underline{2}}$

Those of you out there reading these Bulletins on the Internet can contact us here (players, officials, even us editors) via e-mail. Just send your message to:

```
jcbl@mxd.mesh.ne.jp
```

Make sure that your intended recipient is clearly specified (preferably in the message's "Subject," or "re" field). Unfortunately, we have no way of sending e-mail from here. (That's right. We can receive, but we can't send.) If your message is of an urgent nature and you need a response, make sure you provide a phone number or other means for contacting you.

## PABF CHAMPIONSHIPS ON THE INTERNET

We are happy to announce that our Daily Bulletins are available on the Internet. Call your family and friends and tell them to follow the adventures of some of the best players from Asia and the Pacific rim (including yourself) by surfing the net to the following address:

> http://bridge.cplaza.ne.jp/pabf/index-e.html

## Do you like reading bridge magazines? Try . . .

## NEW ZEALAND BRIDGE

6 issues a year
Annual overseas subscription: $¥ 4.680$
(payable in any currency)
If you'd like to subscribe or have a look at a sample issue, see Richard Solomon. You can find him wherever the New Zealand team is playing.

## LESS EXPENSIVE, TASTES GOOD — LAUNDRY AND SUSHI, TOO

For those looking for a taste of Japan, without the typical Japanese bite on the pocketbook, the following food sources are located within a short walk of the hotel. We've tried several of them ourselves and can recommend them to the budget-minded among you.


The Family Mart, just in front of and to the West of the hotel, is a small convenience store with many items (soft drinks, ice cream, candy, chips, sundries, other food snacks, etc.) on which bridge players have been known to subsist - often for extended periods of time.

Two jumbo supermarkets are located across the monorail, to the North and West of the hotel. A third one, with excellent value sushi (go to fishery section - only $¥ 1.280$ for 8 pieces), is located on the ground floor of the Lausanne Hotel.

Fifteen restaurants are located in the Urban Gourmet Port. These range from Wendy's hamburgers and Vie de France to other more upscale (and expansive) eateries.

Of course, for those dining with the benefit of a generous expense account there's always the highpriced restaurants in the hotel (but see the special the Garden Cafe is offering, described below); just don't say we didn't warn you. - Bon appetite!

Once you've eaten, if you're anything like your editors you'll need your laundry done. A good place to go is the laundry located outside of the Supermarkets, West of the monorail. The turnaround is only two days.

## STEAK OUT AND THE BREAKFAST BUFFET

The Garden Cafe, located on the $1^{\text {st }}$ floor of the Sheraton Hotel, is offering PABF members two super specials. Breakfast: A sumptuous buffet featuring eggs, ham, bacon, baked items, cereals, coffee, a variety of juices, fresh fruits and vegetables and more. Normally $¥ 2.400$, this buffet is available until May 24 for only $¥ 1.400$. Lunch/dinner: Featuring soup of the day, sirloin steak with madeira wine sauce, bread, a green salad and coffee. Normally $¥ 2.500$, this magnificent feast can be had until May 22 for only $¥ 1.500$. Take it from us, this is well worth it. The breakfast buffet is served from 7:00 to 9:30 am (the regular breakfast menu is available until 10:00 am); the lunch/dinner special is served from 11:30 to 21:00. At prices like this, you'd better get there quick before they run out of food.


# TGR to Hold Auction Pairs 

August $14^{\text {th }}-16^{\text {th }}$

TGR Promotions are proud to launch the first TGR's International Auction Pairs Tournament - the richest Bridge contest ever staged in the United Kingdom. With a guaranteed minimum first prize of $£ 100,000$ and guaranteed total prize of fund of at least $£ 250,000$, of which all finalists will receive a share, many of the worlds leading players are sure to attend. The event has been timed to enable those traveling on to the Pairs Olympiad, being held in Lillie, Nothern France, the following week, to facilitate their travel arrangements.

The Landmark Hotel in London provides an ideal venue for the event with its comfortable and elegant function rooms, accommodations and facilities. With the large subsidy the are offering on the cost of overnight stay, residing there will represent great value for the money.

On Friday 14th August Cocktails and a Buffet Dinner will be followed by the Auction. Until its conclusion an open bar will be maintained with all drinks free of charge. After the completion of the play, there will be a Gala Dinner on Sunday evening with entertainment and followed by an awards ceremony. All of this is included in the entrance fee of $£ 500$ per player.

Entries close on Tuesday, July 14, 1998.
Tel: +44 (0) 1717062404
TGR Promotions Ltd.
Fax: +44 (0) 1717064680
E-mail: tgr@ukonline.co.jp

## BEST SHOPPING IN KOBE



Our spy tells us that the best locations for shopping, eating and taking care of business in Kobe are found in the Sannomiya and Motomachi areas, near the center of town. Sogo and Daimaru are the two major department stores and the Motomachi shopping arcade (street) is the most traditional shopping. Just take the hotel shuttle bus, leaving the Kobe Bay Sheraton every hour on the hour, and you will find yourself at the Sannomiya Bus Stop in about 25 minutes. Happy shopping!
P.S. Be warned. Our map is approximate. Better ones are available at the Secretariat.

## APPEALS: CASE ONE

Event: PABF Youth Teams, RR 3 - Match 1, Wednesday, May 20
Teams: N/S: Hong Kong, China; E/W Australia

| Bd: 4 | North |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DIr: West | ¢ KJ74 |  |  |
| Vul: Both | $\bigcirc 9$ |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ J73 |  |  |
|  | \& AQ1097 |  |  |
| West | East |  |  |
| - Q1063 |  |  | - A952 |
| $\bigcirc$ Q2 |  |  | $\bigcirc$ KJ10543 |
| $\checkmark$ AQ108 |  |  | $\checkmark 96$ |
| - K85 |  |  | \% |
|  | South |  |  |
|  | - 8 |  |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ A876 |  |  |
|  | $\diamond$ K542 |  |  |
|  | - 6432 |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Jappe | Li | Madi | sson Cheung |
| 1NT | Pass | 2\% | Pass |
| 24 | Pass | 44 | All Pass |

Facts: 4@ went two down, minus 200 for E/W. 1NT was 13-15 HCP. 2\% was simple Stayman. The opening lead was the 4 . After calling a low spade from dummy declarer stated that South took a long time before playing to trick one, pulling a card from his hand, then replacing it (fumbling), and finally following with the $\$$. Declarer won the ten and, believing South to have at least one more spade because of his actions at trick one, at trick two played a low spade toward dummy and rose with the ace when North followed with the seven. When South showed out West called the Director.

Director's Ruling: After questioning the players the Director determined that West claimed that his play at trick two was based on the inference that, once North followed to the second round of spades, the suit was breaking. South would have had no reason to think at trick one with a singleton. Declarer's stated plan was to draw the second round of trumps and lose one trick in spades, hearts and clubs (taking the diamond finesse if necessary). Without the hesitation, given the favorable lead, West stated that he would have safety-played trumps at trick two (via a spade to dummy's nine).

After consultation with several "experts," the Director ruled that declarer's play of a low spade at trick two was inferior and that it was this line of play which was responsible for the bad result. South would have played the king at trick one had he held it and the only holding consistent with his hesitation was $\$ \mathrm{~J} 8$ doubleton - making the $\$ \mathrm{Q}$ the technically correct play at trick two. In addition, the Director noted that the contract was a difficult one to play and there was no guarantee that it would have been made even after playing the 9 from dummy at trick two. The Director ruled that the table result would stand and a procedural penalty was issued against N/S for South's hesitation with a singleton (Law 90). The latter was suspended and left up to the Committee as to whether it should be reissued when the Director learned that his ruling was being appealed.

The Appeal: E/W appealed the Director's ruling. At the hearing West stated in response to a question from the Committee that he had not played from dummy immediately at trick one, realizing the ethical dilemma such an action places the next player in. Instead, he had waited $5-10$ seconds before calling for a low spade. West said that the hesitation and fumble from South were clear and unmistakable. South admitted that he took a bit of time to play to trick one, but was not aware of whether or not he had fumbled or removed and then replaced a card before playing to the trick. Neither North nor East had seen what happened because the screen effectively blocked their view.

The Committee's Decision: The Committee made several points in this case. First, Declarer should never play quickly from dummy at trick one; even a $5-10$ second pause may be too fast. Second, regardless of declarer's tempo in playing from dummy, third hand is under no obligation to play quickly and need (should) not apologize or explain his tempo (a comment such as, "I need to think about the whole hand" is both unnecessary and inappropriate). In fact, third hand should play with due
deliberation, even if his play to trick one is easy or "automatic." Third hand's slow (within reason) play at trick one should be without prejudice (declarer takes inference at his own risk - however, an unduly fast play by third hand is not without prejudice). Thus, South's thinking at trick one was not material to this decision.

Third, South's alleged "fumbling" was another matter. The fact that declarer was certain about the fumble, while South was uncertain whether he might have done this or not, led the Committee to find that there was a perceptible fumble. Law 73D1 gives players the right to draw inferences from variations in their opponents' manner and/or tempo. However, players may not attempt to mislead opponents through such variations (Law 73D2). Law 73F2 instructs a Director (or, by extension, an Appeal Committee) to adjust a score if an "innocent" player is misled by such a variation when there is "no demonstrable bridge reason for the action" and provided that the player who committed the act "could have known, at the time of the action, that the action could work to his benefit." To adjust a score based on this law does not carry any implication that there was an intent to mislead or deceive; only that the situation was such that there was no demonstrable bridge reason for the variation and that the player involved could have known that it could work to his advantage. The Committee found that this was the case here. There was no demonstrable bridge reason for South pulling a card and returning it to his hand when he held a singleton. (If the action had been inadvertent - for example, if it was due to a mechanical error such as a sticky card or pulling the wrong card by mistake - South should have informed West that the problem was not bridge-related.) In addition, a player holding a singleton (trump) could know that it could work to his advantage for declarer to believe that he holds more than one. Therefore, the Committee decided that the score should be adjusted.

The Committee believed that it was reasonable for declarer to assume that trumps were breaking (or at least that South, not North, would have four trumps if they were not breaking) once South fumbled at trick one. In analyzing the hand the Committee also decided that, given the (presumed) three-two trump break, inserting the from dummy at trick two was a reasonable play. (Declarer need only make reasonable - for his skill level -, not superior, bids or plays to retain his right to redress.) Once South followed to the $\uparrow A$ declarer could drive out the $\triangle A$ and later get to dummy to take his discards on the good hearts. This line would lose only if South held the $\vee A$, North the $\triangleleft$ K, and South found the diamond shift.

In light of the foregoing, the Committee decided to adjust the result to 4 by West making four, plus 620 for E/W and minus 620 for N/S. The procedural penalty was not reinstated, but South was told of his obligation to inform the opponent(s) whenever a purely "mechanical error" caused a variation in his manner or tempo of bidding or play.

The Committee: Rich Colker, Chair; Eric Kokish, Jane Choo

"Now if I make this ruling, how bad can you guys pillory me if they appeal?"

## APPEALS: CASE TWO

Event: PABF Youth Teams, RR 3 - Match 2, Wednesday, May 20
Teams: N/S: Indonesia; E/W Hong Kong, China

| Bd: $9 \quad$ North |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DIr: North | ¢ K5 |  |  |
| Vul: E/W | $\bigcirc$ J5 |  |  |
|  | $\diamond$ Q108752 |  |  |
| West |  | East |  |
| - Q1032 |  |  | J86 |
| $\bigcirc$ Q10832 |  |  | A964 |
| $\diamond \mathrm{K}$ |  |  |  |
| * AQ10 |  |  | K542 |
| South |  |  |  |
| ¢ A974 |  |  |  |
| $\bigcirc$ K7 |  |  |  |
| $\checkmark$ AJ64 |  |  |  |
| ¢ J 97 |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Lo | Adrian | Yum | Gontha |
|  | Pass | Pass | $1 \diamond(1)$ |
| $18 \quad \mathrm{P}$ | Pass | $3 \diamond(2)$ | Pass(3) |
| $4 \bigcirc \quad$ All | All Pass |  |  |
| (1) Precision, $1+\diamond$ |  |  |  |
| (2) W to S: invitational w/ $4+\bigcirc$ \& $\diamond$ |  |  |  |
| N to E: ART, invitational 4+®, BAL |  |  |  |
| (3) Pass based on West's explanation |  |  |  |

Facts: $4 \checkmark$ made four, plus 620 for E/W. North led a club and the Director was summoned by South as soon as dummy appeared and did not correspond with the explanation West had given him of the meaning of the $3 \diamond$ bid. The Director asked that play continue. Declarer won the club lead in hand, led a heart to dummy's ace, and then a small diamond toward his hand. When South ducked the contract was made. South claimed that he was damaged because he believed that West would only accept East's invitation based on a diamond fit; thus he could not lose by ducking the diamond.

Director's Ruling: The Director determined that there was no evidence of an E/W agreement that West would have a diamond fit in this auction. Furthermore, he determined that South's damage was not the direct result of the misexplanation, but rather was a result of South's unwarranted inference that West would have a double fit and his consequent decision to play low on the diamond. The Director therefore ruled that the table result would stand.

The Appeal: N/S appealed the Director's ruling. South spoke no English, while North's English was quite good. N/S claimed that while the Director was at the table South had said (to North, in Indonesian) that he would have doubled $3 \diamond$ for a diamond lead if he had known that it was artificial rather than natural. North agreed that he would then have led a diamond and $4 \checkmark$ would have been set. North claimed that he had related this argument to the Director, saying that if South had doubled $3 \diamond$ he would have led a diamond and defeated $4 \checkmark$. The Director stated that he did not remember such an exchange but did not deny that it could have happened, since he might not have interpreted it to mean that South had said that he would have doubled - only that North had claimed that if South had doubled he would have led a diamond.

The Committee determined that E/W played jumps in new suits in response to overcalls as fit-showing and jumps in opener's "suit" as Mixed raises: $4+$-card support, 6-10 points. The problem in this case seemed to revolve around whether the Precision $1 \diamond$ opening should be treated as natural or artificial. When questioned by the Committee E/W agreed that they both would have interpreted a $2 \triangleleft$ "cue-bid" by West over South's $1 \diamond$ opening as Michaels, in essence treating the $1 \diamond$ bid as "natural." In the actual auction East had treated $1 \diamond$ in a parallel fashion, as natural - hence the "Mixed" $3 \diamond$ raise. West, however, had treated it as artificial and thus East's $3 \diamond$ bid as fit-showing. When asked, E/W stated that they had been playing together as a partnership for three years and that during that time this type of Precision auction had never come up.

The Committee's Decision: The Committee cautioned E/W that the uncertainty about whether $1 \diamond$ should be interpreted as natural or as artificial (for competitive purposes) should have led West to be more circumspect in his explanation of the meaning of East's $3 \diamond$ bid. Without prior discussion he should have indicated to South that if East thought $1 \diamond$ was "natural," $3 \diamond$ would be a Mixed raise, while
if he thought that it was artificial, $3 \diamond$ would be fit-showing. His unequivocal answer was not justified given the $1 \diamond$ bid's uncertain interpretation in their partnership experience. Thus, South had been misinformed.

Next, the Committee had to decide (1) whether South had, in fact, stated at the table that he would have doubled $3 \diamond$ had he been given the bid's correct meaning, and (2) whether such a double was reasonable on South's cards given that the claim for it was made post hoc. Regarding (1), the Committee decided that, given South's inability to speak any English, the Director might have gone further in getting the Indonesian npc to the playing area to help interpret South's statements (even though the hour was late). In view of this, it was decided to give N/S, as the non-offending pair, the benefit of the doubt. Regarding (2), one Committee member was confident that doubles of the sort that had been suggested here (of an artificial $3 \diamond$ ) were common among the class of Precision players involved here. While all agreed that the double would not be considered reasonable on the basis of South's cards alone, this "common practice" was accepted as an overriding factor. Thus, the Committee accepted that South would have doubled $3 \diamond$ had he been properly informed.

Finally, it was left to determine what would have happened had South doubled $3 \diamond$. Three possibilities presented themselves: (1) that West would have bid $4 \checkmark$ and North would have passed and led a diamond - down one, plus 50 for N/S (the possibility of down two after a spade shift by South at trick two was discounted, although in retrospect perhaps it should have been given more weight in the Committee's assessment); (2) that North would have been induced by the vulnerability and South's double to "save" in $5 \diamond$, which would have been doubled - down three, minus 500 for N/S; and (3) that West would have thought worse of bidding game after a double of $3 \diamond$ and signed off in $3 \vee$, after which North would have competed to $4 \diamond$ and played it there undoubled - down two, minus 100 for N/S. Result (1) was assessed as having about a $60 \%$ probability, result (2) $30 \%$ and result (3) 10\%. Averaging these three results, each weighted by its respective probability, led to a composite score of minus 130 for N/S, plus 130 for E/W. This adjusted score was assigned to both sides.

The Committee: Rich Colker, Chair; Eric Kokish, Jane Choo

"Let me recap the testimony thus far. Your opponent said he had no idea what his partner's bid meant, he was not clear on what his partnership agreement was - or even whether he had an agreement -, he had no clue what the previous auction meant, and he wasn't even certain whether his own bid was Blackwood or to play. They went down playing in their three-two diamond fit at the six-level. Now you want us to adjust their score from minus 100 to minus 1400 because you say you would have doubled had you known what 1\& meant?"

## ROUND FIFTEEN: CHINA vs AUSTRALIA (Women's RR2-7)

After both China and Australia completed matches against Japan and Indonesia, China had assumed a 12-VP lead atop the Women's Table. They met in Round Fifteen, the last match of their second round robin.

| Bd: 1 | North |  | North |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DIr: North | - K62 |  |  |
| Vul: None | $\bigcirc$ J3 |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ AQ543 |  |  |
|  | -86 |  |  |
| West |  |  | East |
| ¢ 1098 |  |  | 753 |
| $\checkmark$ A10976 |  |  | 542 |
| $\diamond$ J10 |  |  | K98 |
| * Q103 |  |  | J72 |
|  | South |  |  |
|  | ¢ AQJ4 |  |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ KQ |  |  |
|  | $\diamond 762$ |  |  |
|  | - AK94 |  |  |
|  | Open Room |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Wenfei | Bourke | Yu Z | Beech |
|  | Pass | Pass | 19 |
| 18 | 2 - | $2 \checkmark$ | 2 |
| Pass | 32 | Pass | 3 |
| Pass | 44 | Pass | 5 |
| All Pass |  |  |  |
|  | Closed Room |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Beale | Yalan Z | Smart | Gu |
|  | Pass | Pass | 12(STR) |
| Pass | 2 | Pass | $2 \bigcirc(\mathrm{R})$ |
| Pass | $3{ }^{*}$ | Pass | 3NT |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

The game you'd prefer to play with the N/S cards is 4, which might well make on this hand if the defense doesn't get around to clubs early on. Margaret Bourke-Lidia Beech were there for a nanosecond, but subsided in $5 \diamond$, one down on two rounds of hearts; minus 50 . We don't seem to have a system card for Yalan Zhang-Ling Gu, so we can't immediately tell you what $3>$ meant, but it does look like a 3-2-5-3 minimum is in the picture. 3NT had no chance on this lie on a heart lead, with the $\diamond K$ wrong; minus 50 . No swing.


With trumps three-two, Felicity Beale-Diana Smart wrapped up $5 \triangleleft$ after the defenders had taken two hearts. I don't much care for either $2 \boldsymbol{s}$ or 3 $\diamond$, but when you work within the system, your system often works for you; plus 600. In the Open Room, Bourke stuck in an honorable 18 overcall and found herself doubled in $4 \bigcirc$ a few moments later. There were six obvious losers. Down three; minus 500. 3 IMPs to Australia, who were first up on the scoreboard.

"I will not close my eyes until I finish."

| Bd: $5 \quad$ North |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DIr: North 1042 |  |  |  |
| Vul: N/S | $\bigcirc$--- |  |  |
|  | $$ |  |  |
| West |  |  | st |
| - Q7 |  |  | AK98 |
| $\bigcirc$ J1053 |  |  | A764 |
| $\checkmark 643$ |  |  |  |
| - AJ109 |  |  | Q852 |
| South |  |  |  |
| , J653 |  |  |  |
| $\bigcirc$ KQ982 |  |  |  |
| $\checkmark 10852$ |  |  |  |
| \% --- |  |  |  |
| Open Room |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Wenfei | Bourke | Yu Z | Beech |
|  | $1 \diamond$ | DBL | 18 |
| 29 | Pass | Pass | 3 |
| Pass | Pass | 4* |  |
| Pass | DBL | All Pass |  |
|  |  | d Room |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Beale | Yalan Z | Smart | Gu |
|  | 2NT(1) | 3\%(2) | $3 \diamond$ |
| (1) $\diamond+\boldsymbol{\text { e }}$; (2) $2+$ + |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

4\% doubled went down 300. 3 $『$, which was not doubled, went down just one on a scramble; minus 50. 6 IMPs to Australia, ahead 9-0.

"Oh my God, we play transfers!"

| Bd: 7 | North |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DIr: South | 」 J963 |  |
| Vul: Both | ¢J1097 |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ K7 |  |
|  | \& Q96 |  |
| West | East |  |
| - A87 | ¢ 10 |  |
| $\bigcirc 53$ | $\bigcirc$ AK862 |  |
| $\diamond$ A1053 |  | $\diamond 864$ |
| * KJ104 | - A532 |  |
| South |  |  |
|  | , KQ542 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ Q4 |  |
|  | $\diamond$ QJ92 |  |
|  | -87 |  |
|  | Open Room |  |
| West | North | East South |
| Wenfei | Bourke | Yu Z Beech |
|  |  | 14. |
| Pass | 24 All Pass |  |
|  | Closed Room |  |
| West | North | East South |
| Beale | Yalan Z | Smart |
|  |  | Pass |
| 1NT | Pass | $2 \diamond(\vee) \quad 2$ ¢ |
| Pass | Pass | 34 Pass |
| 3NT | All Pass |  |

Look closely at the Open Room bidding. If you wish to open the South hand that is a personal matter for which your shrink can pencil you in for discussion any old afternoon. It is the handling of the E/W hands that is the more important issue. We all know that East could risk $3 \bigcirc$ or a takeout double, but East will more often plead vulnerability constraints. If East is a passer, should West be of the same persuasion. Is there a player among us who would not reopen with 2NT (minors) if we were not vulnerable? Should we then be beaten into submission at both sides of the table simply because we happen to be at greater risk? I say to you, "No, we should not." 24 had six certain losers; minus 100.

It is not unreasonable to drive the East hand to game facing a vulnerable weak notrump, but is the 34 the way to do it. I would much prefer a double or 3\%, which leave other options open, but perhaps Smart had none of these choices available to her. 3NT went an easy two down on a spade lead; minus 200. 7 IMPs to China, 8-9.

| Bd: 9 | North |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DIr: North | - J97 |  |  |
| Vul: E/W | $\bigcirc$ J876 |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark 852$ |  |  |
|  | - 852 |  |  |
| West |  |  | East |
| 4. K832 |  |  | Q1054 |
| $\checkmark$ AK92 |  |  | 1054 |
| $\diamond 94$ |  |  | QJ107 |
| - KJ3 |  |  | 74 |
| South |  |  |  |
| - A6 |  |  |  |
| $\bigcirc$ Q3 |  |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ AK63 |  |  |
|  | * AQ1096 |  |  |
| Open Room |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Wenfei | Bourke | Yu Z | Beech |
|  | Pass | Pass | 180 |
| DBL | Pass | 14 | 2 |
| 24 | Pass | Pass | 3\% |
| All Pass |  |  |  |
|  | Closed Room |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Beale | Yalan Z | Smart | Gu |
|  | Pass | Pass | 19(STR) |
| Pass | $1 \diamond$ (Neg) | Pass | 1 (19+) $^{\text {(19 }}$ |
| Pass | 14(0-4) | Pass | 1NT |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

Gu couldn't quite get home in 1NT; minus 50. At the other table, where 1e was natural, Wenfei Wang came in with an imperfect takeout double and got her side to 24, which Yu Zhang was going to make. I do not say to justify Beech's 3\& bid, which was really gilding the lily, even at the prevailing vulnerability. She escaped undoubled, of course (the opponents were not expecting a crummy 2245 , after all), and went two down; minus 100. 2 IMPs fo China, but l'd be a tad nervous about by girls were I the Aussie npc watching on Vugraph.

| Bd: 10 | North |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DIr: East | 4--- |  |  |
| Vul: Both | ¢J82 |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \diamond \text { AJ10964 } \\ & \text { a J862 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| West |  |  | East |
| - 7642 |  |  | - AKQJ105 |
| $\bigcirc$ AQ1095 |  |  | $\bigcirc \mathrm{K} 4$ |
| $\checkmark 832$ |  |  | $\checkmark$ KQ |
| -3 |  |  | \% KQ5 |
|  | South |  |  |
|  | - 983 |  |  |
|  | $\bigcirc 763$ |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \diamond 75 \\ & \& \text { A10974 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Open Room |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Wenfei | Bourke | Yu Z | Beech |
|  |  | 29 | Pass |
| $2 \diamond(1)$ | DBL | 2 | Pass |
| 4\%(SPL) | Pass | 4 | All Pass |
| (1) Neutral |  |  |  |
|  | Closed Room |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Beale | Yalan Z | Smart | Gu |
|  |  | $2 \diamond(1)$ | Pass |
| 2NT(2) | Pass | 4 | Pass |
| 4NT | Pass | 5 | Pass |
| 5 | Pass | 5NT | Pass |
| 6 | All Pass |  |  |
| (1) STR, A | Artificial; | 2) $5+\bigcirc$ | or 5+a, POS |

Although Wenfei did not get to show her magnificent heart suit, her 4\% splinter bid was a fair statement of what she had, so she felt free to pass 44. On two rounds of diamonds, Yu made six; plus 680. l'm not sure what went wrong over 51 in the Open Room, but it ended in a slam with two aces offside. Gotta cash them, though. Trump lead from Gu. Oops. Plus 1430. Better to be lucky than good. 13 IMPs to Australia, 22-11.

"Yes Irne, we're finally going to play."


| Bd: 11 | North |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DIr: South | © AK9873 |  |  |
| Vul: None | $\bigcirc$ Q |  |  |
|  | $\diamond$ Q82$\sim$ J42 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| West |  |  | East |
| - J106 |  |  | Q542 |
| $\bigcirc 8$ |  |  | K432 |
| $\diamond$ J654 |  |  | AK93 |
| 2 Q10963 |  |  |  |
| South |  |  |  |
| Q --- |  |  |  |
| $\checkmark$ AJ109765 |  |  |  |
| $\diamond 107$ |  |  |  |
| - A875 |  |  |  |
| Open Room |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Wenfei | Bourke | Yu Z | Beech |
|  |  |  | $4 \bigcirc$ |
| All Pass |  |  |  |
|  | Closed Room |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Beale | Yalan Z | Smart | Gu |
|  |  |  | 18 |
| Pass | 1. | Pass | 2 |
| Pass | 31 | Pass | $4 \bigcirc$ |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

$1 \triangleleft$ or $4 \odot$ ? It's a personal thing. $4 \odot$ worked better this time when Beech got a spade lead. She threw both her diamonds, led a club to the king and ace, and led a second club, giving the defense a chance to beat her (yes, she might have had to guess clubs later if she didn't play one now). Wenfei won the queen, and gave Yu a club ruff. Now a trump back would have settled the issue, but Yu played a third spade, somewhat perversely. Beech ruffed and ruffed her club with the $\vee Q$. Making four after all; plus 420. Gu's 18 set up an auction that precluded a spade lead. Beale chose clubs, leading fourth best ratrher than the ten, low, king, ace. Gu played ace-jack of hearts, Beale discarding the \&3. Smart won the 8 K , cashhed king-ace of diamonds, and tucked Gu in with a trump. Beale had to make her eq. One down; minus 50. 10 IMPs to Australia, ahead 32-2.

| Bd: 13 | North |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DIr: North | - Q4 |  |  |
| Vul: Both | $\bigcirc$ Q653 |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \diamond \text { KQ872 } \\ & \text { \& Q6 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| West |  | East |  |
| -1085 |  |  | AJ963 |
| $\checkmark$ AK84 |  |  | -- |
| $\checkmark 93$ |  |  | J1064 |
| * J1095 |  |  | AK74 |
| South |  |  |  |
|  | - K72 |  |  |
|  | ¢J10972 |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ A5 |  |  |
|  | - 832 |  |  |
|  | Open Room |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Wenfei | Bourke | Yu Z | Beech |
|  | Pass | 14 | Pass |
| 2 | Pass | 3 | Pass |
| 49 | All Pass |  |  |
|  | Closed Room |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Beale | Yalan Z | Smart | Gu |
|  | Pass | 14 | Pass |
| 24 | All Pass |  |  |

Although West's values are all in the wrong place, 4s is playable. Beech led a heart. Yu threw a club on the $\vee \mathrm{A}$ and led the $\triangleleft 9$, the queen holding the trick, The 4 was ducked to the king and a second trump went to the queen and ace. Yu cashed ace-king of clubs felling the queen, crossed to the 10 , and threw diamonds on the fourth club and 8 K. Plus 620. No problem.

Playing in 24, Smart threw both small clubs on the hearts and held herself to two; plus 110. 11 IMPs to China, 22-32.

"Actually, it's my mother's recipe."

| Bd: 14 <br> DIr: East <br> Vul: None | North |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | - 642 |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ AK42 |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ A853 |  |
|  | ¢ K8 |  |
| West <br> - AK108 <br> ¢ 1086 |  | East |
|  |  | , Q9 |
|  |  | $\bigcirc$ QJ73 |
| $\diamond 64$-9754 |  | $\diamond$ Q107 |
|  |  | ¢ J1062 |
|  | South |  |
|  | ¢ J753 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc 95$ |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ KJ92 |  |
|  | * AQ3 |  |

Both N/S pair bid and made 3NT on this one, with diamonds coming in for four tricks. No swing. We mention this deal because Bill Jacobs doub led 3NT for a spade lead in Australia vs Hong Kong (O). The defenders took their spades and switched to hearts, but on the fourth spade Ben Thompson discarded the $\diamond 10$. Guess how declarer played the diamonds after that!

| Bd: 15 | North |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DIr: South | $\Delta K$ |  |
| Vul: N/S | $\bigcirc$ J76 |  |
|  | $\diamond$ AKQ7532 |  |
|  | \& J7 |  |
| West | East |  |
| , Q643 | ¢ AJ72 |  |
| $\bigcirc$ Q98 | $\bigcirc 542$ |  |
| $\checkmark 108$ | $\diamond 4$ |  |
| ¢ A1092 |  | K KQ854 |
|  | South |  |
|  | - 10985 |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ AK103 |  |
|  | $\diamond$ J96 |  |
|  | -63 |  |
|  | Open Room |  |
| West | North | East South |
| Wenfei | Bourke | Yu Z Beech |
|  |  | Pass |
| Pass | $1 \diamond$ | 20 DBL* |
| 3\% | $3 \diamond$ | All Pass |


| Closed Room |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| West | North | East | South |
| Beale | Yalan Z | Smart | Gu |
|  |  |  | Pass |
| Pass | $1 \diamond^{*}$ | DBL | $1 \diamond$ |
| $1 \diamond$ | $3 \diamond$ | $3 \uparrow$ | $4 \diamond$ |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

Bold bidding by Di Smart brought in 5 IMPs for Australia on this one. $4 \diamond$ had four losers; minus 100. $3 \diamond$ was cold; plus 110. 37-22, Australia.

| Bd: 16 | North |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DIr: West | - QJ872 |  |
| Vul: E/W | $\bigcirc$ |  |
|  | $\diamond$ A1053 |  |
|  | - 752 |  |
| West | East |  |
| ¢ 5 | $\bigcirc$ AKG105 |  |
| $\bigcirc 8742$ |  |  |
| $\diamond$ QJ2 | $\checkmark 987$ |  |
| \% KQJ63 |  | -84 |
| South |  |  |
|  | - A1094 |  |
|  |  |  |
|  | $\bigcirc 963$ |  |
|  | \& A109 |  |
| Open Room |  |  |
| West | North | East South |
| Wenfei | Bourke | Yu Z Beech |
| Pass | $2 \diamond(1)$ | $2 \checkmark$ DBL(2) |
| $4 \bigcirc$ | Pass | Pass 49 |
| All Pass |  |  |
| (1) Multi; (2) Pass if you have hearts |  |  |
| Closed Room |  |  |
| West | North | East South |
| Beale | Yalan Z | Smart Gu |
| Pass | Pass | 18 Pass |
| 3 | 31 | All Pass |

Here's today's \$64,000 question: which of our three series features the most aggressive bidders? If you chose the juniors, we'd gong you. Perhaps Bourke's Multi $2 \diamond$ is "de rigeur" these days, but we doubt. But that pales by comparison with Yalan's bold 34. 4s was too high on Wenfei's club lead; 3s was just right, and made four on two rounds of hearts, declarer building a diamond discard for a club. Plus 100 and plus 170 gave China 7 IMPs, 29-37.

| Bd: 18 | North |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DIr: East | - 9532 |  |  |
| Vul: N/S | $\bigcirc 87$ |  |  |
| $\diamond$ K832$\sim 953$ |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| West |  | East |  |
| ¢ Q6 | @ KJ1087 |  |  |
| $\bigcirc$ AKQ654 | 4 - J102 |  |  |
| $\checkmark$ A5 | $\checkmark$ J109 |  |  |
| ¢ 742 | South |  | 106 |
|  |  |  | soutr |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\bigcirc 93$ |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ Q764 |  |  |
|  | 2 AKQJ8 |  |  |
| Open Room |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Wenfei | Bourke | Yu Z | Beech |
|  |  | Pass | 19 |
| DBL | Pass | 14 | 2 |
| $2 \bigcirc$ | Pass | 3 | Pass |
| 4 | All Pass |  |  |
|  | Closed Room |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Beale | Yalan Z | Smart | Gu |
|  |  | Pass | 1\%(STR) |
| 18 | Pass | 14 | 20 |
| 28 | 3\% | Pass | Pass |
| 38 | All Pass |  |  |

I can't tell you where Wenfei found her final call, but she was close to making $4 \checkmark$. Unfortunately (well, perhaps that is the wrong), Beech had a straightforward diamond switch after taking two club tricks. One down; minus 50. At the other table, where E/W were competing against a strong club, game was not really in the picture. Against $3 \bigcirc$, Gu switched not to a diamond but a trump after two club tricks; plus 170. 6 IMPs to Australia, 43-29.

"They're going to stuff me and make me a paperweight."

| Bd: 19 |  |  | North |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DIr: South | - 42 |  |  |
| Vul: E/W | $\bigcirc$ KQ83 |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ Q853 |  |  |
|  | 2 Q5 |  |  |
| West |  |  | East |
| ¢ AK9865 |  |  | J107 |
| $\checkmark 5$ |  |  | AJ72 |
| $\checkmark 10642$ |  |  | J97 |
| -82 |  |  | KJ7 |
|  | South |  |  |
|  | - Q3 |  |  |
|  | $\bigcirc 10964$ |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ AK |  |  |
|  | - A10964 |  |  |
|  | Open Room |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Wenfei | Bourke | Yu Z | Beech |
|  |  |  | 18 |
| 24 | DBL* | 34 | $4 \bigcirc$ |
| All Pass |  |  |  |
|  | Closed Room |  |  |
| West |  |  | South |
| Beale | Yalan Z | Smart | Gu |
|  |  |  | $1 \diamond^{*}$ |
| 19 | DBL* | $2 \diamond(s)$ | Pass |
| 24. | DBL | Pass | $3 \bigcirc$ |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

The Chinese bidding in the Closed Room seems distinctly weird, but they were able to stop a level lower than their counterparts, Beech taking the push to four on a hand Gu considered too weak for two. $4 \checkmark$ was two down; minus $100.3 \bigcirc$ was one down; minus 50. 2 IMPs to China, 31-43.


| Open Room |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| West | North | East | South |
| Wenfei | Bourke | Yu Z | Beech |
| 1NT | Pass | Pass | $2 \diamond(\vee)$ |
| Pass | $2 \bigcirc$ | All Pass |  |
| Closed Room |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Beale | Yalan Z | Smart | Gu |
| 1\% | Pass | Pass | 18 |
| Pass | 3 | Pass | $4 \bigcirc$ |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

Bourke did really well to bid only 2 , which got past everyone. That was all there was in the cards; plus 110. Do you think North or South did too much in the other room? Gu was two down i n
4厅; minus 200. 7 IMPs to Australia to end the match.

Australia won 50-31, 19-11 in VP, and moved to within 4 VP of China at the top of the standings.

## PAUL'S WORLD: PART TWO - THE RECOVERY SHOT

Youth Series, RR 2, Match 3

| Bd: 4 | North |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DIr: W | - Q986 |  |  |
| Vul: Both | $\bigcirc 96532$ |  |  |
|  | $\diamond$ KJ10 |  |  |
|  | - K |  |  |
| West |  |  | East |
| -10 |  |  | J532 |
| $\bigcirc 107$ |  |  | KQ4 |
| $\diamond$ AQ976 |  |  | 852 |
| - AJ965 |  |  | 1084 |
| 4 AK74 |  |  |  |
| $\bigcirc$ AJ8 |  |  |  |
| $\checkmark 43$ |  |  |  |
| * Q732 |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Kiong | Brayshw | L Lau | Raisin |
| $1 \diamond$ | $1 \bigcirc$ | 19 | $2 \diamond(\ominus)$ |
| Pass | $2 \checkmark$ | Pass | $4 \bigcirc$ |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

Everything is an overcall in the outback. Paul Brayshaw (star of the eponymous series that bears his moniker) declared $4 \bigcirc$ from the North seat against Singapore. He got the lead of the $\diamond 5$ from Luke Lau, Lawrence Kiong playing ace and another. Paul won the king, crossed to the $\uparrow A$, and led a club, stealing the king when Lawrence followed low. Now a trump, low .... eight (really not much of a play, since if it lost to a high honor, the $\diamond$ Q would force dummy to ruff with the jack). Lawrence won the 810 and did play the $\diamond$ Q. Paul ruffed with the jack, cashed the $\vee \mathrm{A}$ (a subtle error, as we will soon see), ruffed a club, and crossed to the KK , hating it when West showed out. Ever the brilliant field strategist, Paul called for his nine-iron, ruffed another club in hand, and exited with his last trump. Luke, down to the master trump and jack-five of spades, had to lead a spade into Paul's queen-nine to give him the contract.

Mr Brayshaw has pledged to give a free golf lesson morning in front of the fourth-floor elevators at 4 am tomorrow morning. Bring your own rough.

"Yes, it will fly if you throw it properly."

"I am truly gifted

"No chance unless they revoke."

## INDONESIAN PEOPLE POWER BRINGS DOWN SUHARTO <br> By Eric Kokish

(The opinions expressed here are strictly those of the author and are not to be attributed to PABF, JCBL, or any member of the Indonesian delegation)

Perhaps it had to happen sooner or later, but the world got to see it sooner. Yesterday, President Suharto stepped down and turned the reins of his embattled country over to Vice President Habibie, explaining that he was unable to implement the reforms that would turn the economy around. There was general exaltation by activist students, who had been permitted to occupy the parliamentary grounds in exchange for promises of peaceful behavior; their reform rhetoric centered on Suharto's resignation, and in achieving this goal, they succeeded. The military, through its most important and respected spokesman - General Wiranto (who happens to be the Chairman and honorary President of GABSI, the Indonesian Bridge Federation) - has promised to support the government and reform that can be implemented through constitutional means. The military has dedicated its forces to maintaining order and avoiding a recurrence of the violence and looting that has characterized Indonesian life in recent weeks. Bringing stability to the country is a vital first step, but the ills that led to Suharto's decision to pass the baton of power to his protege in accordance with the constitution will not be quickly or easily cured. There are serious doubts about the support base that Habibie will enjoy; his mandate enables him to finish out Suharto's current term of office, which runs through 2003. Habibie was not elected by the people and does not bring to the office any expertise in economic matters or bureaucratic management. The country is in deep trouble economically and there will be some difficult times ahead before any kind of realistic recovery can be effected. The remainder of the International Monetary Fund's 43 billion dollar bailout package remains on hold, pending Indonesia's implementation of certain stipulated conditions, most of which will create short term hardships for the people. The government, then, reform-minded or otherwise, is sure to be between a rock and a hard place, and there is certainly vast potential for further unrest.

Similarities to the Marcos-Aquino transition in the Philippines come to mind, of course, but it was perhaps easier for the world to identify the voice of reason in that situation. Indonesia had, until last July, enjoyed a long period of unprecedented growth and had emerged as one of the leading economic nations in Asia (who else could afford to import a full-time bridge coach and his family from North America for a two-year mission?). The slide since then has been rapid and terrifying, leaving in its wake a trail of broken dreams and desperation for most of the population, those who count on the government for jobs, viable infrastructure, realistic prices, and stability. When the prices for rice, cooking oil, and gasoline remained reasonable, it was possible to maintain order, although the gap between poor and rich was unfathomable. When those conditions changed, something had to happen. The backing for the rupiah simply does not exist any longer and when the world came to realize that, the problems that had been submerged beneath the facade of progress began to come to light. Suharto, even in retreat, could leave a lasting impression by finding a way to return to the economy and government pipeline some of the outrageous profits that accrued to his family and entourage over the course of his tenure.

Meanwhile, we can hope that our dear friends from Indonesia will return to their country with a heightened sense of optimism for their families and their future. Indonesia was slated to host several important bridge tournaments in the next few years and this may still be possible. General Wiranto is a dynamic, caring person, whose best efforts to improve the quality of life in his country may well have some effect. GABSI itself has gone through some trying times, with the recent death of its General Secretary Frans Waleling and a reduced role by its great supporter Amran Zamzami. With financial support from Lippo Group and various lesser sponsors, GABSI had made great strides forward in terms of recruitment, the introduction of bridge into the school system, computer technology, and player development, but the general decline in the economy took its toll on GABSI too. The players believe that conditions will improve for them and we hope they are right.

## A TWOFER: AUSTRALIA vs JAPAN (Round 16, Open/Youth Series)

As luck would have it, Australia faced the host country in this round in two of the three events. In both cases, the teams were contending for a high placing, so there were great expectations for some first class play.

Fasten your seatbelts and join us for a doublebarreled ride on the roller coaster . . .

| Bd: 1 <br> DIr: North | North |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | - AK2 |  |
| Vul: None | ¢ J1062 |  |
|  | $\diamond$ J1064 |  |
|  | \& 86 |  |
| West |  | East |
| - 64 |  | . 109753 |
| $\checkmark$ KQ73 |  | $\bigcirc 984$ |
| $\diamond$ A7 |  | $\diamond$ KQ5 |
| - AQ754 |  | ¢ J3 |
| South |  |  |
| - QJ8 |  |  |
| $\checkmark$ A5 |  |  |
| $\checkmark 9832$ |  |  |
| - K1092 |  |  |
| Australia vs Japan (O) |  |  |
| Open Room |  |  |
| West | North East | East South |
| Hanyma | GrsvnrHirata | irata Wilsmore |
|  | $1 \bigcirc(1) \quad$ Pass | Pass 1NT |
| All Pass |  |  |
| (1) 8-12 HCP, 4+ $\bigcirc$ |  |  |
| Closed Room |  |  |
| West | North East | East South |
| Travis | Abe Havas | Havas Chen |
|  | Pass Pass | Pass Pass |
| 1\% | Pass 14 | 14 Pass |
| 2 | Pass 2NT(1) | 2NT(1) Pass |
| 3\% | All Pass |  |
| (1) ART, w | weak |  |


"Just as I said, Hirata-san, down six."

|  | Australia vs Japan (Y) Open Room |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| West | North | East | South |
| Takayma | Brayshw | FurutaR | isin |
|  | Pass | Pass | Pass |
| 1\% | Pass | 14 | Pass |
| 20 | DBL! | Pass | $2 \checkmark$ |
| All Pass |  |  |  |
|  | Closed Room |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Jappe | Kohno | Maddsn | Harada |
|  | Pass | Pass | Pass |
| 23 | All Pass |  |  |

Welcome to the wonderful world down under. The Open Room auction in the Open Series may be the wave of the future, so perhaps we should get used to it. Takeshi Hanayama, with good defense, preferred to remain silent, but Avon Wilsmore's 1NT proved to be too difficult to defeat. Well, maybe not. Club to the jack and king, $\diamond 9$, ducked to the queen, clubs cleared, spade to dummy to lead the $\diamond$ J . . . king . . . ace . . . ouch; plus 120. Once Barbie Travis elected to reverse, her methods dictated that she would have to play at least as high as $3 \%$ (2NT artificial, weak; all others game-forcing). 3\% went two down; minus 100. 1 IMP to Australia.

A new chapter in our continuing saga (Paul's World) presented itself immediately, when young Master Brayshaw stepped into a live auction with two jack-high suits and a balanced ninecount ... and lived to tell about it. Masaaki Takayama led the $\vee Q$, and Matthew Raisin won the ace to return the suit. Takayama ducked and the jack won, Raisin continuing with a low trump to the nine and ace. The trump return enabled Kazuo Furuta to draw two more rounds before exiting with the J , covered (a heart would have been much better). Takayama exited with a spade, but it was too late for the defense. Raisin conceded a club, but had the rest; plus 90. Mark Jappe, in $2 \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$, got the lead of the $\odot \mathrm{J}$ from Makoto Kohno. When Tomoyuki Harada won the $\vee A$ to return the suit, Jappe was on his way to eight tricks, cashing diamonds, then playing trumps; an apparent heart discard from North allowed an overtrick; plus 110. 5 IMPs to Australia.

| Bd: 2 | North |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DIr: East | ¢ J4 |  |  |
| Vul: N/S | $\checkmark$ KQ10932 |  |  |
|  | $\diamond$ K65$*$ AQ |  |  |
| West E |  |  | East |
| Q96 |  |  | ¢ K1083 |
| $\checkmark$ A |  |  | $\bigcirc$ J64 |
| $\diamond$ QJ942 $\diamond$ |  |  | $\checkmark$ A87 |
| - K862 |  |  | \& J75 |
|  | South |  |  |
|  | - A752 |  |  |
|  | $\bigcirc 875$ |  |  |
|  | $\diamond 103$ |  |  |
|  | -10943 |  |  |
|  | Australia vs Japan (O) |  |  |
|  | Open Room |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Hanyma | GrsvnrHirata |  | Wilsmore |
|  |  | Pass | $1 \diamond(1)$ |
| Pass | 18 | Pass | Pass(2) |
| DBL | Pass | 14 | $2 \bigcirc$ |
| 24 | 38 | 34 | All Pass |
| (1) 0-7 Any; (2) $2 \vee$ looks more reasonable Closed Room |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Travis | Abe | Havas | Chen |
|  |  | Pass | Pass |
| $1 \diamond$ | DBL | RDBL | 14 |
| 24 | $28 \quad$ All Pass |  |  |
|  | Australia vs Japan (Y) |  |  |
|  | Open Room |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Takayma | Brayshw | Furuta | Raisin |
|  |  | Pass | Pass |
| $1 \diamond$ | 18 | DBL* | 2 |
| DBL | $3 \times$ All Pass |  |  |
|  | Closed Room |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Jappe | Kohno | Maddsn | n Harada |
|  |  | Pass | Pass |
| $1 \diamond$ | 18 | DBL* | $2 \bigcirc$ |
| Pass | Pass | 21 | Pass |
| Pass | 3 | All Pass |  |

Some differences in evaluation here between Hiroya Abe and his youthful counterparts in the other match. It is likely that the middle ground overcall, then game try - best suits the North hand, but who knows, these days?

Abe's $2 \checkmark$ made three, plus 140, on a trump lead. Makoto Hirata's unlikely 3s (perhaps Hanayama should pass or double $2 \checkmark$ rather than raise to 21 with three trumps) went one down, minus 50, so Japan gained 3 IMPs, 3-1.

Brayshaw went one down in $3 \checkmark$ on a club lead when, after taking his diamond ruff in dummy, he ran into a trump promotion on a fourth round of diamonds; minus 100. Kohno made an overtrick in the same contract when John Maddison found the unlucky lead of the $\diamond$ A; plus 140. 7 IMPs to Japan, ahead 7-5.


3NT is easy to make with the E/W cards, but 5e can be beaten on a diamond ruff. And then there is $5 \diamond$. Who would bid $5 \diamond$ ? Well, it could happen to anyone...

| Australia vs Japan (O) Open Room |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| West | North | East | South |
| Hanyma | GrsvnrH | rata | Wilsmore |
|  |  |  | $1 \diamond(1)$ |
| Pass | 34 | DBL | Pass |
| 3NT | All Pass |  |  |
| (1) 0-7 Any |  |  |  |
| Closed Room |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Travis | Abe | Havas | Chen |
|  |  |  | $2 \bigcirc(\bigcirc+m)$ |
| DBL | 31 | 3NT | All Pass |


|  | Australia vs Japan (Y) Open Room |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| West | North | East | South |
| Takayma | Brayshw | Furuta | Raisin |
|  |  |  | Pass |
| $1 \diamond$ | 34 | 4* | Pass |
| $4 \diamond$ | Pass | 5 | All Pass |
|  | Close | d Room |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Jappe | Kohno | Maddsn | Harada |
|  |  |  | Pass |
| $1 \diamond$ | 34 | DBL | Pass |
| 3NT | All Pass |  |  |

In the Youth match, East had to choose between an honest 4e and a "hope-you-can-bid-3NT" negative double. Furuta went for the obvious, Maddison for the subtle. Both survived, but $5 \diamond$ proved to be an anxious affair. Brayshaw led a heart, ducked to the ten. The $\diamond$ Q lost to the king and a second heart went to the ace. Takayama led a second trump to the ten and ace, and Raisin played a spade. No good: $\mathrm{A}, \stackrel{\mathrm{J}}{\mathrm{J}} \mathrm{J}$, heart to dummy. Clearly a third trump would have been no better, and had Raisin led a third heart instead, Takayama would have survived by ruffing a spade in dummy and cashing two clubs; plus 600. 3NT made an overtrick on a spade lead, plus 630, but Jappe might have made five easily enough. 1 IMP to Australia, 6-7.

In the Open match we can see that the language of bridge has added new dialects, with both N/S pairs making their opponents' lives rather difficult. Both Hirata-Hanayama and TravisHavas coped rather well this time, but you can imagine that a continuous dose of this type of pressure would take its toll over the long run. Liz Havas made three, plus 600, on a spade lead through the ace-queen, but Hanayama managed twelve tricks on a spade lead into his tenace; a diamond to the ten was permitted to win and a second diamond lost to the king. He won the spade continuation, unblocked clubs, and crossed to the $\triangle \mathrm{K}$. With eleven tricks in and one trick lost, Hanayama had a show-up squeeze on Wilsmore, who soon conceded; plus 690. 3 IMPs to Japan, 6-1.

| Bd: 4 | North |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DIr: West | ¢ K8 |  |  |
| Vul: Both | $\bigcirc$ QJ4 |  |  |
|  | $\diamond$ A109532 |  |  |
|  | \% QJ |  |  |
| West E |  |  | East |
| ¢ QJ109653 |  |  | - 4 |
| $\checkmark$ A1063 |  |  | $\bigcirc 98752$ |
| $\diamond$ - |  |  | $\diamond$ QJ86 |
| -73 |  |  | - AK6 |
| South |  |  |  |
| ¢ A72 |  |  |  |
| $\bigcirc \mathrm{K}$ |  |  |  |
| $\diamond$ K74 |  |  |  |
| -1098542 |  |  |  |
| Australia vs Japan (O) |  |  |  |
| Australia vs Japan (O)Open Room |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Hanyma | GrsvnrHi | rata W | Wilsmore |
| Pass | 1\%(1) | Pass | 2\%(NF) |
| 24 | 3\% | Pass | 3NT |
| All Pass |  |  |  |
| (1) 13-16 Any |  |  |  |
| Closed Room |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Travis | Abe | Havas | Chen |
| 4. | All Pass |  |  |
| Australia vs Japan (Y) |  |  |  |
| Open Room |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Takayma | Brayshw | Furuta | Raisin |
| Pass | $1 \diamond$ | Pass | 29 |
| 2. | Pass | Pass | DBL* |
| Pass | $3 \diamond$ | Pass | $4 \diamond$ |
| Pass | $5 \diamond$ | DBL | All Pass |
| Closed Room |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Jappe | Kohno | Maddsn | $n$ Harada |
| 44 | All Pass |  |  |

There were several ways to defeat 4s, and each of the relevant $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{S}$ pairs found one of them; minus 100. 3NT was a reasonable contract that would have made here with diamonds three-one, but Wilsmore went two down: 4, ©K (ducked), $\diamond \mathrm{A}$, Q taken, heart to the ace, heart; minus 200. 7 IMPs to Japan (O), 13-1. Brayshaw's 5 was not a good contract, and he was soon down 800. 14 IMPs to Japan (Y), 21-6. Had Raisin passed $3 \diamond$, that would have failed too, but Australia would have lost 5 IMPs rather than 14.

| Bd: 5 | North |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DIr: North | ¢ K985 |  |
| Vul: N/S | $\bigcirc$ Q7 |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ K6 |  |
|  | ¢ AK1086 |  |
| West |  | East |
| - 1042 |  | - AQ6 |
| $\checkmark$ AK53 |  | $\bigcirc 10942$ |
| $\diamond$ A942 |  | $\diamond$ Q53 |
| - J7 |  | -932 |
|  | South |  |
|  | ¢ J73 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ J86 |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ J1087 |  |
|  | - Q54 |  |

Only Hirata-Hanayama managed to reach $2 \Omega$ with the E/W cards, balancing against Grosvenor-Wilsmore's 2\%. That pushed the Aussies to 3\%, where Grosvenor went one down, guessing diamonds correctly (the defenders guessed spades for him); minus 100. Abe, given a heart trick in 1NT, had to guess diamonds for his contract, but got it wrong; minus 100. No swing. In a similar position, Kohno put up the $\triangleleft \mathrm{K}$ to make 1NT; plus 90. Brayshaw, in 2\%, got a passive trump lead and had his work cut out for him. He used dummy's trump entry to pass the s. and later had to lead diamonds from hand (the defense gave him the spades) to go one down; minus 100.5 IMPs to Japan, ahead 26-6.

| Bd: 6 | North |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DIr: East | ¢ AQ107 |  |
| Vul: E/W | $\bigcirc$ Q106 |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \diamond 10 \\ & \text { \& J8542 } \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  |  |  |
| West | - | East |
|  |  | - J432 |
| $\bigcirc$ KJ8 |  | $\bigcirc 954$ |
| $\diamond$ QJ842 <br>  |  | $\checkmark$ A763 |
|  |  | -96 |
|  | South |  |
|  | - 9 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ A732 |  |
|  | $\diamond$ K95 |  |
|  | \& AK1073 |  |


| Australia vs Japan (O) Open Room |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| West | North | East | South |
| Hanyma | GrsvnrHir | rata W | Wilsmore |
|  |  | Pass | 1\%(1) |
| Pass | 14(NF) | Pass | 1NT |
| All Pass ( |  |  |  |
| (1) 13-16 Any |  |  |  |
| Closed Room |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Travis | Abe | Havas | Chen |
|  |  | Pass | 20 |
| Pass | $2 \diamond(\mathrm{INQ})$ | Pass | 2 |
| Pass | 5* | All Pass |  |
| Open Room | Australia vs Japan (Y) |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Takayma | Brayshw | Furuta | Raisin |
|  |  | Pass | 1\% |
| DBL | 14 | Pass | 2\% |
| Pass | 4* | All Pass |  |
| Closed Room |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Jappe | Kohno | Maddsn | Harada |
|  |  | Pass | 2\% |
| DBL | 4\% | All Pass |  |

5e is pretty good in the abstract, much better after West doubles a club bid for takeout. Only Abe, who took a practical shot, made sure of reaching it. NOVA never mentioned the suit. Not its finest hour. 6 IMPs to Japan (O), 19-1. No swing in the Youth match.

"Turn to page 18 and read with me: 'do, a deer, a female deer, re . . '."


There were a few strange decisions in that group of auctions, most notably Havas's $4 \diamond$ and Takayama's campaign of silence. Not to mention Abe's $2 \boldsymbol{e}$ overcall and Raisin's takeout double. Am I getting too old for this job? With everything making, Japan (O) gained 1 IMP, 20-1 and Australia (Y) gained 11 IMPs, 17-26.

| Bd: 9 | North |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DIr: North | - K5 |  |  |
| Vul: E/W | $\bigcirc$ J5 |  |  |
|  | $\diamond$ Q108752 |  |  |
|  | ¢ 86 |  |  |
| West |  | East |  |
| ¢ Q1032 |  |  | J86 |
| $\checkmark$ Q10832 |  |  | A964 |
| $\diamond$ K |  |  | 93 |
| * AQ10 |  |  | K542 |
| South |  |  |  |
| - A974 |  |  |  |
| $\bigcirc$ K7 |  |  |  |
| $\diamond$ AJ64 |  |  |  |
| - J97 |  |  |  |
| Australia vs Japan (O) |  |  |  |
| Open Room |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Hanyma | GrsvnrHirata W |  | ilsmore |
| Pass | 2NT(1) | Pass | 4\%(P/C) |
| Pass | $4 \diamond$ All Pass |  |  |
|  | Closed Room |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Travis | Abe | Havas | Chen |
|  | Pass | Pass | $1 \diamond(2+\diamond)$ |
| DBL | 2 - | DBL* | Pass |
| 38 | All Pass |  |  |
|  | Australia vs Japan (Y) |  |  |
|  | Open Room |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Takayma | Brayshw | Furuta | Raisin |
|  | 3 | Pass | $4 \diamond$ |
| DBL | Pass | 4 | $5 \diamond$ |
| Pass | Pass | DBL | All Pass |
|  | Close | Room |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Jappe | Kohno | Maddsn | Harada |
|  | 2 2 | Pass | 3 - |
| DBL | Pass | 3 | All Pass |

Grosvenor-Wilsmore caught it just right, in terms of buying the auction at minimum risk, down 100, but that wasn't good enough when even 38 proved to be too high at the other table. Diamond to the ace, three rounds of spades, trump to come. Down 100. 5 IMPs to Japan, 25-1.

Kohno-Harada were unable to silence JappeMaddison, but they got the job done on defense: $\diamond$ A, king, seven, three; low spade. Down 100. Raisin had his opponents where he wanted them at the other table, Takayama taking the dare to
enter the auction, but then he committed one of bridge's deadly sins by double-clutching opposite a preempt. Down 500. 12 IMPs to Japan, 38-17. Ouch.

| Bd: 10 | North |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dlr: East | -J43 |  |
| Vul: Both | $\bigcirc$ K10 |  |
| $\checkmark 96$ |  |  |
| West | KQ10932 |  |
|  | East |  |
| -107652 |  | ¢ AQ98 |
| $\checkmark$ A643 |  | $\bigcirc 872$ |
| $\diamond$ QJ8 |  | $\diamond$ AK742 |
|  |  | \% A |
| South |  |  |
|  | Q |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ QJ95 |  |
|  | $\diamond 1053$ |  |
|  | - 87654 |  |
|  | Australia vs Japan (O) |  |
|  | Open Room |  |
| West | North | East South |
| Hanyma | GrsvnrHi | ata Wilsmore |
|  |  | $1 \diamond$ Pass |
| 14 |  | 4れ(SPL) Pass |
| 4 | Pass | 4NT Pass |
| $5 \diamond(1 / 4)$ | Pass | 64 All Pass |
|  | Closed Room |  |
| West | North | East South |
| Travis | Abe | Havas Chen |
|  |  | $1 \diamond$ Pass |
| 14 | 2\% | 3\% Pass |
| 3 | Pass | 44 All Pass |
|  | Australia vs Japan (Y) |  |
|  | Open Room |  |
| West | North | East South |
| Takayma | Brayshw | Furuta Raisin |
|  |  | $1 \diamond$ Pass |
| 14 | All Pass |  |
| 5 |  |  |
|  | Closed Room |  |
| West | North | East South |
| Jappe | Kohno | Maddsn Harada |
|  |  | 1\%(STR) 1¢(1) |
| 24 | 30 | 34 Pass |
| $4 \bigcirc$ | Pass | 5\% Pass |
| 54 | Pass | 64 All Pass |

64 needs the whole trump suit, so you'd prefer not to bid it on this lie (low to the queen is your best shot in six). Inevitably, the declarers who
stopped short of slam led a spade to the ace and made six (no heart lead), those in six went down. 13 IMPs to Australia(O), 14-25, and 13 IMPs to Japan (Y), 51-17. You may form your own opinion about who bid too much. If Harada had been dealt a small singleton or a void, Jappe would have made 64 after Harada's advertisement about short spades; Jappe ran the ten.


I know l'm getting old. I can't see any reason for Grosvenor or Abe to bid and I know that they are good players, so my reasoning powers are clearly fading fast. Come to think of it, Hugh did mention that to me around 2 am in the hallway outside my room. I intend to fall on my sword as soon as the last Bulletin is put to rest, but to quit now would be cowardly. Good old Hugh took six tricks in two clubs for minus 100, so perhaps his bid wasn't so awful after all. Poor Havas. She doubled $2 \%$ and was deprived of the opportunity to test her defense against it when Dawei Chen, knowing something of Abe's proclivities, scampered out to $2 จ$. No one doubled, which was good for Australia, because no one beat it; plus 110. 5 IMPs to Japan, 30-14.

I can't say anything nice about Takayama's $2 \diamond$ overcall. Down 50. Or Jappe's pass over $1 \nabla$. Has not the takeout double filtered down to the Youth division? $1 \triangleleft$ made two; plus 110. 2 IMPs to Japan, 53-17.

| Bd: 12 | North |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DIr: West | , Q1098642 |  |  |
| Vul: N/S | $\bigcirc 62$ |  |  |
|  | $\diamond$ Q5 |  |  |
|  | \& A 5 |  |  |
| West | East |  |  |
| - AKJ3 | - 75 |  |  |
| $\bigcirc$ J83 | $\bigcirc$ A10954 |  |  |
| $\checkmark$ A843 | $\checkmark 62$ |  |  |
| - 43 |  |  | -10876 |
|  | South |  |  |
|  | 4 --- |  |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ KQ7 |  |  |
|  | $\diamond$ KJ1097 |  |  |
|  | \% KQJ92 |  |  |
|  | Australia vs Japan (O) |  |  |
|  | Open Room |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Hanyma | GrsvnrHirata Wilsmore |  |  |
| $1 \diamond$ | 24 All Pass |  |  |
|  | Closed Room |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Travis | Abe | Havas | Chen |
| 19 | Pass | Pass | DBL |
| Pass | Pass | RDBL | Pass |
| 2 2 | 24 | Pass | 3NT |
| All Pass |  |  |  |


|  | Australia vs Japan (Y) Open Room |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| West | North | East | South |
| Takayma | Brayshw | Furuta | Raisin |
| $1 \diamond$ | 3s Close | All Pass Room |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Jappe | Kohno | Maddsn | Harada |
| 1NT | Pass | $2 \diamond(\vee)$ | 2NT ( $\bigcirc+\ldots$ ) |
| $3 \bigcirc$ | All Pass |  |  |

You would think that Travis's 1s would normally achieve a fair result, but here it spawned a scenario in which her opponents could coast into game without incident; plus 630. I can't see anything awful in the Grosvenor-Wilsmore auction except the result. Hugh lost a trick to the . 7 after having to ruff the third diamond high, and so went down one in 24; minus 100. 12 IMPs to Japan, 42-14. Had he made it, he would have saved only one IMP.

Brayshaw guessed the $\$ 7$ correctly to go one down. Jappe's kamikaze $3 \checkmark$ stole the pot at the other table, just one down, minus 50, but with Brayshaw-Raisin minus 100 instead of plus 600, Australia lost 4 IMPs. 57-17.

| Bd: 13 | North |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DIr: North | - 9754 |  |
| Vul: Both | $\bigcirc$ AK10 |  |
|  | $\diamond$ AQ98 $\stackrel{9}{ } 96$ |  |
| West |  | East |
| - QJ8 |  | \$ K1032 |
| $\bigcirc 743$ |  | $\bigcirc 962$ |
| $\checkmark$ J62 |  | $\checkmark$ K105 |
| - A543 |  | - Q108 |
|  | South |  |
|  | - A6 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ QJ85 |  |
|  | $\checkmark 743$ |  |
|  | ¢ KJ72 |  |


| Australia vs Japan (O) Open Room |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| West | North | East | South |
| Hanyma | Grsvnr | Hirata | Wilsmore |
|  | 1*(1) | Pass | $18(\mathrm{FG}-\mathrm{R})$ |
| Pass | 1NT(2) | Pass | 2e(R) |
| Pass | $2 \diamond(3)$ | Pass | 3NT |
| All Pass |  |  |  |
| (1) 13-16 Any; (2) $4+$ + , not $4\ulcorner$; (3) BAL Closed Room |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Travis | Abe | Havas | Chen |
|  | 1NT | Pass | 2\% |
| Pass | 21 | Pass | 2NT |
| Pass | 3NT | All Pass |  |
|  | Australia Open | vs Japan Room |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Takayma | Brayshw | Furuta | Raisin |
|  | 1NT | Pass | 20 |
| Pass | 21 | Pass | 2NT |
| Pass | 3NT | All Pass |  |
|  | Closed | d Room |  |
| West Jappe | North | East | South |
|  | Kohno | Maddsn | Harada |
|  | $1 \diamond$ | Pass | 18 |
| Pass | 1NT | All Pass |  |

You'd much prefer to be in 1NT than 3NT with the N/S cards, so Harada's judgment was better than his counterparts' this time. Kohno took seven tricks, plus 90; Brayshaw six tricks, minus 300. 9 IMPs to Japan (Y), ahead 66-17.

Just because 3NT is a poor contract, that is no reason that it must fail. Both Easts led a passive heart and the declarers won to lead a club to the jack. Grosvenor's choice was the nine, covered. Hanayama ducked the J and Grosvenor led a diamond to the nine and ten. He won the heart continuation and led the e6, eight, king ... slow ace. Still no spade switch. Hanayama played a third heart and Grosvenor took the fourth heart before losing the diamond finesse. Hirata cashed the Q , setting up the seven; plus 600. Look ma, I made it.

Piker. Abe made four! Travis took the J with the ace at trick two and returned the $\diamond 6$, eight, ten. Havas continued with the $\triangleleft \mathrm{K}$ so Abe had nine tricks and had time to set up the $\boldsymbol{\$}$ for an overtrick. Plus 630. 1 IMP to Japan, 43-14.

Would you convert your partner's favorablevulnerability $3 \diamond$ opening to 3 NT , or perhaps raise to $5 \diamond$ with:

## ↔AK75 8 AQJ4 $\vee$ A8 $£ 1065 ?$

Every partnership should know the answer to that one? Furuta, the only East to face that problem, did bid 3NT. With clubs four-four, he needed the whole diamond suit opposite king-jack-ten-sixthj and out. Two down (only); minus 100. Everyone else made a partscore. Japan (Y) lost 6 IMPs, but were still sitting on a big lead, 66-23.

"Help me, Sarce, l'm not so good with big numbers."

|  | Australia vs Japan (Y) Open Room |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| West | North | East | South |
| Takayma | Brayshw | Furuta | Raisin |
|  |  | 18 | 21 |
| DBL | Pass | 3 | All Pass |
|  | Close | Room |  |
| West Jappe | North | East | South |
|  | Kohno | Maddsn | Harada |
|  |  | Pass | Pass |
| 18 | 230 | 30 | Pass |
| $3 \bigcirc$ | All Pass |  |  |

All the heart contracts produced eight tricks when declarer was able to ruff his fourth diamond in East, and Chen, on some interesting defense (he might have made it anyway), scrambled home in 34. 7 IMPs to Japan (O), ahead 50-17.

| Bd: 19 | North |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DIr: South | ¢ 4 |  |  |
| Vul: E/W | $\bigcirc$ K964 |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \diamond \text { AJ1097 } \\ & \& \text { Q108 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| West | East |  |  |
| -98 |  |  | AKQJ2 |
| $\bigcirc$ AJ103 |  |  | Q85 |
| $\checkmark 8432$ |  |  |  |
| - J92 |  |  | K75 |
| South |  |  |  |
| ( 107653 |  |  |  |
| $\bigcirc 72$ |  |  |  |
| $\checkmark$ Q6 |  |  |  |
| - 4643 |  |  |  |
| Australia vs Japan (O) |  |  |  |
| Open Room |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Hanyma | Grsvnr | Hirata | Wilsmore |
|  |  |  | $1 \diamond(1)$ |
| Pass | 2 ® | 2NT | All Pass |
| (1) 0-7 Any |  |  |  |
| Closed Room |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Travis | Abe | Havas | Chen |
|  |  |  | 24. ${ }_{\text {a }}+\mathrm{m}$ ) |
| Pass | 2NT (INQ) | DBL | 39 |
| All Pass |  |  |  |


|  | Australia vs Japan (Y) Open Room |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| West | North | East | South |
| Takayma | Brayshw | Furuta | Raisin |
| Pass | $3(\mathrm{P} / \mathrm{C})$ Closed | 3NT <br> d Room | All Pass |
| West | North | East | South |
| Jappe | Kohno | Maddsn | Harada |
| Pass | 2 - | DBL | Pass |
| 2 | Pass | 24 | All Pass |

Is it not obvious that N/S must reach $3 \boldsymbol{2}$ with their cards? As my Japanese mom once said to me, "That is chutzpah, Kokish-san." Chen, left to play there, took no less than eight tricks: diamond to the king, trump to the jack and queen, spade won by East, low trump, draw trumps, run diamonds. Couldn't quite get home; minus 50. Brayshaw did not have to play 3\%, which is not to say that he enjoyed the deal. He saw his partner lead a heart against 3NT. He ducked once, ducked twice, and saw declarer play five rounds. He had to keep his hearts and thought he might need some clubs too, so he threw three diamonds and a club. Two rounds of diamonds finished that suit, tucking Furuta in hand. Club to the nine and ten, Furuta could cover and establish his seven, or duck and leave Brayshaw on play to lead into the heart tenace. He ducked and Raisin overtook to spare everyone their moment in the sun; plus 600.

Perhaps more reasonably, Hirata went down in 2NT when Grosvenor's clever "constructive" 2 $\diamond$ got Wilsmore to lead the suit; down 100. And Poor Maddison went down in 2 when he drew only three rounds of trumps and lost both a heart ruff and a trump promotion; minus 100.

That translated into 4 IMPs for Australia (O), who nonetheless lost this key match 21-50, 9-21 in VP.
And 12 IMPs to Japan (Y), who went on to slaughter the Aussies 81-23, 25-4 in VP, moving them into a strong medal position while putting an end to their opponents' hopes for a podium appearance.

## OPEN Series



## Round Robin-Match

This graph shows the match-by-match progress of the teams in the series. Each line in the graph has different properties (form: dashes, dots, etc.; markers: circles, boxes, etc.) and represents a different team (the legend shows which line is assigned to which team). Lines (or portions thereof) which rise more steeply indicate teams which are doing better, while lines (or portions thereof) which are flatter indicate teams which are doing more poorly. In other words, teams whose lines are near the top of the graph are doing best, while those whose lines are near the bottom are doing worst.

## LADIES Series



This graph shows the match-by-match progress of the teams in the series. Each line in the graph has different properties (form: dashes, dots, etc.; markers: circles, boxes, etc.) and represents a different team (the legend shows which line is assigned to which team). Lines (or portions thereof) which rise more steeply indicate teams which are doing better, while lines (or portions thereof) which are flatter indicate teams which are doing more poorly. In other words, teams whose lines are near the top of the graph are doing best, while those whose lines are near the bottom are doing worst.

## YOUTH Series



This graph shows the match-by-match progress of the teams in the series. Each line in the graph has different properties (form: dashes, dots, etc.; markers: circles, boxes, etc.) and represents a different team (the legend shows which line is assigned to which team). Lines (or portions thereof) which rise more steeply indicate teams which are doing better, while lines (or portions thereof) which are flatter indicate teams which are doing more poorly. In other words, teams whose lines are near the top of the graph are doing best, while those whose lines are near the bottom are doing worst.

## NO SMOKING ALERT!

Smoking is not permitted anywhere on the fourth floor of the Urban Gourmet Port, including the playing areas, the Secretariat, the foyer and the rest rooms. Please be considerate of others and refrain from smoking in these areas. Smoking is permitted in hotel rooms and outside.

## AIRPORT LIMOUSINE AND RETURN FLIGHT RECONFIRMATION

It is advisable to reconfirm your return flight and to reserve a seat on the airport limousine bus to Kansai International Airport (KIX) as soon as possible during your stay here. Should you require assistance, please contact the Business Center (ext. 3780) located on the second floor of the Sheraton. The Business Center's hours are 8:00 am to 7:00 pm every day.

## KYOTO ONE-DAY TOUR ON MAY 25

Two buses will leave for Kyoto at 8:30 am sharp. Registered participants are advised to be at the hotel main entrance ten minutes early. The tour includes visits to Kinkakuji (or Golden Pavilion), Heian Shrine, lunch and shopping at Kyoto Handicraft Center, Hekiunso Garden and Sanjusangendou Temple. Buses will return to the hotel by 5:30 pm. Anyone interested in this trip must reconfirm as soon as possible at the Secretariat. Those wishing to leave the buses and travel around Kyoto on their own are advised to declare their intentions in advance.

## DELAYS IN ROOM SERVICE

The hotel advises that, due to the expected heavy use of room service during this tournament, there may be delays in food delivery. Please be patient.

## ACCESS BETWEEN HOTEL AND PLAYING AREA

Be advised that there are two access routes between the Kobe Bay Sheraton Hotel and the Urban Gourmet Port Building. One is located on the fourth floor and the other on the second floor.

## COMPLIMENTARY BUS SHUTTLE TO SANNOMIYA OR SHIN KOBE

The Kobe Bay Sheraton offers complimentary shuttle bus service between the hotel and Sannomiya or Shin Kobe. Tickets may be obtained at the desk on the fourth floor of the Urban Gourmet Port Building. Schedules are available in your room, guest packet, the hotel Business Center, or the desk.

## SPORTS PUB ARENA

The Sports Pub Arena will return to its normal 11:00 pm closing time beginning May 18.

## CONTACTING THE PABF SECRETARIAT

The Secretariat, located in the I-Hall on the $4^{\text {th }}$ floor of the Urban Gourmet Port, can be contacted as follows: From your hotel room dial 6060 or 6061; from the Kobe City area dial 857-5585; from outside the City area dial 078-857-5585; and from overseas (for our Internet readers) dial +81-78-857-5585 (voice) or +81-78-857-5584 (fax).

## DISCOUNT ON USE OF TENNIS COURTS

The Bay Club on the $5^{\text {th }}$ floor of the Kobe Bay Sheraton Hotel is offering $50 \%$ off tennis court rentals. Please book all reservations directly to \#3891 in order to receive the discount. (Note: rental items do not qualify for the discount.)

DATUM
OPEN (2nd RR Match 7)

|  |  | NEW ZEALAND |  | CH. TAIPEI |  | CHINA |  | INDONESIA |  | HONG KONG, ${ }^{\text {ch }}$ |  | JAPAN |  | AUSTRALIA |  | MALAYSIA |  | MACAU |  | PHILIPPINES |  | SINGAPORE |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No | DATUM | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{S}$ | E-W | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{S}$ | E-W | N-S | E-W | N-S | E-W | N-S | E-W | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{S}$ | E-W | N-S | E-W | N-S | E-W | N-S | E-W | N-S | E-W | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{S}$ | E-W |
| 1 | -340 | -4 | 10 | -3 | -9 | 9 | 3 | -3 | -9 | 9 | 3 | -10 | 4 | 0 | 0 | -3 | 4 | -4 | 3 | -3 | -9 | 9 | 3 |
| 2 | -140 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 4 | -4 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | -5 | -6 | -6 | 0 | 0 | 6 | -7 | 7 | -6 | -8 | 6 | -6 | 8 |
| 3 | 20 | 9 | -2 | -2 | 3 | -3 | 2 | 9 | 3 | -3 | -9 | 2 | -9 | 0 | 0 | -5 | 2 | -2 | 5 | -3 | -2 | 2 | 3 |
| 4 | 140 | -1 | -4 | -1 | -2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | -1 | -2 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | -1 | 1 | -1 | 1 | -1 | -2 | 2 | 1 |
| 5 | -120 | 0 | 0 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | -1 | 0 | 1 | -1 | 0 |
| 6 | 60 | -3 | -4 | 1 | 4 | -4 | -1 | 2 | 3 | -3 | -2 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 10 | -2 | 2 | -10 | -3 | -2 | 2 | 3 |
| 7 | 190 | -7 | 9 | -7 | -10 | 10 | 7 | -7 | -13 | 13 | 7 | -9 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 9 | -12 | 12 | -9 | -7 | 9 | -9 | 7 |
| 8 | 350 | -6 | -5 | 2 | -7 | 7 | -2 | 2 | -5 | 5 | -2 | 5 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 6 | -6 | -3 | -4 | 5 | -5 | 4 |
| 9 | -770 | 4 | 1 | -12 | 1 | -1 | 12 | 4 | -4 | 4 | -4 | -1 | -4 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 12 | -12 | -14 | 3 | -3 | 3 | -3 |
| 10 | -660 | 0 | 9 | -13 | 0 | 0 | 13 | -9 | -13 | 13 | 9 | -9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 1 | -1 | -13 | 13 | 13 | -13 | -13 |
| 11 | -120 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -11 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | -2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 12 | 250 | -3 | 11 | -3 | 3 | -3 | 3 | 8 | -8 | 8 | -8 | -11 | 3 | 0 | 0 | -3 | 3 | -3 | 3 | 8 | 4 | -4 | -8 |
| 13 | -10 | -7 | 5 | -3 | -5 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 3 | -3 | -3 | -5 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 3 | -3 | 3 | -3 | 5 | 4 | -4 | -5 |
| 14 | -540 | -10 | -3 | 2 | -2 | 2 | -2 | 2 | -11 | 11 | -2 | 3 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 2 | -2 | 2 | -2 | 2 | 10 | -10 | -2 |
| 15 | 300 | 0 | 9 | 8 | -8 | 8 | -8 | 5 | 11 | -11 | -5 | -9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 4 | -4 | -8 | -11 | -5 | 5 | 11 |
| 16 | -40 | 6 | -10 | 6 | 2 | -2 | -6 | -2 | 6 | -6 | 2 | 10 | -6 | 0 | 0 | -6 | -10 | 10 | 6 | -10 | 6 | -6 | 10 |
| 17 | 450 | 0 | 0 | -11 | 0 | 0 | 11 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | -1 | 1 | 0 |
| 18 | 190 | -7 | -15 | -7 | -10 | 10 | 7 | 10 | 1 | -1 | -10 | 15 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 7 | -7 | -3 | -2 | 12 | -12 | 2 |
| 19 | 420 | -1 | 0 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 20 | 330 | 7 | -7 | -10 | -7 | 7 | 10 | 8 | 12 | -12 | -8 | 7 | -7 | 0 | 0 | -11 | -7 | 7 | 11 | 7 | 11 | -11 | -7 |
|  | IMP + | 34 | 60 | 19 | 17 | 60 | 74 | 60 | 40 | 63 | 34 | 50 | 49 | 0 | 0 | 72 | 41 | 45 | 30 | 38 | 81 | 24 | 52 |
|  | IMP - | 49 | 50 | 74 | 60 | 17 | 19 | 34 | 63 | 40 | 60 | 60 | 34 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 45 | 41 | 72 | 52 | 24 | 81 | 38 |

OPEN (2nd RR Match 8)

|  |  | NEW ZEALAND |  | CH. TAIPEI |  | CHINA |  | INDONESIA |  | HONG KONG, CH |  | JAPAN |  | AUSTRALIA |  | MALAYSIA |  | MACAU |  | PHILIPPINES |  | SINGAPORE |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No | DATUM | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{S}$ | E-W | N-S | E-W | N-S | E-W | N-S | E-W | N -S | E-W | N-S | E-W | N-S | E-W | N-S | E-W | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{S}$ | E-W | N-S | E-W | N-S | E-W |
| 1 | -10 | 4 | 1 | -1 | -4 | -1 | 1 | 4 | 1 | -1 | -4 | -1 | 1 | -4 | 3 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 0 | -1 | -7 | -3 | 4 |
| 2 | 160 | -1 | 1 | -1 | 1 | 11 | 8 | -1 | 1 | -1 | 1 | -8 | -11 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | -11 | 0 | 0 | -10 |
| 3 | 60 | 2 | 4 | -12 | -2 | 1 | -2 | 2 | 12 | -4 | -2 | 2 | -1 | 2 | -2 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 0 | -4 | -2 | 2 | -2 |
| 4 | 150 | 2 | -2 | -7 | 2 | -2 | 2 | -2 | 7 | 2 | -2 | -2 | 2 | -2 | -6 | 2 | -2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | -2 | 6 | 2 |
| 5 | 620 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 6 | 60 | 4 | -2 | -5 | -2 | -5 | -1 | 2 | 5 | 2 | -4 | 1 | 5 | 1 | -3 | -5 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 3 | -1 |
| 7 | -20 | -5 | -5 | -5 | -4 | 5 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 | -2 | -5 | 5 | 9 | -5 | -12 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 5 | -9 | -5 |
| 8 | -450 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 9 | 360 | 2 | 5 | 2 | -2 | 2 | 4 | 2 | -2 | -5 | -2 | -4 | -2 | 2 | -2 | 2 | 6 | 0 | 0 | -6 | -2 | 2 | -2 |
| 10 | -320 | -7 | -9 | 9 | -9 | 4 | 7 | 9 | -9 | 9 | 7 | -7 | -4 | 5 | 7 | -7 | 7 | 0 | 0 | -7 | 7 | -7 | -5 |
| 11 | 90 | -1 | -10 | -1 | 0 | 0 | -1 | 0 | 1 | 10 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 9 | 6 | -5 | 5 | 0 | 0 | -5 | 5 | -6 | -9 |
| 12 | -30 | -3 | -5 | 6 | 3 | -2 | -2 | -3 | -6 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 4 | -2 | -5 | 5 | 0 | 0 | -5 | 5 | 2 | -4 |
| 13 | -100 | -3 | 9 | 0 | -5 | 5 | 3 | 5 | 0 | -9 | 3 | -3 | -5 | 7 | 9 | -3 | -7 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 3 | -9 | -7 |
| 14 | 420 | 1 | -1 | -6 | -1 | 1 | -1 | 1 | 6 | 1 | -1 | 1 | -1 | 1 | -1 | -6 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 1 | -1 |
| 15 | -190 | 2 | 12 | 7 | -10 | 2 | 3 | 10 | -7 | -12 | -2 | -3 | -2 | 7 | 12 | 0 | -2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | -12 | -7 |
| 16 | 160 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | -5 | 1 | -2 | 0 | -2 | 0 | -1 | 5 | -2 | 2 | 6 | -7 | 0 | 0 | 7 | -6 | -2 | 2 |
| 17 | 380 | -10 | -2 | 2 | -1 | 2 | -2 | 1 | -2 | 2 | 10 | 2 | -2 | 2 | -2 | -10 | -2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 10 | 2 | -2 |
| 18 | 0 | -5 | 3 | 3 | 3 | -3 | -3 | -3 | -3 | -3 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 3 | -3 | -3 | -3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 3 | -3 |
| 19 | 780 | 9 | 8 | 7 | -4 | -8 | 1 | 4 | -7 | -8 | -9 | -1 | 8 | -8 | -12 | 4 | 8 | 0 | 0 | -8 | -4 | 12 | 8 |
| 20 | 40 | 6 | -5 | 2 | -2 | -4 | 6 | 2 | -2 | 5 | -6 | -6 | 4 | 3 | -2 | -4 | 6 | 0 | 0 | -6 | 4 | 2 | -3 |
|  | IMP + | 33 | 45 | 38 | 11 | 33 | 38 | 46 | 38 | 41 | 35 | 12 | 30 | 61 | 48 | 23 | 53 | 0 | 0 | 37 | 53 | 36 | 16 |
|  | IMP - | 35 | 41 | 38 | 46 | 30 | 12 | 11 | 38 | 45 | 33 | 38 | 33 | 16 | 36 | 53 | 37 | 0 | - | 53 | 23 | 48 | 61 |

DATUM
OPEN (2nd RR Match 9)

|  |  | NEW ZEALAND |  | CH. TAIPEI |  | CHINA |  | INDONESIA |  | HONG KONG, CH |  | JAPAN |  | AUSTRALIA |  | MALAYSIA |  | MACAU |  | PHILIPPINES |  | SINGAPORE |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No | DATUM | N -S | E-W | N-S | E-W | N-S | E-W | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{S}$ | E-W | N-S | E-W | N-S | E-W | N -S | E-W | N -S | E-W | N -S | E-W | N-S | E-W | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{S}$ | E-W |
| 1 | -190 | -6 | 6 | 2 | -6 | 1 | -1 | -6 | 6 | 1 | -1 | 6 | -2 | 3 | -6 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 6 | -3 | -6 | -1 |
| 2 | -120 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | -1 | 0 |
| 3 | -640 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | -10 | 0 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 4 | -640 | -13 | 0 | 1 | -1 | 0 | -1 | 0 | 13 | 1 | 0 | 1 | -1 | 0 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 1 | 1 | 0 | -1 | -13 |
| 5 | -100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 6 | 440 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 7 | -290 | 10 | -9 | 9 | -9 | -8 | 7 | 9 | -10 | -7 | 8 | 9 | -9 | -8 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 9 | -8 | 8 | -9 | -3 |
| 8 | -410 | -6 | 3 | 12 | 0 | 8 | -8 | -3 | 6 | 8 | -8 | 0 | -12 | -12 | -7 | 0 | 0 | -12 | 0 | 7 | 12 | 0 | 12 |
| 9 | -70 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -10 | 1 | 1 | 1 | -1 | 10 | 1 | 1 | 5 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | -1 | 1 | -5 | 1 | -1 |
| 10 | -30 | 4 | 2 | -5 | -6 | 6 | -4 | -2 | -4 | 4 | -6 | 6 | 5 | -5 | 2 | 0 | 0 | -5 | 2 | -2 | 5 | -2 | 5 |
| 11 | 120 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | -1 | -2 | 0 | 0 | -1 | -1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -1 | -1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 12 | -420 | -1 | 2 | 10 | 2 | 6 | 6 | -2 | 1 | -6 | -6 | -2 | -10 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 0 | -2 | 2 | -2 | -6 | -2 | 2 |
| 13 | 110 | 1 | 3 | -3 | -1 | 1 | -5 | -3 | -1 | 5 | -1 | 1 | 3 | 5 | -2 | 0 | 0 | -3 | 5 | 2 | -5 | -5 | 3 |
| 14 | -540 | -5 | 3 | 14 | -12 | -11 | 5 | -3 | 5 | -5 | 11 | 12 | -14 | -3 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 1 | -10 | -11 | 3 | 10 | -1 |
| 15 | -10 | 7 | 11 | 12 | -12 | -5 | 5 | -11 | -7 | -5 | 5 | 12 | -12 | -3 | 5 | 0 | 0 | -5 | 3 | -5 | 3 | -3 | 5 |
| 16 | -440 | -5 | 5 | -5 | 5 | 12 | 5 | -5 | 5 | -5 | -12 | -5 | 5 | -5 | -12 | 0 | 0 | -5 | -11 | 12 | 5 | 11 | 5 |
| 17 | 700 | 6 | 6 | 6 | -6 | 6 | 5 | -6 | -6 | -5 | -6 | 6 | -6 | -5 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 6 | -5 | 5 | -6 | -6 |
| 18 | -50 | -3 | -3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | -3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | -3 | -3 | -3 | -2 | -3 | 0 | 0 | -10 | 4 | 3 | 2 | -4 | 10 |
| 19 | 130 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | -5 | 0 | 9 | 1 | 0 | 0 | -1 | 0 | -1 | -9 | 0 | 1 |
| 20 | 1430 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 1 | -1 | -12 | 0 | 1 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | IMP + | 39 | 60 | 70 | 16 | 57 | 36 | 13 | 41 | 23 | 35 | 54 | 14 | 28 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 39 | 34 | 44 | 24 | 44 |
|  | IMP - | 41 | 13 | 14 | 54 | 35 | 23 | 60 | 39 | 36 | 57 | 16 | 70 | 44 | 34 | 0 | 0 | 44 | 24 | 35 | 28 | 39 | 25 |

LADIES (3rd RR Match 3)

|  |  | CHINA |  | AUSTRALIA |  | INDONESIA |  | JAPAN |  | NEW | ZEALAND | CH. TAIPEI |  | KOREA |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No | DATUM | N-S | E-W | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{S}$ | E-W | N-S | E-W | N-S | E-W | N-S | E-W | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{S}$ | E-W | N-S | E-W |
| 1 | -200 | -6 | -6 | -6 | -6 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | -6 | -6 |
| 2 | -120 | -5 | 9 | -1 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 5 | -6 | 1 | 1 | -9 | 5 | 6 | -5 |
| 3 | 20 | -3 | 2 | 3 | -2 | 0 | 0 | -3 | -9 | 2 | -3 | -2 | 3 | 9 | 3 |
| 4 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 |
| 5 | -130 | 0 | -1 | 1 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | -1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 6 | 80 | -4 | -2 | 1 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 1 | -1 | 2 | 4 | -4 | -2 |
| 7 | 450 | 5 | -9 | -11 | -4 | 0 | 0 | -11 | -5 | 4 | 11 | 9 | -5 | 5 | 11 |
| 8 | 300 | -5 | -6 | -4 | -10 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 6 | 10 | 4 | 6 | 5 | -6 | -3 |
| 9 | -520 | 14 | 14 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | -2 | -6 | -14 | -14 | -4 | -1 |
| 10 | -650 | -13 | 13 | 0 | -13 | 0 | 0 | 1 | -13 | 13 | 0 | -13 | 13 | 13 | -1 |
| 11 | -110 | -5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | -5 |
| 12 | 240 | 9 | 3 | 6 | 3 | 0 | 0 | -8 | 3 | -3 | -6 | -3 | -9 | -3 | 8 |
| 13 | -200 | -12 | -2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 0 | -1 | 2 | 12 | -3 | -3 |
| 14 | -860 | -3 | 3 | 9 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 3 | -3 | -9 | -3 | 3 | -3 | -14 |
| 15 | 210 | -1 | 2 | 9 | -9 | 0 | 0 | -11 | 7 | 9 | -9 | -2 | 1 | -7 | 11 |
| 16 | 110 | 3 | 9 | -5 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 7 | -7 | -5 | 5 | -9 | -3 | 7 | -7 |
| 17 | 460 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -1 | -1 |
| 18 | 140 | 8 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | -7 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -8 | 1 | 7 |
| 19 | 60 | -3 | -9 | -5 | 3 | 0 | 0 | -3 | -8 | -3 | 5 | 9 | 3 | 8 | 3 |
| 20 | 500 | 3 | -3 | 3 | -3 | 0 | 0 | -12 | 8 | 3 | -3 | 3 | -3 | -8 | 12 |
|  | IMP + | 42 | 56 | 40 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 48 | 45 | 50 | 32 | 38 | 60 | 49 | 67 |
|  | IMP - | 60 | 38 | 32 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 67 | 49 | 17 | 40 | 56 | 42 | 45 | 48 |

## LADIES (3rd RR Match 4)

| No |  | CHINA |  | AUSTRALIA |  | INDONESIA |  | JAPAN |  | NEW$\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{S}$ | ZEALAND | CH. TAIPEI |  | KOREA |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | DATUM | N-S | E-W | N-S | E-W | N-S | E-W | N-S | E-W |  | E-W | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{S}$ | E-W | N-S | E-W |
| 1 | 80 | 2 | 4 | 1 | -1 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 0 | -4 | -2 | 1 | -1 | -4 | -3 |
| 2 | -100 | -3 | 2 | 6 | -6 | -2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | -2 | 3 | 6 | -6 | -2 | 2 |
| 3 | 20 | 3 | 3 | -3 | -3 | -2 | -3 | 0 | 0 | -3 | -3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 |
| 4 | 200 | 0 | 3 | -3 | 0 | 3 | -5 | 0 | 0 | -3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 5 | -3 |
| 5 | 630 | 0 | 0 | -13 | -1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 13 | 0 | -1 |
| 6 | 60 | 2 | 5 | 1 | 5 | 4 | -2 | 0 | 0 | -5 | -2 | -5 | -1 | 2 | -4 |
| 7 | -230 | 8 | 2 | -2 | 2 | 4 | -1 | 0 | 0 | -2 | -8 | -2 | 2 | 1 | -4 |
| 8 | -450 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 9 | 180 | -2 | -1 | 1 | -6 | 1 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 6 | -1 | -7 | -1 |
| 10 | -490 | 8 | 3 | 8 | 3 | -3 | 5 | 0 | 0 | -3 | -8 | -3 | -8 | -5 | 3 |
| 11 | 50 | 2 | 4 | 11 | -2 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | -4 | -2 | 2 | -11 | -4 | 0 |
| 12 | -140 | -2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 |
| 13 | -100 | 0 | 3 | 5 | -7 | -3 | 9 | 0 | 0 | -3 | 0 | 7 | -5 | -9 | 3 |
| 14 | 450 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -6 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 15 | -110 | 12 | 12 | -1 | 3 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 0 | -12 | -12 | -3 | 1 | -2 | -5 |
| 16 | 130 | 0 | 0 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 17 | 450 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 18 | 70 | 11 | -2 | -7 | 5 | 2 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | -11 | -5 | 7 | 1 | -2 |
| 19 | 570 | 9 | 4 | 9 | 4 | -4 | 9 | 0 | 0 | -4 | -9 | -4 | -9 | -9 | 4 |
| 20 | 80 | 4 | 9 | 0 | -1 | 3 | 5 | 0 | 0 | -9 | -4 | 1 | 0 | -5 | -3 |
|  | IMP + | 61 | 54 | 42 | 28 | 26 | 47 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 8 | 27 | 30 | 17 | 14 |
|  | IMP - | 8 | 3 | 30 | 27 | 14 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 54 | 61 | 28 | 42 | 47 | 26 |

LADIES (3rd RR Match 5)

|  |  | CHINA |  | AUSTRALIA |  | INDONESIA |  | JAPAN |  | NEW ZEALAND |  | CH. TAIPEI |  | KOREA |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No | DATUM | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{S}$ | E-W | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{S}$ | E-W | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{S}$ | E-W | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{S}$ | E-W | N -S | E-W | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{S}$ | E-W | N-S | E-W |
| 1 | -340 | -2 | 4 | -2 | -6 | 6 | 2 | -4 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 2 | -2 | -6 |
| 2 | -140 | 0 | -1 | 1 | 6 | -6 | -1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | -1 |
| 3 | -650 | 0 | -1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | -1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4 | -440 | 11 | 5 | 12 | 5 | -5 | -12 | -5 | -11 | 0 | 0 | -5 | 5 | -5 | 5 |
| 5 | -20 | 2 | 2 | -3 | 2 | -2 | 3 | -2 | -2 | 0 | 0 | 3 | -2 | 2 | -3 |
| 6 | 440 | 0 | 1 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7 | -620 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | -12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8 | -450 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 10 | -10 | -1 | -5 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 4 | -9 | 9 | -4 |
| 9 | -90 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -5 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | -1 |
| 10 | -90 | 7 | 2 | -12 | 3 | -3 | 12 | -2 | -7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -5 | 5 | 0 |
| 11 | 90 | 3 | -2 | -4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 2 | -3 | 0 | 0 | -6 | -2 | 2 | 6 |
| 12 | -280 | 2 | 6 | 2 | -8 | 8 | -2 | -6 | -2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 9 | -9 | -2 |
| 13 | 120 | 2 | 3 | -1 | 3 | -3 | 1 | -3 | -2 | 0 | 0 | 5 | -2 | 2 | -5 |
| 14 | 30 | 9 | 2 | -4 | 6 | -6 | 4 | -2 | -9 | 0 | 0 | -12 | -9 | 9 | 12 |
| 15 | 80 | 11 | 11 | -12 | -11 | 11 | 12 | -11 | -11 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 11 | -11 | -13 |
| 16 | -620 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -13 | 0 | 0 | 1 | -1 | 1 | -1 |
| 17 | 600 | -3 | -8 | -5 | 4 | -4 | 5 | 8 | 3 | 0 | 0 | -2 | -8 | 8 | 2 |
| 18 | 0 | 2 | -2 | -4 | -2 | 2 | 4 | 2 | -2 | 0 | 0 | -4 | -3 | 3 | 4 |
| 19 | 160 | -1 | -1 | -2 | -1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | -1 | 1 | -1 | 1 |
| 20 | 1440 | 0 | -1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | -1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | IMP + | 64 | 46 | 30 | 39 | 28 | 50 | 16 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 36 | 28 | 41 | 30 |
|  | IMP - | 6 | 16 | 50 | 28 | 39 | 30 | 46 | 64 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 41 | 28 | 36 |


| $\mathbf{Y}$ |  | (3rd RR <br> INDONESIA |  | Match 4) |  |  |  | CH. TAIPEI |  | $\xrightarrow{\text { HONG }}$ | ONG, CH | SINGAPORE |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | AUS | ALIA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No | DATUM |  |  | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{S}$ | E-W | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{S}$ | E-W | N-S | E-W |  | N -S | E-W | E-W | N -S | E-W |
| 1 | -200 | -6 | 13 | 6 | 6 | -13 | 6 | -6 | -6 | 6 | -6 | 6 | -6 |
| 2 | -150 | 6 | -6 | -4 | 2 | 6 | -6 | -2 | 4 | -2 | 12 | -12 | 2 |
| 3 | 100 | -4 | -11 | 7 | 0 | 11 | 4 | 0 | -7 | -4 | 5 | -5 | 4 |
| 4 | 100 | 0 | 12 | 3 | 0 | -12 | 0 | 0 | -3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5 | -130 | 1 | -1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | -1 | 0 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 6 | 100 | -4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | -1 |
| 7 | -80 | -3 | 1 | 7 | -11 | -1 | 3 | 11 | -7 | -3 | 3 | -3 | 3 |
| 8 | 410 | 4 | -8 | -8 | 0 | 8 | -4 | 0 | 8 | -6 | -4 | 4 | 6 |
| 9 | -810 | 5 | 12 | -12 | -7 | -12 | -5 | 7 | 12 | 4 | -11 | 11 | -4 |
| 10 | -480 | -14 | 5 | 12 | 5 | -5 | 14 | -5 | -12 | 11 | 5 | -5 | -11 |
| 11 | -120 | 1 | -1 | 0 | -5 | 1 | -1 | 5 | 0 | -1 | 1 | -1 | 1 |
| 12 | 150 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | -6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | -10 |
| 13 | 30 | 2 | -7 | -6 | -2 | 7 | -2 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 12 | -12 | -2 |
| 14 | -610 | -9 | 9 | 4 | -4 | -9 | 9 | 4 | -4 | 4 | -4 | 4 | -4 |
| 15 | 280 | -3 | 3 | 8 | -8 | -3 | 3 | 8 | -8 | -4 | 9 | -9 | 4 |
| 16 | 130 | 2 | 10 | -5 | -7 | -10 | -2 | 7 | 5 | -5 | -7 | 7 | 5 |
| 17 | 450 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | -1 | -1 | 0 | 0 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 18 | -100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 19 | 420 | 0 | 1 | -1 | 0 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 20 | 620 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -10 | -1 | 1 | 10 |
|  | IMP + | 22 | 73 | 48 | 13 | 34 | 43 | 48 | 36 | 38 | 47 | 33 | 37 |
|  | IMP - | 43 | 34 | 36 | 48 | 73 | 22 | 13 | 48 | 37 | 33 | 47 | 38 |

YOUTH (3rd RR Match 5)

| No | DATUM | INDONESIA |  | AUSTRALIA |  | JAPAN |  | CH. TAIPEI |  | HONG KONG, CH |  | SINGAPORE |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{S}$ | E-W | N -S | E-W | N-S | E-W | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{S}$ | E-W | N -S | E-W | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{S}$ | E-W |
| 1 | 10 | -3 | 2 | -2 | 3 | -2 | -1 | 2 | -4 | 4 | -2 | 1 | 2 |
| 2 | -160 | 13 | 0 | 0 | -13 | -6 | -5 | -6 | -2 | 2 | 6 | 5 | 6 |
| 3 | 130 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -5 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 |
| 4 | 180 | -7 | -3 | 3 | 7 | 1 | -3 | -2 | 2 | -2 | 2 | 3 | -1 |
| 5 | 640 | 0 | 1 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | -1 | -1 | 1 | 1 | -1 | 0 |
| 6 | 120 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 0 | -1 | 1 | -1 | 1 | 0 | -5 |
| 7 | -120 | -5 | 2 | -2 | 5 | 6 | -1 | 6 | 5 | -5 | -6 | 1 | -6 |
| 8 | -450 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 9 | 150 | -6 | 1 | -1 | 6 | 1 | -2 | 0 | 1 | -1 | 0 | 2 | -1 |
| 10 | -490 | -3 | -8 | 8 | 3 | -4 | 4 | -3 | -11 | 11 | 3 | -4 | 4 |
| 11 | 60 | 2 | 10 | -10 | -2 | 10 | -3 | -5 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 3 | -10 |
| 12 | -160 | 1 | -7 | 7 | -1 | 1 | -1 | -8 | 1 | -1 | 8 | 1 | -1 |
| 13 | -210 | -9 | -9 | 9 | 9 | -9 | -3 | 9 | 3 | -3 | -9 | 3 | 9 |
| 14 | 390 | 2 | -2 | 2 | -2 | -5 | -2 | 2 | 5 | -5 | -2 | 2 | 5 |
| 15 | -90 | -2 | 1 | -1 | 2 | -5 | -5 | -1 | -4 | 4 | 1 | 5 | 5 |
| 16 | 120 | 0 | 6 | -6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 17 | 180 | -6 | 7 | -7 | 6 | 6 | -6 | 7 | 6 | -6 | -7 | 6 | -6 |
| 18 | -50 | -2 | 2 | -2 | 2 | 4 | 2 | -2 | -5 | 5 | 2 | -2 | -4 |
| 19 | 820 | 3 | -3 | 3 | -3 | -9 | 9 | 3 | -3 | 3 | -3 | -9 | 9 |
| 20 | -20 | 4 | 5 | -5 | -4 | 4 | 2 | 8 | 5 | -5 | -8 | -2 | -4 |
|  | IMP + | 25 | 37 | 32 | 44 | 38 | 18 | 37 | 29 | 33 | 34 | 32 | 40 |
|  | IMP - | 44 | 32 | 37 | 25 | 40 | 32 | 34 | 33 | 29 | 37 | 18 | 38 |

## 38th PABF CHAMPIONSHIPS

| OPEN Series | Rank | Total | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | bye | Penalty | $1^{\text {st }} \mathrm{RR}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1.NEW ZEALAND | 2 | 350 |  |  |  | 23 | 16 | 16 | 1 | 21 | 25 | 14 | 23 | 18 |  |  |
| 2.CHINESE TAIPEI | 3 | 343 |  |  | 0 | 8 |  | 20 | 17 | 20 | 25 | 21 | 24 | 18 |  |  |
| 3.CHINA | 1 | 358 |  | 25 |  |  | 20 | 20 | 13 | 24 | 25 | 15 | 25 | 18 |  |  |
| 4.INDONESIA | 4 | 321 | 7 | 22 |  |  | 15 |  | 13 | 14 | 24 | 19 | 25 | 18 |  | 173 |
| 5.HONG KONG, CHINA | 6 | 302 | 14 |  | 10 | 15 |  |  | 18 | 20 | 15 | 13 | 23 | 18 |  | 164 |
| 6.JAPAN | 5 | 312 | 14 | 10 | 10 |  |  |  | 21 | 15 | 25 | 25 | 21 | 18 |  | 153 |
| 7.AUSTRALIA | 7 | 294 | 25 | 13 | 17 | 17 | 12 | 9 |  |  |  | 14 | 24 | 18 |  | 145 |
| 8.MALAYSIA | 8 | 258 | 9 | 10 | 6 | 16 | 10 | 15 |  |  | 22 | 14 |  | 18 |  | 138 |
| 9.MACAU | 10 | 188 | 4 | 5 | 3 | 6 | 15 | 5 |  | 8 |  |  | 13 | 18 |  | 111 |
| 10.PHILIPPINES | 9 | 224 | 16 | 9 | 15 | 11 | 17 | 0 | 16 | 16 |  |  | 22 |  |  | 102 |
| 11.SINGAPORE | 11 | 163 | 7 | 6 | 4 | 4 | 7 | 9 | 6 |  | 17 | 8 |  |  |  | 95 |


| LADIES Series | Rank | Total | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | bye | Penalty | $1^{\text {st }} \mathrm{RR}$ | $2^{\text {nd }} \mathrm{RR}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1.CHINA | 1 | 345 |  |  |  | 25 | 25 | 14 | 21 | 18 |  |  | 124 |
|  | 2 | 323 |  |  | 14 |  | 11 | 17 | 25 | 18 |  |  | 129 |
| 2.AUSTRALIA | 3 | 285 |  | 16 |  |  | 14 | 21 | 23 | 18 |  |  | 109 |
| 3.INDONESIA | 5 | 247 | 1 |  |  |  | 14 | 23 | 9 | 18 |  |  | 97 |
| 4.JAPAN | 4 | 251 | 0 | 19 | 16 | 16 |  |  |  | 18 |  |  | 85 |
| 5.NEW ZEALAND | 6 | 172 | 16 | 13 | 9 | 7 |  |  | 14 |  |  | 39 | 97 |
| 6.CHINESE TAIPEI | 7 | 130 | 9 | 3 | 7 | 21 |  | 16 |  |  |  |  | 40 |
| 7.KOREA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| YOUTH Series | Rank | Total | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | Penalty | $1^{\text {st }} R R$ | $2^{\text {nd }} \mathrm{RR}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1.INDONESIA | 2 | 241 |  | 12 | 18 | 8 | 19 | 17 |  |  | 97 |
| 2.AUSTRALIA | 4 | 217 | 18 |  | 4 | 10 | 16 | 9 |  | 70 | 78 |
| 3.JAPAN | 3 | 237 | 12 | 25 |  | 11 | 19 | 12 |  | 78 | 80 |
| 4.CHINESE TAIPEI | 1 | 249 | 22 | 20 | 19 |  | 14 | 22 |  | 61 | 91 |
| 5.HONG KONG, CHINA | 5 | 207 | 11 | 14 | 11 | 16 |  | 18 |  | 76 | 61 |
| 6.SINGAPORE | 6 | 198 | 13 | 21 | 18 | 8 | 12 |  |  | 56 | 70 |

