## CHINA FIRST IN BOTH OPEN AND LADIES ROUND ROBINS

| Rank | Open Series | VPs | Ladies Series | VPs |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | CHINA | 377 | CHINA | 361 |  |  |
| 2 | NEW ZEALAND | 368 | AUSTRALIA | 335 |  |  |
| 3 | CHINESE TAIPEI | 356 | INDONESIA | 295 |  |  |
| 4 | INDONESIA | 333 | NEW ZEALAND | 273 |  |  |
| 5 | AUSTRALIA | 321 | JAPAN | 257 |  |  |
| 6 | HONG KONG, CHINA | 318 | CHINESE TAIPEI | 181 |  |  |
| 7 | JAPAN | 305 | KOREA | 141 |  |  |
| 8 | MALAYSIA | 267 |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | PHILIPPINES | 233 |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | MACAU | 201 |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | SINGAPORE | 170 |  |  |  |  |

## PABF SCHEDULE/TIMES

| Day | Date | Time | Event |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Saturday | May 23 | 11:30-13:50 | Semi-Finals $2^{\text {nd }}$ Qtr (O); Final ${ }^{\text {st }}$ Segment (L) |
|  |  | 14:10-16:30 | Semi-Finals $3^{\text {rd }}$ Qtr (O); Final $2^{\text {nd }}$ Segment (L) |
|  |  | 16:50-19:10 | Semi-Finals $4^{\text {th }}$ Qtr (O); Final $3^{\text {rd }}$ Segment (L) |
|  |  | 21:10-23:30 | Final $1^{\text {st }} \mathrm{Qtr}$ (O) |
|  |  | 13:30-17:00 | PABF Open Pairs ${ }^{\text {st }}$ Qualifying Session |
|  |  | 18:30-22:00 | PABF Open Pairs $2^{\text {nd }}$ Qualifying Session |
| Sunday | May 24 | 10:30-12:50 | Final $2^{\text {nd }}$ Qtr (O) |
|  |  | 14:20-16:40 | Final $3^{\text {rd }} \mathrm{Qtr}$ (O) |
|  |  | 17:00-19:20 | Final $4^{\text {th }} \mathrm{Qtr}$ (O) |
|  |  | 10:30-14:00 | PABF Open Pairs ${ }^{\text {st }}$ Final Session \& Consolation |
|  |  | 15:30-19:00 | PABF Open Pairs $2^{\text {nd }}$ Final Session \& Consolation |

## YESTERDAY'S MATCH RESULTS

| OPEN Series (RR 2) - Match 10 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| JAPAN | $(64) 16-14(59)$ | INDONESIA |
| CHINESE TAIPEI | $(101) 25-0(18)$ | HONG KONG, CHINA |
| NEW ZEALAND | $(68) 15-15(70)$ | CHINA |
| MACAU | $(72) 22-8(38)$ | AUSTRALIA |
| SINGAPORE | $(44) 8-22(76)$ | MALAYSIA |
| INDONESIA | (61) $16-14(54)$ | CHINA |
| AUSTRALIA | (31) $11-19(52)$ | JAPAN |
| NEW ZEALAND | (85) $21-9(57)$ | KOREA |

## RR 2 - MATCH 10 (O); RR 3 — MATCH 6 (L): IN BRIEF

## Open Event:

Japan, running just out of the money, took a small step forward by edging by fourth-place Indonesia. This figures, however, to be too little too late. Third-place Chines Taipei blanked sixth-ranked Hong Kong, China, to move into second place and provide yet another example of the rich getting richer. The two top teams, China and New Zealand, bludgeoned each other to a dead tie, but New Zealand fell to third place in the process. Tenth-place Macau upset seventh-place Australia, but this one was just for practice as neither team has a chance to be in the big dance. And Malaysia beat Singapore.

## Women's Event:

Third-place Indonesia showed first-place China that even with the current changes taking place in their country, their bridge is still to be reckoned with. Fifth-place Japan also beat second-place Australia. But in spite of these losses by the two top teams, this series has become a two-horse race. Oh yes, and fourth-place New Zealand won decisively over last-place Korea.

## PLAYING AREA CHANGE FOR OPEN AND LADIES' TEAMS SEMI-FINALS \& FINALS

The Semi-Finals and Finals of the PABF Open and Ladies' Teams will be held on the $1^{\text {st }}$ floor of the Kobe Bay Sheraton Hotel, in the Excelsior Room.

| OPEN Series (RR 2) - Match 11 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CHINESE TAIPEI | $(26) 10-20(48)$ | NEW ZEALAND |  |
| INDONESIA | $(31) 7-23(69)$ | CHINA |  |
| JAPAN | $(23) 4-25(80)$ | HONG KONG, CHINA |  |
| MALAYSIA | $(8) 4-25(63)$ | AUSTRALIA |  |
| PHILIPPINES | $(28) 9-21(56)$ | MACAU |  |
| LADIES Series (RR 3) - Match 7 |  |  |  |
| CHINA | (45) $18-12(31)$ | AUSTRALIA |  |
| INDONESIA | (49) $14-16(52)$ | JAPAN |  |
| NEW ZEALAND | (69) $21-9(40)$ | CHINESE TAIPEI |  |

## RR 2 - MATCH 11 (O); RR 3 — MATCH 7 (L): IN BRIEF

## Open Event:

Well, there was no suspense about who would qualify - only the bragging rights accompanying the final order of finish. The match between number two and number three held to form, as New Zealand beat Chinese Taipei. The number one versus number four contest was also predictable, with topranked China readily dispatching Indonesia. Hong Kong, China scored a surprising upset of fifthranked Japan, while Australia over Malaysia and Macau over the Philippines were as expected.

## Women's Event:

Here the two finalists were a foregone conclusion, since the other teams had already mathematically been eliminated. Number one-ranked China beat two-ranked Australia, while fifth-ranked Japan upset third-place Indonesia. New Zealand held form over Chinese Taipei.

## ATTENTION: OPEN TEAMS SEMIFINALISTS \& LADIES TEAMS FINALISTS

Losing Semi-Finalists in the PABF Open Teams and Finalists in the PABF Ladies Teams may play in the Finals of the PABF Open Pairs on Sunday, May 24. Pairs consisting of two players who were both members of teams in the Open or Ladies Team events and who wish to play, will be given an automatic bye directly into the Open Pairs Finals. The entry fee will be $¥ 6.000$.

Please advise the Secretariat Office no later than 6:00 p.m. on Saturday, May 23, if you intend to play, as arrangements for the proper number of qualifying pairs has to be made.

| Vu-Graph Show Schedule |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Date (Day) | Time | Match | Date (Day) | Time | Match |
| May 23 (SAT) | $\begin{aligned} & 12: 00 \\ & 14: 40 \\ & 17: 20 \\ & 21: 10 \\ & \hline \hline \end{aligned}$ | SF $2^{\text {nd }}$ Qtr SF $3^{\text {rd }}$ Qtr SF $4^{\text {th }}$ Qtr FINAL $1^{\text {st }} \mathrm{Qtr}$ | May 24 (SUN) | $\begin{aligned} & 10: 30 \\ & 14: 20 \\ & 17: 00 \end{aligned}$ | FINAL $2^{\text {nd }}$ Qtr FINAL $3^{\text {rd }}$ Qtr FINAL $4^{\text {th }}$ Qtr |

## WIRE PALADIN, KOBE 卫工

Those of you out there reading these Bulletins on the Internet can contact us here (players, officials, even us editors) via e-mail. Just send your message to:

## jcbl@mxd.mesh.ne.jp

Make sure that your intended recipient is clearly specified (preferably in the message's "Subject," or "re" field). Unfortunately, we have no way of sending e-mail from here. (That's right. We can receive, but we can't send.) If your message is of an urgent nature and you need a response, make sure you provide a phone number or other means for contacting you.

## PABF CHAMPIONSHIPS ON THE INTERNET

We are happy to announce that our Daily Bulletins are available on the Internet. Call your family and friends and tell them to follow the adventures of some of the best players from Asia and the Pacific rim (including yourself) by surfing the net to the following address:
http://bridge.cplaza.ne.jp/pabf/index-e.html

Do you like reading bridge magazines? Try . . .
NEW ZEALAND BRIDGE

## 6 issues a year

Annual overseas subscription: $¥ 4.680$
(payable in any currency)
If you'd like to subscribe or have a look at a sample issue, see Richard Solomon. You can find him wherever the New Zealand team is playing.

## KOBE BAY SHERATON CUP

Takahiko Hirata and Hiroshi Kaku took first place in the Kobe Bay Sheraton Cup Open Pairs with a score of 905.74 ( 696 was average). That's a smidgen better than $65 \%$. Second were Kazumi Tsuchisaka and Katsuko Matsuo, with a score of 840.52. Congratulations to all of the contestants. Here are all of the overall places:

| Place | Players | Scor e |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Takahiko Hirata-Hiroshi Kaku | 905.74 |
| 2 | Kazumi Tsuchisaka-Katsuko Matsuo | 840.52 |
| 3 | Etsuko Naito-Yukiko Umezu | 840.00 |
| 4 | Tadashi Imakura-Kazuko Miura | 831.13 |
| 5 | Hideko Takeuchi-Tomie Koide | 830.50 |
| 6 | Yukie Kajiyama-Chihoko Mizofuchi | 808.70 |
| 7 | Luke Lau-Laurence Kiong | 802.96 |
| 8 | Chieko Notomi-Sachiko Nakatani | 796.00 |
| 9 | Tomoe Nakao-Miho Sekizawa | 792.52 |
| 10 | Nanako Tsuboya-Shuko Umeda | 787.00 |
| 11 | Tomoya Yamaguchi-Yoshiko Sakaguchi | 777.50 |
| 12 | Yasuyoshi Toriumi-Yutaka Hiramatsu | 776.87 |
| 13 | Mitsuko Suyama-Fusako Higashitani | 774.50 |
| 14 | Ikuko Inoue-Emiko Matsuki | 766.50 |
| 15 | Fumiko Asaumi-Yasuko Kosaka | 765.00 |
| 16 | Fusako Ueda-Minako Takahashi | 741.39 |
| 17 | Toyoko Nakakawaji-Toshiko Hiramori | 735.50 |
| 18 | Aiko Miki-Emiko Hibikio | 730.96 |
| 19 | Haruko Koshi-Yoko Osako | 729.00 |
| 20 | Kyoko Maeda-Reiko Yoshida | 726.50 |
| 21 | Sachiko Matsui-Mitsuko Shimizu | 726.00 |



$1^{\text {st }}$ Place: Takahiko Hirata - Hiroshi Kaku

$2^{\text {nd }}$ Place: Kazumi Tsuchisaka - Katsuko Matsuo


## LESS EXPENSIVE, TASTES GOOD — LAUNDRY AND SUSHI, TOO

For those looking for a taste of Japan, without the typical Japanese bite on the pocketbook, the following food sources are located within a short walk of the hotel. We've tried several of them ourselves and can recommend them to the budget-minded among you.


The Family Mart, just in front of and to the West of the hotel, is a small convenience store with many items (soft drinks, ice cream, candy, chips, sundries, other food snacks, etc.) on which bridge players have been known to subsist - often for extended periods of time.

Two jumbo supermarkets are located across the monorail, to the North and West of the hotel. A third one, with excellent value sushi (go to fishery section - only $¥ 1.280$ for 8 pieces), is located on the ground floor of the Lausanne Hotel.

Fifteen restaurants are located in the Urban Gourmet Port. These range from Wendy's hamburgers and Vie de France to other more upscale (and expansive) eateries.

Of course, for those dining with the benefit of a generous expense account there's always the highpriced restaurants in the hotel (but see the special the Garden Cafe is offering, described below); just don't say we didn't warn you. - Bon appetite!

Once you've eaten, if you're anything like your editors you'll need your laundry done. A good place to go is the laundry located outside of the Supermarkets, West of the monorail. The turnaround is only two days.

## STEAK OUT AND THE BREAKFAST BUFFET

The Garden Cafe, located on the $1^{\text {st }}$ floor of the Sheraton Hotel, is offering PABF members two super specials. Breakfast: A sumptuous buffet featuring eggs, ham, bacon, baked items, cereals, coffee, a variety of juices, fresh fruits and vegetables and more. Normally $¥ 2.400$, this buffet is available until May 24 for only $¥ 1.400$. Lunch/dinner: Featuring soup of the day, sirloin steak with madeira wine sauce, bread, a green salad and coffee. Normally $¥ 2.500$, this magnificent feast can be had until May 22 for only $¥ 1.500$. Take it from us, this is well worth it. The breakfast buffet is served from 7:00 to 9:30 am (the regular breakfast menu is available until 10:00 am); the lunch/dinner special is served from 11:30 to 21:00. At prices like this, you'd better get there quick before they run out of food.


# TGR to Hold Auction Pairs 

August $14^{\text {th }}-16^{\text {th }}$

TGR Promotions are proud to launch the first TGR's International Auction Pairs Tournament - the richest Bridge contest ever staged in the United Kingdom. With a guaranteed minimum first prize of $£ 100,000$ and guaranteed total prize of fund of at least $£ 250,000$, of which all finalists will receive a share, many of the worlds leading players are sure to attend. The event has been timed to enable those traveling on to the Pairs Olympiad, being held in Lillie, Nothern France, the following week, to facilitate their travel arrangements.

The Landmark Hotel in London provides an ideal venue for the event with its comfortable and elegant function rooms, accommodations and facilities. With the large subsidy the are offering on the cost of overnight stay, residing there will represent great value for the money.

On Friday 14th August Cocktails and a Buffet Dinner will be followed by the Auction. Until its conclusion an open bar will be maintained with all drinks free of charge. After the completion of the play, there will be a Gala Dinner on Sunday evening with entertainment and followed by an awards ceremony. All of this is included in the entrance fee of $£ 500$ per player.

Entries close on Tuesday, July 14, 1998.
Tel: +44 (0) 1717062404
Fax: +44 (0) 1717064680
TGR Promotions Ltd.
E-mail: tgr@ukonline.co.uk
The TGR Pairs' web page is at: http://web.ukonline.co.uk/tgr/

## BEST SHOPPING IN KOBE



Our spy tells us that the best locations for shopping, eating and taking care of business in Kobe are found in the Sannomiya and Motomachi areas, near the center of town. Sogo and Daimaru are the two major department stores and the Motomachi shopping arcade (street) is the most traditional shopping. Just take the hotel shuttle bus, leaving the Kobe Bay Sheraton every hour on the hour, and you will find yourself at the Sannomiya Bus Stop in about 25 minutes. Happy shopping!
P.S. Be warned. Our map is approximate. Better ones are available at the Secretariat.

## BATTLE OF THE SOUTH PACIFIC — PART I: OZ vs NZ (Open)

High-flying New Zealand met their struggling zonemates in Round 17. Do you think that there was anything more than bragging rights at stake here?

| Bd: 1 | North |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DIr: North | \$ K1074 |  |  |
| Vul: None | $\bigcirc$--- |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \diamond \text { AQ10842 } \\ & \leftarrow \text { Q94 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| West |  | East |  |
| - J2 |  | - A953 |  |
| $\bigcirc$ QJ1084 |  | $\bigcirc 752$ |  |
| $\diamond$ K96 |  | $\checkmark 73$ |  |
| ) J65 |  |  | AK103 |
|  | South |  |  |
|  | , Q86 |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ AK963 |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ J5 |  |  |
|  | - 872 |  |  |
| West Jacobs | Open Room |  |  |
|  | North | East | South |
|  | Cornell | Thmpsn | Crombie |
|  | $1 \diamond$ | DBL | 18 |
| 1NT | $2 \diamond$ | Pass | Pass |
| $2 \checkmark$ | All Pass |  |  |
|  | Closed Room |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Reid | Grsvnr | Newell | Wilsmore |
|  | 19(1) | Pass | 24 |
| Pass | 3 | Pass | 3 |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

I'm not sure why Bill Jacobs didn't double 18 for penalty, but his 1NT bid didn't hurt him and he finished in the normal contract of $2 \oslash$. He got the lead of the 4 from Mike Cornell, and ran it to his jack to lead the $\vee$ Q, taken. Three rounds of diamonds followed, Dwayne Crombie overruffing the third with the nine to return a spade. There was no way to avoid a spade loser now; minus 50.

Avon Wilsmore might have passed Hugh Grosvenor's $3 \diamond$ had he been sure it was a nonforcing canape, so l'm guessing that there was no firm agreement. Peter Newell led the A and switched to a heart. Grosvenor threw both his clubs on high hearts, led the $\diamond$ J, covered, and
started trumps. Newell won the second trump and tapped Grosvenor in clubs. He ruffed the third diamond with the 9 in front of dummy and drove out the $\$ 10$ with a heart. Dummy's $\$ 8$ was Grosvenor's last trick; minus 50. 3 IMPs to New Zealand, 3-0.

| Bd: 3 | North |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DIr: South | ¢ AJ1093 |  |  |
| Vul: E/W | $\bigcirc 107$ |  |  |
|  | $\diamond$ K8743 |  |  |
| West |  | East |  |
| - Q76 |  |  | 8542 |
| $\bigcirc 98432$ |  |  | AK6 |
| $\diamond$ J105 |  |  | A2 |
| * A5 |  |  | K987 |
|  | South |  |  |
|  | , K |  |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ QJ5 |  |  |
|  | $\diamond$ Q96 |  |  |
|  | \% QJ10642 |  |  |
| Open Room |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Jacobs | Cornell | Thmpsn | Crombie |
|  |  |  | Pass |
| Pass | 24 | All Pass |  |
|  | Clos | d Room |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Reid | Grsvnr | Newell | Wilsmore |
|  |  |  | 2\%(1) |
| Pass | Pass | DBL | Pass |
| $2 \checkmark \quad$ All Pass |  |  |  |
| (1) 8-12 H | HCP, 6+\% | no 4M; or | $5+\diamond / 5+M$ |

Cornell's was a weak two-bid, lest you ask. $\gtrdot A, \diamond A$, diamond. When Jacobs won the $\uparrow Q$, he gave Ben Thompson a diamond ruff. One down; minus 50. Grosvenor led his singleton club against Martin Reid's 2 $\vee$, seven, ten, ace. Reid played ace-king of hearts, spade, and when Wilsmore won the king and cashed the $\vee Q$, he was endplayed: a club honor would allow Reid to ruff out the other honor and return to the $\diamond A$ to cash the club winner, and when he played a diamond instead, Reid played the ten and could then lead towards the jack for his eighth winner; plus 110. 2 IMPs to New Zealand, ahead 5-1.

| Bd: 5 | North |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DIr: North | - K1064 |  |  |
| Vul: N/S | $\bigcirc$ KJ95 |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ Q6 |  |  |
|  | - 763 |  |  |
| West | East |  |  |
| - A97 | - 853 |  |  |
| $\bigcirc$ Q1072 | $\bigcirc 864$ |  |  |
| $\checkmark 54$ | $\diamond$ J98 |  |  |
| \& AQJ5 |  |  | K842 |
|  | South |  |  |
|  | - QJ2 |  |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ A3 |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ AK10732 |  |  |
|  | -109 |  |  |
|  | Open Room |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Jacobs | Cornell Thmpsn |  | Crombie |
|  | Pass Pass |  | $1 \diamond$ |
| DBL | 18 Pass |  | 1NT(16-17) |
| Pass | 3NT All Pass |  |  |
|  | Closed Room |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Reid | Grsvnr | Newell | Wilsmore |
|  |  | Pass | 2(G/T-R) |
| DBL | Pass | Pass | 2 \} |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

We can see that 3NT is not a very good contract, but not every bad contract has to fail. Had Jacobs led a heart or a low spade, for example, our man Dwayne would have written plus 600 in the "We" column. But Jacobs did not lead a major - he led the \&A, much better than the quack since it caters to king-and-one opposite ten-fourth and singleton king. He got a count card, continued with the queen, which held, then cashed the A before continuing with the J . One down; minus 100. Wilsmore took ten easy tricks in $2 \diamond$; plus 130. 6 IMPs to Australia; ahead 8-5.

"It's that bloody kiwi bird broke my concentration."

"Don't jump, mate, anyone could have gone down in that 3NT!"

"I HAVE to read these things, I'm the captain, but you!?"

| Bd: 7 | North |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DIr: South | - Q1086 |  |  |
| Vul: Both | $\bigcirc$ Q9876 |  |  |
|  | $\diamond 87$ |  |  |
|  | - Q9 |  |  |
| West | East |  |  |
| ¢ AKJ9 | - 73 |  |  |
| $\bigcirc$ KJ4 | $\bigcirc 32$ |  |  |
| $\diamond \mathrm{KJ}$ | $\checkmark$ AQ9654 |  |  |
| 2. AJ53 |  |  | K64 |
|  | South |  |  |
|  | - 542 |  |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ A105 |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark 1032$ |  |  |
|  | -10872 |  |  |
|  | Open Room |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Jacobs | Cornell | Thmpsn | Crombie |
|  |  |  | Pass |
| $2 \diamond(1)$ | Pass | $2 \bigcirc(P / C)$ | Pass |
| 2NT | Pass | $4 \diamond$ | Pass |
| 4NT | All Pass |  |  |
|  | Closed Room |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Reid | Grsvnr | Newell | Wilsmore |
|  |  |  | $1 \diamond(0-7)$ |
| DBL* | RDBL(T/O)2 ${ }^{\text {* }}$ |  | Pass |
| 24* | Pass | 2NT* | Pass |
| 39* | Pass 3 ${ }^{\text {* }}$ |  | Pass |
| 3 ${ }^{*}$ | Pass 34* |  | Pass |
| 49** | Pass 4 ${ }^{\text {* }}$ |  | Pass |
| $6 \diamond^{*}$ | All Pass |  |  |

In 4NT Jacobs made five; plus 660. In 6», Newell got the lead of the from Wilsmore, jack, queen, king. That was a bad start for him and he needed some luck. He tried a low spade to the jack. No luck there. He won the club return and ran four rounds of diamonds, played ace-king of spades to discard his club, ruffed a spade, and led a heart . . . ace. One down; minus 100. 13 IMPs to Australia, ahead now 31-5.

"One more comment like that and you're dead meat."


Should Cornell have risked a weak jump overcall of $2 \vee$ ? Ask his analyst. While you're at it you might as well ask about Crombie, whose double, then 24 reflects a certain impatience and/or frustration. 24, an odious little contract handled reasonably well on a diamond lead. Crombie got a diamond ruff in dummy, a heart ruff in hand, but only six tricks in all when he ducked a club, then never scored the ace; minus 100. In $2 \vee$, Grosvenor took nine tricks on a club lead, ducking to the queen, winning the diamond switch, and drawing trumps; plus 140. 6 IMPs to Australia, 37-5.

"I still love you, but I would love you more if you raised me.

| Bd: 10 | North |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DIr: East | - K9543 |  |
| Vul: Both | $\bigcirc 2$ |  |
|  | $\diamond$ K86+ K743 |  |
|  |  |  |
| West |  | East |
| - Q2 |  | - A106 |
| $\bigcirc$ QJ64 |  | $\bigcirc$ K10875 |
| $\checkmark$ A10932 |  | $\checkmark 75$ |
| - A 9 |  | - J86 |
| South |  |  |
| - J87 |  |  |
| $\bigcirc$ A93 |  |  |
| $\diamond$ QJ4 |  |  |
| 2 Q1052 |  |  |
| Open Room |  |  |
| West | North | East South |
| Jacobs | Cornell | Thmpsn Crombie |
|  |  | Pass Pass |
| $1 \diamond$ | 14 | DBL* 24 |
| 3 | Pass | $4 \bigcirc \quad$ All Pass |
|  | Clos | d Room |
| West | North | East South |
| Reid | Grsvnr | Newell Wilsmore |
|  |  | Pass Pass(1) |
| 18 | 1NT(2) | $3 \bigcirc$ (PRE) All Pass |
| (1) 17+ any; or 8-12 flat, no M |  |  |

Against 3 $\vee$, Grosvenor found the effective lead of the \%3. Reid won, led the 8 J , ducked, and conceded a club to Wilsmore, who led a third club. Reid ruffed with the $\vee$ Q, and led a second trump, Wilsmore winning to play a third. It was too late for diamonds now; Reid ducked one, ruffed the suit good, and led a spade towards the queen. He lost two spade tricks for one down; minus 100. Not so hot. In $4 \checkmark$, Jacobs got a spade lead, of course. He won the queen, played $\Leftrightarrow \mathrm{A}$, club, won the diamond switch, played $\uparrow \mathrm{A}$, club ruff, diamond. The defense gave him his spade ruff, so he drew trumps, and claimed; plus 620. 12 IMPs to Australia, 49-1.

"No, I couldn't believe it either."

| Bd: 11 | North |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DIr: South | - AQ8742 |  |  |
| Vul: None | $\bigcirc 95$ |  |  |
|  | Q QJ105 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| West | East |  |  |
| - 95 | 4 J6 |  |  |
| $\bigcirc$ AKQ3 | $\bigcirc 10874$ |  |  |
| $\checkmark$ A105 | $\diamond$ Q98762 |  |  |
| - AK87 |  | - |  |
|  | South |  |  |
|  | ¢ K103 |  |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ J62 |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ K43 |  |  |
|  | - 9642 |  |  |
|  | Open Room |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Jacobs | Cornell | Thmpsn | Crombie |
|  |  |  | Pass |
| $2 \diamond(1)$ | 2. | Pass | Pass |
| DBL | Pass | $4 \diamond$ | Pass |
| $4 \bigcirc$ | Pass | 5 | All Pass |
| (1) Multi |  |  |  |
|  | Closed Room |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Reid | Grsvnr | Newell | Wilsmore |
|  |  |  | $1 \diamond(0-7)$ |
| DBL* | 2. | Pass | Pass |
| DBL | Pass | 3 | Pass |
| $4 \bigcirc$ | All Pass |  |  |

"Lead a bloody spade, Dwayne," willed the New Zealand npc Richard Solomon to his gladiator on Vugraph. Nope, Dwayne led a club. Spade discard; plus 400. There was no defeating Newell's superior 4 4 ; plus 420. 1 IMP to New Zealand, 7-49. Why did Thompson-Jacobs finish in $5 \diamond$ ? Because $4 \checkmark$ was interpreted as a cue-bid, I believe.

On Board 12, both E/W pairs played in 24. Newell ran into a more challenging defense than Thompson and went one down where his counterpart made it, 4 IMPs to Australia; 53-7. New Zealand got an IMP back on Board 13, but they needed more lively deals to get back into the match and retain their lead in the standings.


Crombie's 3aried the E/W heart fit. Alas, 3NT was tough to beat. Cornell led the K , taken. Club to the ace, low club. Crombie scooped in the queen gratefully and returned the $\mathbf{~ J}$. Jacobs guessed the $\vee$ Q; plus 430 . In $4 \checkmark$, Newell got the lead of the J . He tried the queen with considerable hope. Hope, schmope, nope. $\uparrow \mathrm{K}$, diamond to the ace, $\diamond$ K, diamond ruff, spade exit, misguess the $\vee Q$. Two down; minus 100. 11 IMPs to Australia, 65-7.

| Bd: 15 | North |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DIr: South | ¢ J872 |  |  |
| Vul: N/S | $\bigcirc 7$ |  |  |
|  | $\diamond 876$ |  |  |
|  | $\bigcirc 10$ | 653 |  |
| West |  | East |  |
| ¢ A643 |  | - 5 |  |
| $\bigcirc 10983$ |  | $\bigcirc$ AK654 |  |
| $\checkmark 32$ |  | $\checkmark$ J105 |  |
| \% AQ8 |  |  | KJ72 |
|  | South |  |  |
|  | - KQ109 |  |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ QJ2 |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ AKQ94 |  |  |
|  | \% 4 |  |  |
| Open Room |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Jacobs | Cornell | Thmpsn | Crombie |
|  |  |  | $1 \diamond$ |
| DBL | Pass |  | All Pass |
|  | Clos | d Room |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Reid | Grsvnr | Newell | Wilsmore |
|  |  |  | Pass(1) |
| Pass | 1\%(2) | 18 | 14 |
| 24( ( $^{\text {( }}$ | 3 | 40 | 4 |
| 5 | DBL | All Pass |  |
| (1) 17+ Any; or 8-12, flat, no M |  |  |  |
| (2) 0-7 Any |  |  |  |

How would you like to double 5 with the North hand. Forcing pass and all that. No interest in 54. Wilsmore knew what to lead, but it didn't matter. One down; minus 100. Pretty good to get to 4s, though. Harder for Crombie-Cornell, although Crombie might have risked it at considerable risk to limb and life. $4 \bigcirc$ was quite cold; plus 420. 11 IMPs more to Australia, 76-7.

One more swing to come . . .

"He asked me to the big dance and I said yes."

| Bd: 17 | North |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DIr: North | - Q86 |  |  |
| Vul: None | $\bigcirc 762$ |  |  |
| $\checkmark 6$ |  |  |  |
| K KQ10542 |  |  |  |
| West | East |  |  |
| - AJ52 | $10$ |  |  |
| $\bigcirc 109$ | $\bigcirc$ KQJ843 |  |  |
| $\diamond 753$ |  |  | $\checkmark$ Q94 |
| 20 J 973 |  |  | A86 |
| South |  |  |  |
| - K9743 |  |  |  |
| $\bigcirc$ A5 |  |  |  |
| $\checkmark$ AKJ1082 |  |  |  |
| 9 --- |  |  |  |
| Open Room |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Jacobs | Cornell | Thmpsn | Crombie |
|  | Pass | 18 | $2 \bigcirc(4+m)$ |
| Pass | 2NT(INQ) | Pass | $4 \diamond$ |
| Pass | 4^ All Pass |  |  |
| Closed Room |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Reid | Grsvnr | Newell | Wilsmore |
|  | 2\%(1) |  | DBL* |
| Pass | 3\% | Pass | 3 - |
| Pass | 34 | Pass | 49 |
| (1) 8-12, 6+*, no M; or $5+\diamond / 5+\mathrm{M}$ |  |  |  |

At both tables, North declared 4 from the short side to the lead of the $\vee \mathrm{K}$. Both won the $\vee \mathrm{A}$. Cornell played ace-king, jack of diamonds, discarding hearts. Thompson scooped in the $\diamond$ Q and forced a trump from Cornell's hand with a second heart. The 6 went to the ten, king, and ace, and Jacobs switched to the s , covered all around and ruffed. When Thompson showed out on the second spade, Cornell was able to cash two clubs but couldn't avoid forcing dummy himself, giving Jacobs two trump tricks. One down; minus 50 .

Grosvenor elected to lead a trump to the queen at trick two, continuing with the sQ to discard dummy's heart loser when Newell followed low. Now $\diamond \mathrm{A}$, diamond ruff, club ruff, $\diamond \mathrm{K}$, and a high diamond, ruffed low by Reid and overruffed, N
a heart ruff and a good diamond, and Reid could take only his two trump winners; plus 450. 11 IMPs to Australia, 87-10.

Which is how it ended. Aussie rules. For a moment. The Kiwis will enjoy the last laugh in this tournament, however, going on to the knockout phase.

## NOT THE SHEEP, MATE

Emma Barrack of the New Zealand Women's Team has a lovely white sheepskin rug, reputedly once directly related to some lovely white New Zealand sheep. Ms Barrack is willing to part with said rug for the paltry sum of 6000 yen.


Parties interested in examining the rug or its lineage, but not (necessarily) Ms Barrack, are invited to ring Room 1018.

## BATTLE OF THE SOUTH PACIFIC - PART II: OZ vs NZ (Women's)

It is undeniable that the preceding anecdote from "Bondage" will be tough to top, but hey, we gotta give it the old college try. Chicks and cars and rock ' $n$ roll. It's Australia vs New Zealand again, but this time in the Women's Series, the Aussies coasting into the final, New Zealand more-or-less out of it despite the best efforts of our buddy, the schizophrenic kiwi bird. Heard any good wombat jokes lately?

$6 \checkmark$ is a slam with no future, save perhaps a singleton sK in tandem with the ability to take two diamond tricks. Is the East hand worth a slam drive opposite a big jump to $4 \vee$ ? Or is the $4 \bigcirc$ bid an overstatement? Or is it just bad luck that beset Felicity Beale-Di Smart? The East hand is not as good as it seems, and it is really worth a cooperative slam try. Unfortunately, there is no such bid available without discussion,
unless you are confident that 4s could not be natural. I can't see anything wrong with the jump to $4 \checkmark$. Beale was one down in her slam; minus 50. Sue Weal was plus 450 in $4 \oslash$. NZ struck first, 11-0.

Full marks to both N/S pairs for coming to the table with an opening bid in their bags to describe the North hand.

Only 1 IMP exchanged hands on the next deal, but it might have been significantly more had Kathy Yule played with less skill . . .

| Bd: 2 | North |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dlr: East | ¢ 7 |  |  |
| Vul: N/S | $\bigcirc$ AKQ108 |  |  |
|  | $\diamond$ QJ975 |  |  |
|  | - A 9 |  |  |
| West |  |  | East |
| ¢ K652 |  |  | AJ1083 |
| $\bigcirc 53$ |  |  | J742 |
| $\diamond$ K1064 |  |  |  |
| * K54 |  |  | 832 |
|  | South |  |  |
|  | - Q94 |  |  |
|  | $\bigcirc 96$ |  |  |
|  | $\diamond 832$ |  |  |
|  | \% QJ1076 |  |  |
| Open Room |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Weal | Bourke | Yule | Beech |
|  |  | Pass | Pass |
| Pass | 18 | 19 | Pass |
| 24 | 3 Close | 34 | All Pass |
|  |  | Closed Room |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Beale | Schwartz | Smart | Barrack |
|  |  | Pass | Pass |
| Pass | 1\%(STR) | 14(1) | DBL* |
| $2 \diamond(\mathrm{P} / \mathrm{C}) \quad 2 \checkmark$ |  | All Pass |  |
| (1) Long spades or short spades |  |  |  |

Normally, you'd want to reach at least 2 with the E/W cards, but Beale-Smart had to sort out their longs and shorts and (apparently) did not do it. Fortunately for them, Arleen Schwartz was unable to find a way home in $2 \bigcirc$ : club lead, the queen winning, diamond to the jack and ace,
second club, ducked to the ace, $\vee A / K / Q, \diamond Q$ to the king, spade to the ace, $\mathbf{J}$ ruffed, diamond to the ten (club), $\%$ K, ruffed and overruffed, spade to the queen and king, and Beale had left herself with a diamond to give declarer in the end. One down; minus 100.

Yule, in 34, had lots of work to do. Two rounds of hearts and a switch to the $\diamond \mathrm{Q}$. $\diamond \mathrm{A}, \mathrm{A}$, the $\Delta \mathrm{J}$, running it, spade to the king, $\Delta \mathrm{K}$ to pitch a club, low club from dummy, ducked to South, diamond to force declarer, low club, ducked to the ace. Yule had a trick now with the K K, and cashed it when she ruffed a heart with dummy's last trump. Belissima. Plus 140. 1 IMP to New Zealand, 12-0.

| Bd: 7 | North |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DIr: South | ¢ 7 |  |
| Vul: Both | $\bigcirc$ AQ1095 |  |
|  | $$ |  |
| West |  | East |
| ¢ K108653 |  | - QJ4 |
| $\bigcirc$ - |  | $\bigcirc$ KJ76 |
| $\checkmark 93$ |  | $\checkmark$ J54 |
| * Q9642 |  | 2 J108 |
|  | South |  |
|  | - A92 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc 8432$ |  |
|  | $\checkmark 1072$ |  |
|  | - K53 |  |


| Open Room |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| West | North | East | South |
| Weal | Bourke | Yule | Beech |
|  |  |  | Pass |
| 21 | DBL | Pass | $3 \bigcirc(1)$ |
| Pass | 4NT | Pass | $5 \diamond(1 / 4)$ |
| Pass | 68 | All Pass |  |
| (1) Constructive |  |  |  |


|  | Closed Room |  | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| West | North | East |  |
| Beale | Schwartz | Smart | Barrack |
|  |  |  | Pass |
| 24 | DBL | Pass | 2NT(1) |
| Pass | $3 \diamond(S T R)$ | Pass | 3NT |

All Pass
(1) Puppet to 3\%, usually weak hand

This was very unlucky deal for Australia, with Margaret Bourke-Lidia Beech comprehensively outbidding Schwartz-Barrack. $6 \checkmark$ fails only when
the king-jack of trumps are offside and guarded, just two combinations. 3NT might go down with diamonds four-one. Arrrgggh. New Zealand won the board, plus 600, plus 100. 12 IMPs. New Zealand, 24-1.

| Bd: 8 | North |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dlr: West | ¢ 76 |  |
| Vul: None | $\bigcirc$--- |  |
|  | $$ |  |
| West | East |  |
| ¢ AK93 | - J54 |  |
| $\checkmark$ AK1043 | 3 Q QJ965 |  |
| $\checkmark$ J74 | -1098 |  |
| -3 |  |  |
| South |  |  |
|  | - Q1082 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc 872$ |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ A |  |
|  | - AQJ102 |  |
|  | Open Room |  |
| West | North East | South |
| Weal | Bourke Yule | Beech |
| 18 |  | 5\% |
| 5 | All Pass |  |
|  | Closed Room |  |
| West | North East | South |
| Beale | Schwartz Smart | Barrack |
| 18 | 2NT ( + + $\stackrel{\text { ) }}{ }$ 3 $\bigcirc$ | 5\% |
| DBL | All Pass |  |

Beale was right not to go on to $5 \checkmark$ because she couldn't make it, but she was wrong to "take the money" from 5e doubled because there wasn't any money ("honey," as the Big Bopper would have said in Chantilly Lace). No tricks either, on a heart lead. Schwartz quickly wrapped up all thirteen of the little devils for plus 750 . We suspect that Weal bid 5 secause she thought it might make. She was wrong about that, but brought in a big swing for her side nonetheless. She went three down after $\Delta \mathrm{K}$ to the ace, club over, $\diamond$ Q, diamond ruff, with a spade to come. Minus 150. 12 IMPs more to New Zealand, who were ahead 36-1.


| Bd: 9 | North |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DIr: North | - 963 |  |
| Vul: E/W | $\bigcirc 63$ |  |
|  | $\checkmark 8765$ |  |
|  | \% KQ84 |  |
| West | East <br> - 1042 |  |
| - - |  |  |
| $\bigcirc$ A9872 | $\bigcirc$ KQJ5 |  |
| $\diamond$ KQ102 | $\diamond$ AJ94$\&$ A7 |  |
| ¢ J1093 |  |  |
|  | South |  |
|  | , AKQJ875 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc 104$ |  |
|  | $\checkmark 3$ |  |
|  | -652 |  |
|  | Open Room |  |
| West | North East | South |
| Weal | Bourke Yule | Beech |
|  | Pass 1NT | 4 |
| DBL | All Pass |  |
|  | Closed Room |  |
| West | North East | South |
| Beale | Schwartz Smart | Barrack |
|  | Pass 1 $\downarrow$ | 49 |
| $5 \diamond$ | All Pass |  |

There was a certain random element to the swing on this one, because vagaries of notrump range dictated that Smart would open $1 \diamond$, Yule 1NT. That made it easy for Beale to think exclusively in terms of offense over 44 where Weal, whose negative double limit is $4 \bigcirc$ in this position, had to choose between a somewhat amorphous double and 4NT. There were eight tricks in 44 doubled; down 300. There were thirteen tricks available in $5 \diamond$ on a spade lead, but Smart played safely for eleven; plus 600. 7 IMPs to Australia, 8-36.

"0-7, any shape. Bad luck, mate, so is partner."

| Bd: 10 | North |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DIr: East | K109876 |  |  |
| Vul: Both | $\bigcirc 4$ |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ A543 |  |  |
|  | - 96 |  |  |
| West |  | East |  |
| $\triangle$ A | . QJ5 |  |  |
| $\bigcirc$ Q6532 | $\bigcirc$ AJ1097 |  |  |
| $\diamond$ QJ962 | $\diamond$--- |  |  |
| - 43 |  |  | AKJ85 |
| South |  |  |  |
| - 432 |  |  |  |
| $\bigcirc \mathrm{K} 8$ |  |  |  |
|  | $\diamond$ K1087 |  |  |
|  | 2 Q1072 |  |  |
| Open Room |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Weal | Bourke | Yule | Beech |
|  |  | 12(STR) | Pass |
| 18 | 14 | $2 \vee$ | 24 |
| $4 \bigcirc$ | All Pass |  |  |
|  | Closed Room |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Beale | Schwartz | Smart | Barrack |
|  |  | 18 | Pass |
| 34(SPL) | Pass | 40 | Pass |
| 4* | Pass | 68 | All Pass |

Playing in $4 \checkmark$, Weal made five on a spade lead when she took the trump finesse and got a trump return. Smart, declaring the excellent $6 \vee$, also got a spade lead. She too took the trump finesse, and the trump return left her a trick short. Alas, a trump to the ace makes the slam in comfort on two-one trumps. Plus 650 for Weal, minus 100 for Smart. 13 IMPs to New Zealand, 49-8.

"Look here, it's a tiny message. It says, 'Help, I'm being held captive in a sushi restaurant.'"

| Bd: 12 | North |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dir: West | ¢ 10842 |  |
| Vul: N/S | $\checkmark$ AQJ94 |  |
|  | $\checkmark 10$ |  |
|  | West K87 |  |  |
|  |  |  | East |
| ¢ 7 |  | - KJ965 |
| $\checkmark$ K106 |  | $\bigcirc 852$ |
| $\diamond$ A3 |  | $\diamond 876$ |
| \& AJ96542 |  | Q Q3 |
| South |  |  |
| - AQ3 |  |  |
| $\bigcirc 73$ |  |  |
| $\diamond$ KQJ9542 |  |  |
| \& 10 |  |  |
| Open Room |  |  |
| West N | North | East South |
| Weal B | Bourke | Yule Beech |
| 2\% P | Pass | 3\% 3 |
| 4\% D | DBL | All Pass |
|  | Close | d Room |
| West N | North | East South |
| Beale S | Schwartz | Smart Barrack |
| 1\% 1 | $1 \bigcirc$ | 1s 2 |
| 30 P | Pass | Pass 3 3 |
| All Pass |  |  |

With both major-suit kings onside, and the $\diamond A$ with the singleton spade, there are eleven tricks available in diamonds. Emma Barrack, with her lovely $¥ 6000$ white sheepskin rug on her lap, took them; plus 150. I like Yule's 3\% and Weal's re-raise with a seventh trump doesn't look unreasonable. Nonetheless, Bourke's double, whatever it meant (they do not play responsive doubles at this level although North has one this time), netted 500 points. Three down. 8 IMPs to Australia, 17-49.


| Bd: 13 | North |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DIr: North | - 98652 |  |  |
| Vul: Both | $\bigcirc 83$ |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ J1053 |  |  |
|  | -96 |  |  |
| West |  |  | East |
| - QJ10 |  |  | AK73 |
| $\bigcirc$ AQ107 |  |  | 654 |
| $\diamond$ AQ4 |  |  | 82 |
| - 1072 |  |  | J543 |
| South |  |  |  |
| - 4 |  |  |  |
| $\bigcirc \mathrm{KJ92}$ |  |  |  |
| $\diamond$ K976 |  |  |  |
| * AKQ8 |  |  |  |
| Open Room |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Weal | Bourke | Yule | Beech |
|  | Pass | Pass | $1 \diamond$ |
| 1NT | All Pass |  |  |
|  | Close | d Room |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Beale | Schwartz | Smart | Barrack |
|  | Pass | Pass | 1\%(STR) |
| Pass | $1 \diamond(\mathrm{Neg})$ | Pass | 1NT |
| Pass | $2 \bigcirc(1)$ | Pass | 24 |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

I'm not sure why Yule did not try for game. Beech threw two hearts on the run of the spades, so Weal made four; plus 180.

If the South hand must be opened with a strong club, I think the system stinks. Colker-san says that I must come out my shell and tell you what I really think. Sorry, Ems. You could almost see it coming: rebid 18 artificial, $19+$ so unavailable, rebid a minor and get the lengths wrong, rebid 1NT and have partner bid spades. I'm all for bidding notrump with bad patterns but only when it's necessary. $1 \diamond$, even with another queen, will work much better. 2s went two down; minus 200. Just 1 IMP to Australia, however, 18-49.

"Yes, it was pretty awful defense."

| Bd: 14 | North |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DIr: East <br> Vul: None | ¢ KJ876 |  |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ K63 |  |  |
|  | $\diamond 10875$ |  |  |
|  | \% 5 |  |  |
| West |  | East |  |
| - A32 |  | , Q4 |  |
| $\checkmark$ AJ1094 |  | $\bigcirc$ Q75 |  |
| $\checkmark$ A2 |  |  | KJ6 |
| - KJ8 | \& AQ973 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | $\bigcirc 82$ |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ Q943 |  |  |
|  | -10642 |  |  |
| Open Room |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Weal | Bourke | Yule | Beech |
|  |  | $1 \diamond(0+\diamond)$ | Pass |
| 18 | 19 | 1NT | Pass |
| 20* | Pass | $2 \diamond^{*}$ | Pass |
| 2 ${ }^{*}$ | Pass | 2s* | Pass |
| 2NT* | Pass | 3e* | Pass |
| $3{ }^{*}$ | Pass | $3{ }^{*}$ | Pass |
| 31 | Pass | 4NT* | Pass |
| 5 | All Pass |  |  |
|  | Closed Room |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Beale | Schwartz | Smart | Barrack |
|  |  | 1NT | Pass |
| $2 \diamond(\vee)$ | Pass | $2 \bigcirc$ | Pass |
| 3NT | Pass | $4 \bigcirc$ | Pass |
| $4{ }^{4}$ | Pass | 4NT | Pass |
| 68 | All Pass |  |  |

If you're going to play $6 \vee$, you'd like to play it from the side where the spade lead won't threaten the contract. As it happens, this is again somewhat random when different notrump ranges are being played, and Beale-Smart, who bid the slam, got there from the "wrong" side. It's a fine slam anyway, of course, since the 8 K might be onside if the spades go badly on the go, and here, when Schwartz did not double 4e, Barrack led a reasonable diamond; plus 980. In the other room, where Weal-Yule would have played from the "right" side with the spade threat (again randomly) revealed, Weal knew a lot about her partner's hand after relaying five times: exact patter, 3 controls, high honors everywhere, no second high honor in spades. Not enough to bid slam with complete confidence, however.

Plus 480. 11 IMPs to Australia, 29-49.

| Bd: 16 | North |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dlr: West | ¢ AJ872 |  |  |
| Vul: E/W | $\checkmark$ AQ8 |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ K83 |  |  |
|  | ¢ 82 |  |  |
| West |  | East |  |
| - 65 |  | - 3 |  |
| $\checkmark$ K10973 |  | $\bigcirc$ J642 |  |
| $\diamond 2$ |  | $\checkmark$ AJ10974 |  |
| \% KQJ105 |  | $\cdots$ A3 |  |
| South |  |  |  |
| ¢ KQ1094 |  |  |  |
| $\bigcirc 5$ |  |  |  |
| $\checkmark$ Q65 |  |  |  |
| ¢ 9764 |  |  |  |
| Open Room |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Weal | Bourke | Yule | Beech |
| 18 | 14 | $3 \diamond(\bigcirc+\diamond)$ | 49 |
| Pass | Pass | 5\% | 5 |
| DBL | All Pass |  |  |
|  | Close | d Room |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Beale | Schwartz | Smart | Barrack |
| Pass | 14. | $2 \diamond$ | 49 |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

Against 5 doubled, Yule led a heart, so Bourke was out for one down; minus 100. Against 4e, Smart had to do something important to defeat the contract, and she was equal to the occasion, leading $\diamond \mathrm{A}$, diamond. She overtook the $\& \mathrm{~K}$ with the ace and gave Beale another diamond ruff, and the 2 was the second undertrick; minus 100. No swing.

"Arrrggh! It still, doesn't add up!"

| Bd: 17 | North |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DIr: North | - 65 |  |  |
| Vul: None | $\bigcirc$ AQJ105 |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ Q963 |  |  |
|  | - A 6 |  |  |
| West | East |  |  |
| ¢ J84 | - KQ109 |  |  |
| $\bigcirc 63$ | $\bigcirc 9742$ |  |  |
| $\checkmark$ J8742 | $\checkmark 5$ |  |  |
| -874 |  |  | KQ53 |
| South |  |  |  |
| ¢ A732 |  |  |  |
| $\bigcirc$ K8 |  |  |  |
| $\checkmark$ AK10 |  |  |  |
| - J1092 |  |  |  |
| Open Room |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Weal | Bourke | Yule | Beech |
|  | 18 | 14 | 2\% |
| Pass | 2 | Pass | 2 |
| Pass | 30 | Pass | 4NT |
| Pass | 5 | All Pass |  |
| Closed Room |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Beale | Schwartz | Smart | Barrack |
|  | 18 | Pass | 14 |
| Pass | $2 \diamond$ | Pass | 3NT |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

Were Bourke and Beech on the same page on this one? $5 \triangleleft$ made on the nose when Yule showed out on the second diamond, leaving a proven finesse for the jack. 3NT, in no jeopardy, made five too. No swing.

| Bd: 18 DIr: East Vul: N/S | North |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ¢ K953 |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ A10952 |  |
|  | $\checkmark 10$ |  |
|  | \% J104 |  |
| West <br> - 2 <br> $\bigcirc$ KJ73 <br> $\diamond$ AJ9762 <br> * KQ |  | East |
|  |  | ¢ 10764 |
|  |  | $\bigcirc$ Q64 |
|  |  | $\checkmark 853$ |
|  |  | - 462 |
|  | South |  |
|  | ¢ AQJ8 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc 8$ |  |
|  | $\diamond$ KQ4 |  |
|  | 98753 |  |


| Open Room |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| West | North | East | South |
| Weal | Bourke | Yule | Beech |
|  |  | Pass | 120 |
| $1 \diamond$ | 18 | Pass | 14 |
| 2 | 34 | All Pass |  |
| Closed Room |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Beale | Schwartz | Smart | Barrack |
|  |  | Pass | $1 \diamond(1+\diamond)$ |
| Pass | 18 | Pass | 1NT |
| Pass | $2 \%($ INQ $)$ | Pass | 2 |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

Better to be in 2s than three. But in the higher partscore, Beech made four on ace and another diamond; plus 170. In 24, Barrack made two after two rounds of clubs and (if the record is correct) a switch to the $\diamond$ J. Plus 110. 2 IMPs to Australia, 31-49.

| Bd: 19 | North |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DIr: South | ¢ 763 |  |  |
| Vul: E/W | $\bigcirc$ A93 |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ Q6 |  |  |
|  | ¢ A7 |  |  |
| West |  |  | East |
| - K4 |  |  | ¢ 10982 |
| $\bigcirc 8742$ |  |  | $\bigcirc$ KJ10 |
| $\checkmark 109754$ |  |  | $\checkmark 82$ |
| * K8 |  |  | d1092 |
|  | South |  |  |
|  | ¢ AQJ5 |  |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ Q65 |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ AKJ3 |  |  |
|  | \& Q3 |  |  |
|  | Open Room |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Weal | Bourke | Yule | Beech |
|  |  |  | $1 \diamond$ |
| Pass | 24 | Pass | 2 |
| Pass | 2NT | Pass | 4NT |
| Pass | 5 | Pass | 6NT |
| All Pass |  |  |  |
|  | Closed Room |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Beale | Schwartz | Smart | Barrack |
|  |  |  | 1\%(STR) |
| Pass | 1NT | Pass | 2s* |
| Pass | 32 | Pass | 3NT |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

It looks as if Beech's 4NT was quantitative, but Bourke showed aces. 6NT was a dreadful contract and went three down on a spade lead when the only card right for declarer was the 8 K ; minus 150.

That left an opening for New Zealand and it looked like a big loss to Australia when Schwartz-Barrack finished safely in 3NT. Safe, schmafe. With 29 combined HCP, you'd usually be laughing in 3NT, but here there is no ninth trick on top and enough lurking danger to beat you if you choose the wrong suit to attack. Schwartz lost the J to the king at trick one, and Beale did well not to switch to hearts, playing back a spade to the six, nine (an essential play to leave declarer a guess in spades), queen.

Diamond to the queen, club to the queen and king (deuce from Smart), diamond. Schwartz ducked a club to Smart, who returned the 2 . Schwartz went wrong now, calling for the ace. She cashed her diamonds, throwing two hearts. That enabled Smart to blank the PK . She played it perforce under the $\vee \mathrm{A}$, but Schwartz, down to nothing but clubs, had to give Smart the rest for one down; minus 50. 3 IMPs to New Zealand, 52-31.

And so it ended, with a 52-31 Kiwi victory, 19-11 in VP.

Baaaah, humbug.

## PASSING COMMENT

## by "Bondage"

| Bd: 20 | North |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DIr: West | - 962 |  |  |
| Vul: Both | $\bigcirc$ K1075 |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark 1095$ |  |  |
|  | 2. Q106 |  |  |
| West |  |  | st |
| ¢ AJ1083 |  |  | 754 |
| $\bigcirc$ AJ2 |  |  | 986 |
| $\diamond$ K |  |  | J762 |
| - J 873 |  |  | K42 |
|  | South |  |  |
|  | , KQ |  |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ Q43 |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ AQ832 |  |  |
|  | - A95 |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Mace | Lee | Jacob | Ng |
| $1 \diamond(1)$ | Pass | $1 \bigcirc(2)$ | 1NT |
| All Pass |  |  |  |
| (1) 4+ ${ }^{\text {a }}$, 12+ HCP; (2) Negative |  |  |  |



We know that kibitzers aren't meant to pass comment on the play, but does this extend to players at another table? Witness the defense on the following hand, with Lily Lee (North) and Thomas Ng (South) against Brian Mace (West) and Tom Jacob (East).

The opening lead was the $\mathbf{J}$, which ran to South's queen. Then came the $\diamond$ A, king, five, four, low heart to dummy's king, and the $\diamond 10$, jack, queen, 23 . Declarer crossed back to the $\diamond 9$ and led a club, intending to take his ace and cash out. Jacob had other ideas: After some serious thought, he rose with the king (playing partner for the ace of clubs) intending to lead one major or the other through declarer. This was not a success. South, at the next table, immediately expressed his opinion on this play by passing wind, long and loud, an opinion with which West concurred. In spirit at least.

"I'm begging you - please turn over the last trick."

## THE INDO-KIWI SHOWDOWN: NEW ZEALAND vs INDONESIA (O)

Round 20. It was getting down to the wire. The second-place Kiwis were up against the fourthplace Indonesians, and the sparks were sure to fly . . . but not immediately.

The first board saw both E/W pairs bid 4¢. When trumps split two-two, and the defense couldn't find a ruff with 8 K2 opposite $\vee A 86$, both sides chalked up plus 420.

Then came this Ittle gem.

| Bd: 2 | North |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DIr: East | - 1043 |  |
| Vul: N/S | $\bigcirc$ K854 |  |
|  | $\diamond$ Q65 |  |
|  | * K74 |  |
| West | East |  |
| ¢ AKJ | ¢ 9765 |  |
| $\bigcirc 932$ | $\bigcirc$ Q1076 |  |
| $\checkmark$ AK73 | $\diamond 94$$\bullet$ AQ3 |  |
| ¢ 1086 |  |  |
| South |  |  |
|  | - Q82 |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ AJ |  |
|  | $\diamond$ J1082 |  |
|  | \& J 952 |  |
|  | Open Room |  |
| West | North East | South |
| Crombie | Munawar Cornell | 1 Lasut |
|  | Pass | Pass |
| $1 \diamond$ | Pass 18 | Pass |
| 1 NT (1) | Pass 24 | Pass |
| $3 \bigcirc$ | Pass 3NT | All Pass |
| (1) 15-17 HCP |  |  |
|  | Closed Room |  |
| West | North East | South |
| Karwur | NewellPanlwn R | Reid |
|  | Pass | Pass |
| 1NT | Pass 20 | Pass |
| 2 - | Pass 2NT | All Pass |

In the Open Room Dwayne Crombie-Mike Cornell overbid to the poor 3NT game, while in the Closed Room Franky Karwur-Santje Panelewen stopped sensibly in 2NT. But as if to validate their opponents' bidding, the defense in the Open Room was porous. Munawar Sawirudin led the 4,3 , J 8, and Henky Lasut
returned a low club ducked around to the queen. Crombie took the spade finesse, cashed the AK and played a heart to dummy's ten and Lasut's jack. A play of either minor-suit now would have scuttled the pretentious contract (a club would set up the fifth trick for the defense immediately, while a diamond now and another when South comes in with his $\vee A$, North unblocking the queen, would lead to the same conclusion), but Lasut, as if fearful of being endplayed, cashed his $\vee A$ before exiting the $\diamond J$, giving Crombie the time to set up his ninth trick in hearts; plus 400. In the other room Peter Newell led a heart to Martin Reid's jack who shifted to the $\diamond$ J. Karwur won to play another heart to Reid's ace, and a low diamond was ducked to Newell's queen. On the diamond return Karwur pitched a spade from dummy and eventually came to eight tricks when Reid pitched spades and the club finesse came home; plus 120. 7 IMPs to New Zealand, 7-0.

| Bd: 3 | North |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DIr: South | 4 --- |  |
| Vul: E/W | $\bigcirc$ J9763 |  |
|  | $\diamond 10642$ |  |
|  | West Q753 |  |  |
|  |  |  | East |
| - Q543 |  | - AKJ72 |
| $\bigcirc 52$ |  | $\bigcirc$ KQ104 |
| $\diamond$ KQ7 |  | $\checkmark$ J8 |
| * AK64 |  | - J10 |
| South |  |  |
| . 10986 |  |  |
| $\bigcirc$ A8 |  |  |
| $\checkmark$ A953 |  |  |
| - 982 |  |  |
| Open Room |  |  |
| West | North East | South |
| Crombie | Munawar Cornell | 1 Lasut |
|  |  | Pass |
| 1NT | Pass 20 | Pass |
| 24 | Pass 44 | All Pass |
|  | Closed Room |  |
| West | North East | South |
| Karwur | NewellPanlwn R | Reid |
|  |  | $2 \diamond(1)$ |
| Pass | 3\%(2) Pass | 3 |
| All Pass |  |  |
|  |  |  |

Germ warfare at its ugly best. In the Open Room Lasut passed in first seat (who could blame him) and Crombie-Cornell had no problem getting to their spade game. When Lasut led the $\checkmark$ A eleven tricks were easy; plus 650. But in the Closed Room Karwur-Panelewen hadn't reckoned with Newell-Reid. Reid's $2 \diamond$ bid froze them like deers in the headlights of an oncoming car. When the car had passed Newell and Reid were down four at 50 per, minus 200, - not much to compensate a lost vulnerable game. 10 IMPs to New Zealand, leading 17-0.

| Bd: 4 | North |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DIr: West | 4 J862 |  |
| Vul: Both |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ Q10$\checkmark 9752$ |  |
|  | - 865 |  |
| West |  | East |
| ¢ K54 |  | AQ1093 |
| $\checkmark$ AK85 |  | J96 |
| $\checkmark 8$ |  | AQ3 |
| ¢ Q9432 |  |  |
| South |  |  |
| ¢ 7 |  |  |
| $\bigcirc 7432$ |  |  |
| $\checkmark$ KJ1064 |  |  |
| - AJ10 |  |  |
| Open Room |  |  |
| West | North East | South |
| Crombie | Munawar Cornell | Lasut |
| 1\% | Pass 14 | Pass |
| 20 | Pass 2 ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | Pass |
| 24 | Pass 44 | All Pass |
|  | Closed Room |  |
| West | North East | South |
| Karwur | NewellPanlwn R |  |
| $2 \diamond(1)$ | Pass 2NT(2) | Pass |
| $3 \bigcirc(3)$ | Pass 34(4) | Pass |
| 3NT(5) | Pass $4 \diamond(6)$ | Pass |
| 48 | Pass 4NT | Pass |
| 5 | Pass 64 | All Pass |
| (1) Precision (short $\diamond$ ); (2) Relay, FG |  |  |
| (3) 4-3-1-5; (4) Sets 4 as trumps, relay |  |  |
| (5) Min; (6) Cue-bid |  |  |

Slam on the E/W cards is certainly no picnic (with or without ants), but it's nice to see that there is a quaint sort of justice in this world. While the auction in the Closed Room is typical of the Indos' shotgun approach to bidding, one can't quarrel with success. When Reid led the
\& A against Panelewen's 64, 2, 8 (discouraging), 7 , there was still a lot of work to do, But the $\diamond 4$ shift, 8,9 Q made success a better proposition. Now Panelewen could afford to take the discovery play in trumps, provided the clubs were no worse than four-two or the $\vee Q$ was doubleton. When trumps were negotiated successfully and clubs proved to be three-three, the slam was in the bag; plus 1430.

Since good bidding is its own reward, we won't comment further on Crombie's choice of rebids in the Open Room. Even on the $\vee Q$ lead the spade game made only five; plus 650. 13 IMPs to Indonesia, trailing 13-17.

After a push on Board 5, followed by an overtrick IMP to Indonesia on Board 6 and 3 undertrick IMPs to New Zealand on Board 7, the following gem arose with New Zealand leading 20-14.


We must admit that we would have chosen different actions at both our second and third
turns with the West hand than were taken in the Open Room. An immediate raise of clubs (how high and how strong is debatable). But even holding five trumps doesn't make the third-round double appeal to us. Conversely, the spade weakness makes a probe for 6\% quite attractive. Although Crombie's double did net him plus 500, it was pawltry compensation for what happened (and what might have happened if Panelewen had chosen to redouble) in the Closed Room. Karwur and Panelewen's plus 650 brought 4 insufficient IMPs to Indonesia, to close to 18-20.

A string of small swings occurred on Boards 914, making the score New Zealand 33, Indonesia 23, when this wild affair arose.

| Bd: 15 <br> DIr: South Vul: N/S | North |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | - Q10 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ AJ10865 |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ J8432 |  |
| West | East |  |
| - AJ863 K97542 |  |  |
| $\bigcirc 93$ ¢ K |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| South |  |  |
| - --- 742 |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \diamond \text { Q742 } \\ & \diamond \text { Q7 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| Open Room |  |  |
| West | North | East South |
| Crombie | Munawar | Cornell Lasut |
|  |  | 12 |
| Pass | 18 |  |
| Pass | 4 | Pass Pass |
| 4. | DBL All Pass |  |
| Closed Room |  |  |
| West | Newelllanalwn Reid |  |
| Karwur |  |  |
|  |  | 18 (canape) |
| Pass | $4 \bigcirc$ | 4* 5* |
| 5 | 69 | Pass Pass |
| 6 | DBL | All Pass |

Munawar's double of 4s comes in last on our list of actions warranted by the North hand. 4NT (a general slam try), $6 \triangleleft$ (let them guess who can make what) and 5 are all reasonable. Even a pass would be acceptable if we thought it was
forcing (which it isn't). So it's no surprise to us that Cornell scored up 650. The auction in the Closed Room was more in accord with what we believe the North and West hands are worth. East has a tough decision over $6 \gtrdot$. One of us has a very slight preference for pass, but that double is certainly reasonable, while the other thinks double is clearly superior. This just underscores our belief in the wisdom of North bidding to the six-level. Of course Panelewen had no reason to expect Karwur to save again once he (presumably) pushed N/S beyond their level. The minus 300 he got for his effort was another appropriate touch of justice dealt by the Great Shuffler. 13 well-judged IMPs to New Zealand, 46-23.

| Bd: 17 | North |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DIr: North | $\perp \mathrm{A} 4$ |  |
| Vul: None | $\bigcirc 975$ |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \diamond \text { AK2 } \\ & \& \text { AK1062 } \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  |  |  |
| West | East |  |
| ¢ Q1085 | - J92 |  |
| $\bigcirc$ Q106 | $\bigcirc$ J843 |  |
| $\diamond$ QJ87 | $\diamond 10654$ |  |
| ¢ Q8 |  | ¢ 74 |
|  | South |  |
|  | ¢ K763 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ AK2 |  |
|  | $\checkmark 93$ |  |
|  | ¢ 1953 |  |
|  | Open Room |  |
| West | North | East South |
| Crombie | Munawar | Cornell Lasut |
|  | 1\% | Pass 14 |
| Pass | 2NT | Pass 3\% |
| Pass | $3 \diamond$ | Pass 4NT |
| All Pass |  |  |
|  | Closed Room |  |
| West | North | East South |
| Karwur | NewellPanlwn Reid |  |
|  | 120 | Pass 14 |
| Pass | 1NT | Pass 20 |
| Pass | $2 \diamond$ | Pass 3\% |
| Pass | $3 \diamond$ | Pass 38 |
| Pass | 3 | Pass 4\% |
| Pass | $4 \diamond$ | Pass 44 |
| Pass | 69 | All Pass |

After a game push on Board 16 came this swing board. In the Open Room Munawar-Lasut had
an (effectively) natural auction to 4NT; plus 460. In the Closed Room the Kiwis took seven rounds of bidding to arrive at the very reasonable (we've been in far worse) 6e. Newell's 1\% was big, and Reid's 1s was positive: either balanced or a heart one-suiter. The rest of the auction seems to indicate an 18-plus notrump by North and a version of Stayman by South. Plus 920 added another 10 IMPs to New Zealand's total, leading 56-23.

New Zealand overbid to game on the next board and Indonesia picked up 5 IMPs, to trail 28-56. The final board saw New Zealand bid a cold slam (twelve top tricks) that Indonesia stayed out of to pick up another 12 IMPs and win the contest 68-28.

This would be a tune up for the head-to-head match between these two teams in the SemiFinals, which started last night. "Step right up and put your money on the table."

## VICTORY DINNER PROGRAM

The Victory Dinner is a dark-suit affair. The Banquet Room will open at 8:00 p.m. and all players should take their seats by $8: 15$.

8:30 Prince Hitachi will arrive.
8:35 Kagami-wari Ceremony: a traditional Japanese ceremony to celebrate a special occasion by opening sake barrel(s).
8:38 Toast followed by dinner.
9:08 Shishimai (Lion Dance). The Lion Dance is performed by a single person with a lion's mask carrying a drum, or by two or more people in line with the leader carrying a lion's head and the remaining dancers covered with a strip of cloth forming the lion's body. The lion often accompanies a Mikoshi (a portable shrine) at festivals. The shrine is "Kami" and the lion also represents "Kami." The dance is performed for a number of reasons including: to bring a good harvest/rain, to keep away evil spirits, to prevent fire, etc. In the Spring Festival at the Ikuta Shrine in Kobe, on April 16, lions accompany a Mikoshi around the boundary of the parish (for the protection of the parishioners).


9:20 Speech by the President of the JCBL.
9:25 Address by H.I.H. Prince Hitachi.
9:29 Speech by the Governor of Hyogo Prefecture.
9:33 Speech by the Mayor of Kobe City.
9:40 Awarding of prizes.
10:21 Speech by the President of PABF followed by the representative of the Indonesian Bridge Association.
10:27 Prince Hitachi leaves.
10:30 Ceremony ends.

## END OF THE YOUTH (AS WE KNOW IT) - ROUND 19: INDONESIA vs AUSTRALIA

Those poor kids. Deprived of the right to play a knockout, they had to be content with three round robins to produce a winner. With one round to play, three teams still had a chance for the crown. Chinese Taipei led with 235 VP, with Indonesia on 229, Japan on 225. As luck would have it, none of those teams was playing one of its near-rivals.

Our Vugraph match for the final round featured Indonesia and the ever-dangerous Australia, whose 1998 PABF had been characterized by dramatic ups and downs. Mood swings, if you will. Despite the best efforts of Matthew McManus, his troops could not seem to hold a note for two matches in a row. Repeat after Matt: "Do, a deer . . ."

| Bd: 2 | North |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DIr: East | - 753 |  |  |
| Vul: N/S | ¢--- |  |  |
|  | $$ |  |  |
|  |  |  | East |
| K109862 |  |  | AJ |
| $\bigcirc$ A73 |  |  | KJ85 |
| $\diamond 3$ |  |  | QJ |
| - Q63 |  |  | J10874 |
|  | South |  |  |
|  | - Q4 |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ Q109642 |  |  |
|  | $\diamond 10972$ |  |  |
|  | \& 9 |  |  |
|  | Open Room |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Baker | Tijoe | Nunn | Gandhi |
|  |  | 18 | Pass |
| 2(NF) | DBL | Pass | $3 \diamond$ |
| All Pass 4 Pass 5 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Closed Room |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Reza | Raisin | Hidaya | Brayshaw |
|  |  | 12 | Pass |
| 19 | Pass | 1NT | Pass |
| 2. | All Pass |  |  |

The California Raisins are known for their singing. Matthew Raisin, however, is aiming for fame through silence. Colker-san notes that if anyone was going to do any raisin' on this hand, Matt's reticence deprived Paul "World" Brayshaw of the opportunity to do so. 24 made four when the defense started: $\diamond A$, $\wedge \mathrm{A}, \diamond \mathrm{K}$; plus 170. Meanwhile, Kurnia Gandhi settled for a quiet $3 \diamond$ but when Martin Tijoe volunteered to reach the four-level, he raised himself to five. Baker led the $\triangle$ A and Gandhi ruffed, played AK to throw a spade, took a club ruff, a heart a ruff, and led a spade down. Nunn won the ace and led the $\diamond$ Q but it was too late; $\diamond A$, club ruff, heart ruff, spade ruff, heart ruff, $\triangleleft \mathrm{K}$, lose a spade in the end; plus 600. 13 IMPs to Indonesia, who earned them. Well sort of. They were ahead, 132.

| Bd: 4 | North |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dlr: West | - Q108 |  |  |
| Vul: Both | $\bigcirc$ AJ7 |  |  |
|  | $\diamond 964$ |  |  |
|  | \% K932 |  |  |
| West | East |  |  |
| - AK64 | ¢ J |  |  |
| $\bigcirc$ K85 | $\bigcirc 10642$ |  |  |
| $\checkmark$ AK872 | $\checkmark 3$ |  |  |
| - 5 | South AQJ8764 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | - 97532 |  |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ Q93 |  |  |
|  | $\diamond$ QJ105 |  |  |
|  | -10 |  |  |
|  | Open Room |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Baker | Tijoe | Nunn | Gandhi |
| 12(STR) | Pass | $1 \diamond(\mathrm{Neg})$ | Pass |
| $1{ }^{1}$ | Pass | 3\% | All Pass |
|  | Closed Room |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Reza | Raisin | Hidaya | Brayshaw |
| $1 \diamond$ | Pass | 18 | Pass |
| 24 | Pass | 3* | Pass |
| 38 | Pass | 5* | Pass |
| 5 | Pass | 6\% | All Pass |

Once in a few years, you catch king-and-one club onside and the $\vee A$ onside and chalk up plus 1370. On the other hand, should you feel aggrieved to go minus 300, as Reza Muhammad and Hidayattullah did? Nah. Tony Nunn was plus 130 in 3\&, and Australia gained 10 IMPs, 12-13.

| Bd: 7 | North |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dir: South <br> Vul: Both | - 96 |  |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ AK632 |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ KJ86 |  |  |
|  | - 109 |  |  |
| West | East |  |  |
| - AQJ | -1084 |  |  |
| $\bigcirc 854$ | $\bigcirc 1097$ |  |  |
| $\checkmark 952$ | $\diamond 73$ |  |  |
| * 7542 |  |  | AKQ63 |
|  | South |  |  |
|  | - K7532 |  |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ QJ |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ AQ104 |  |  |
|  | \& J8 |  |  |
|  | Open Room |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Baker | Tijoe | Nunn | Gandhi |
|  |  |  | 14 |
| Pass | $2 \bigcirc$ | Pass | 2 |
| Pass | 2NT | Pass | $3 \diamond$ |
| Pass | 4 | All Pass |  |
|  | Closed Room |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Reza | Raisin | Hidaya | Brayshaw |
|  |  |  | 19 |
| Pass | 2 | Pass | 2NT |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

Two unattractive contracts, with the higher one going down 300, the lower 200. 3 IMPs to Australia, ahead 16-14. You'd like to play a diamond partial, or perhaps a heart partial, and on a good day, you might make $4 \bigcirc$. It's particularly awkward if you start with $2 毋$, but if you begin: 1-1NT(F1); 2 $\diamond$, what should North rebid? A quiet trump-short $3 \diamond$ ? A fit-showing $3 \checkmark$ (if you play those)? A craven $2 \checkmark$ ? Magazine editors may wish to test their victims with this combo in the future.


We believe that Gandhi should have reopened 24 with a takeout double, but when he passed, Colin Baker had his work cut out for him. Club lead, ducked to the jack, heart switch to the queen, king, ace, heart to North, heart ruffed in West, $\diamond \mathrm{A}$, diamond to South, who played a third diamond. Oops. That was one ruff and discard for Baker, who played trumps, then threw North back in with his remaining trump winner for a further ruff and discard; plus 110. How unusual.

Meanwhile, Raisin's carefree $1 \diamond$ opening got him to $3 \diamond$, which yielded plus 130. Well, once you're going to bid at all, why not $4 \bigcirc$ ? Well, of course it's pushy. But, then, so is $1 \diamond$. 6 IMPs to Australia, 22-14.


| Bd: 10 | North |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DIr: East | - A53 |  |  |
| Vul: Both | ¢A985 |  |  |
|  | $\diamond$ A83+963 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| West | East |  |  |
| ¢ KQ98 | - J10 |  |  |
| $\bigcirc 7$ | ¢ KQJ1042 |  |  |
| $\diamond$ KJ4 |  |  | 0752 |
| \% QJ1042 |  | - A |  |
|  | South |  |  |
|  | - 7642 |  |  |
|  | $\bigcirc 63$ |  |  |
|  | $\diamond$ Q96 |  |  |
|  | \% K875 |  |  |
| Open Room |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Baker | Tijoe | Nunn | Gandhi |
|  |  | 18 | Pass |
| 19(1) | Pass | 2\%(2) | Pass |
| 2NT | Pass | $3 \bigcirc$ | Pass |
| 3NT | All Pas |  |  |
| (1) 12+ HCP Relay; (2) $\diamond+\downarrow$ |  |  |  |
|  | Closed Room |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Reza | Raisin | Hidaya | Brayshaw |
|  |  | 18 | Pass |
| 14 | Pass | $2 \bigcirc$ | Pass |
| 2NT | All Pas |  |  |

Reza took nine tricks in 2NT on a low diamond lead to the nine and jack; plus 150. Baker, in 3NT, got the lead of the 6 from Tijoe. He won the ace, knocked out the $\vee \mathrm{A}$ (Tijoe won the first heart, an error), and saw a club come back to the king (Gandhi had signaled with the eight). Gandhi played a third club, so Baker took his clubs and played a spade. With the ace in North there were no problems; plus 600. 10 IMPs to Australia, ahead 32-14.

"We are a well-oiled machine."

Bd: 11 North
DIr: South A974
Vul: None $\vee$ Q3
109
AQ865

| West <br> - Q8632 |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\bigcirc 10754$ |  |
| $\diamond$ J3 |  |
| - K3 |  |
|  | South |
|  | ¢ 10 |
|  | $\bigcirc$ KJ86 |
|  | $\diamond$ Q85 |
|  | - J10974 |

Open Room

| West <br> Baker | North <br> Tijoe | East <br> Nunn | South <br> Gandhi |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Pass | $2 \boldsymbol{2}$ | $2 \triangleleft$ | DBL $^{*}$ |

All Pass
Closed Room

| West | North <br> Reza | East <br> Raisin | South <br> Hidaya |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  | Brayshaw <br> Pas |
| Pass | $2 \boldsymbol{2 s}$ | $2 \diamond$ | $4 \dot{2}$ |
| Pass | DBL | Pass |  |
| 4 | All Pass |  |  |

Tijoe's 4\% yielded an easy plus 130. Reza's 4s was touch-and-go. Raisin led the A, then shifted to the $\Delta 10$, Brayshaw's seemingly proving irrelevant to that decision. $\diamond \mathrm{A}$, spade to the nine, queen, ace. Now a heart, Matthew. No, a second club. Reza discarded a heart from dummy, won the king, went to the J , played $\diamond \mathrm{K}$, diamond ruff. Raisin overruffed, but it was all too late. He played a third club, but Reza threw dummy's 89 , ruffed in hand, led his last trump to the king, and claimed; plus 420. 11 IMPs to Indonesia, 25-32.


Portrait of the artist as a young man.

| Bd: 12 | North |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dlr: West Vul: N/S | - 2 |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ KQ10854 |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark 5$ |  |  |
|  | West |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | East |  |
| ¢ 107 |  |  | KQJ953 |
| $\bigcirc$ AJ632 |  |  |  |
| $\diamond$ J74 |  |  | 863 |
| \& KJ10 |  |  | AQ |
|  | South |  |  |
|  | - A864 |  |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$--- |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ AKQ1092 |  |  |
|  | ¢ 953 |  |  |
| Open Room |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Baker | Tijoe | Nunn | Gandhi |
| 18 | Pass | 19(1) | $2 \checkmark$ |
| Pass* | Pass | 2 | 3 |
| Pass | Pass | 34 | All Pass |
| (1) $12+\mathrm{HCP}$, INQ-R |  |  |  |
|  | Closed Room |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Reza | Raisin | Hidaya | Brayshaw |
| Pass | $2 \diamond(1)$ | 24 | Pass |
| 2NT | Pass | 3NT | All Pass |

Nunn's 3s could have been defeated. $\diamond A, \forall K$. Gandhi had to decide whether his patner held a trump. If so, he could continue with the $\diamond 10$ for him to ruff, then get a heart ruff. Gandhi played the $\diamond$ Q. Really, Tijoe should have ruffed this to play the OK since the play couldn't cost and might gain, but he did not; plus 140.

Reza's 3NT was slightly flawed, but he only discovered how serious that flaw really was when he took the opening lead of the 8 K with the ace and played a spade. Three down; minus 150.7 IMPs to Australia, 39-25.

"Take a left at the bridge . . ."

| Bd: 13 <br> DIr: North <br> Vul: Both | NorthAKQ943 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
|  | $\bigcirc 3$ |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ A652 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ |  |
| West East |  |  |
| 4 1086 ¢ J |  |  |
| $\checkmark$ KJ10 $\quad \checkmark$ AQ9765 |  |  |
| $\diamond$ K3 |  |  |
| 2. AJ542 ¢ 86 |  |  |
| South |  |  |
| - 752 |  |  |
| $\bigcirc 842$ |  |  |
| $\diamond$ J87 |  |  |
| \% KQ107 |  |  |
| Open Room |  |  |
| West | North | East South |
| Baker | Tijoe | Nunn Gandhi |
|  | 14 | $2 \checkmark$ Pass |
| $4 \bigcirc$ | All Pas |  |
|  | Clos | d Room |
| West | North | East South |
| Reza | Raisin | Hidaya Brayshaw |
|  | 14 | $2 \bigcirc$ 2s |
| $4 \bigcirc$ | 4 | Pass Pass |
| DBL | Pass | 58 Pass |
| Pass | DBL | All Pass |

Nunn, in a peaceful $4 \bigcirc$, got the lead of the 9 K. He won, took two trumps ending in hand, and led his remaining club up. Gandhi won and switched to the $\diamond \mathbf{J}$; plus 620 . Had the defenders taken their spade trick early, Gandhi would have been able to exit with his remaining trump to leave Nunn to guess the $\diamond J$. At the other table, Brayshaw's raise to 2 made it easy for his side to reach 44. Reza's double would have netted his side a 200-point penalty, but Hidayattulla, fearing 4s would make, removed to 5 , where he was doubled. Spade lead to the king, then ace of spades. Hidayattullah ruffed, led a diamond to the king and ace, won the diamond return with the queen, and ruffed away the $\diamond J$. He had to lose a club, however, and so was one down; minus 200. 13 IMPs to Australia, ahead 52-25.

| Bd: 16 | North |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dlr: West | ¢ K87 |  |  |
| Vul: E/W | $\bigcirc 942$ |  |  |
|  | $\diamond$ AK974 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| West |  |  |  |
| - J94 |  |  |  |
| $\bigcirc$ J108 | $\bigcirc 7653$ |  |  |
| $\diamond$ Q108 | $$ |  |  |
| - AJ42 |  |  |  |
| South |  |  |  |
|  | ¢ A1053 |  |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ AKQ |  |  |
|  | $\diamond 653$ |  |  |
|  | - 753 |  |  |
|  | Open Room |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Baker | Tijoe | Nunn | Gandhi |
| Pass | Pass | Pass | $1 \diamond(3+\diamond)$ |
| Pass | 1NT | All Pass |  |
|  | Closed Room |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Reza | Raisin | Hidaya | Brayshaw |
| Pass | Pass | Pass | 1NT |
| Pass | 3NT | All Pass |  |

Good spot, 1NT. Heart lead, three rounds of diamonds, Nunn discarding a heart, club shift; plus 120. Brayshaw's 3NT was not without hope, since it might be possible to lose a diamond to West, who might not play a club. Or the clubs might block. Nah, Reza led the 2 on the go. Brayshaw tried for queen-jack doubleton spade, then lost both a diamond and a spade. Two down; minus 100. 6 IMPs to Indonesia, 31-54.

Australia led 54-33 going into the last board, so it looked bleak for an Indonesian gold meda .


| Bd: 20 | North |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dlr: West | - 962 |  |  |
| Vul: Both | $\bigcirc$ K1075 |  |  |
|  | $\diamond 1095$ |  |  |
|  | * Q106 |  |  |
| West | East |  |  |
| ¢ AJ1083 |  |  |  |
| $\bigcirc$ AJ2 | $\bigcirc 986$ |  |  |
| $\diamond$ K |  |  |  |
| ¢ J 873 | K42 |  |  |
|  | South |  |  |
|  | - KQ |  |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ Q43 |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ AQ832 |  |  |
|  | \& A95 |  |  |
|  | Open Room |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Baker | Tijoe | Nunn | Gandhi |
| 14. | Pass | 24. | $3 \diamond$ |
| All Pass |  |  |  |
|  | Closed Room |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Reza | Raisin | Hidaya | Brayshaw |
| 14. | Pass | Pass | DBL |
| Pass | $2 \bigcirc$ | Pass | $3 \checkmark$ |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

Baker found the unfortunate lead of a low club against Gandhi's $3 \diamond$, and Nunn put up the king, which made life easier for declarer. $\diamond$ A, felling the king, 29 , jack, queen, $\diamond 10$, covered, diamond to the nine, spade to the king and ace, club. From here it looks as Gandhi had to take at least ten tricks, but he is credited with nine; plus 110. Reza found the infinitely more effect defense of ace and another spade. Heart to the . . . king, $\diamond 10$ to the king, third spade to force declarer. Mr World exited in hearts, but Reza took two of those and played a fourth spade. Paul discarded a club from dummy, ruffed in his hand, and finished two down; minus 200. 7 IMPs to Indonesia, who lost the match by 14 IMPs , 4054, 12-18 in VP.

Remarkably, both the other contenders lost too, Japan 12-18 to Singapore, Chinese Taipei 14-16 to Hong Kong. That left Chinese Taipei clear winners, with Indonesia edging Japan for the Silver.

## PABF OPEN TEAMS— ROUND 21: INDONESIA vs JAPAN

With two rounds remaining, Japan was in fifth place, 12 VP behind the Indonesians, who were clinging to the fourth and final qualifying position. Hong Kong was 10 VP further behind. This was a critical match for both teams, featured on Vugraph, with Geller-san and Miyakuni-san keyed up for the occasion at the commentators' desk.

| Bd: 2 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DIr: East | North |  |  |
| Vul: N/S | $\stackrel{\text { K1074 }}{ }$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| West |  |  |  |
|  | East |  |  |
| -43 |  |  | A972 |
| $\bigcirc 982$ |  |  | AJ6 |
| $\checkmark$ AQ74 |  |  | J96532 |
| - KQ74 |  |  | --- |
|  | South |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { KJ108 } \\ & \bigcirc \text { Q53 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | $10963$ |  |  |
|  | Open Room |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Waluyan | Hirata | Sacul | Hanayama |
|  |  | DBL RDBL |  | Pass |
| $1 \diamond$ |  |  |  | 14 |
| Pass |  |  | Pass |
| 4* | Pass 3 ${ }^{\text {P }}$ |  | All Pass |
|  | Closed Room |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Chen | Munawar | Abe | Lasut |
|  |  | $1 \diamond$ | Pass |
| $2 \diamond(\mathrm{~F} 1)$ | DBL | 2. | DBL |
| 3 H | Pass | 5 | DBL |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

Makoto Hirata led the $\$ 5$ against Ferdy Waluyan's $5 \diamond$, ducked to the king. Takeshi Hanayama switched to a heart to eight (a dangerous play in the suit in isolation, removing the secondary finesse against the ten), king, ace. Ferdy led a trump to the . . . ace, crediting Hirata with the $\triangleleft \mathrm{K}$ for his takeout double. One down; minus 50.

Hiroya Abe, doubled for penalty by Henky

Lasut, got a heart lead to the king and ace, then led the $\diamond J$ from hand, just as if he held the eight to go with the nine. Lasut covered. He won the ace and led the from Dummy. Munawar Sawirudin covered that. Abe no longer had to guess hearts; plus 550. 12 IMPs to Japan, 12-1.

| Bd: 3 | North |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DIr: South | ¢ J764 |  |  |
| Vul: E/W | $\bigcirc 864$ |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ A4 |  |  |
|  | 2 KQ108 |  |  |
| West | East |  |  |
| ¢ 10953 | - AQ2 |  |  |
| $\bigcirc \mathrm{K}$ | $\bigcirc$ QJ9 |  |  |
| $\checkmark$ KJ83 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \diamond 965 \\ & \text { \& } \mathrm{J} 953 \end{aligned}$ |
| \% A642 |  |  |  |
|  | South |  |  |
|  | ¢ K8 |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ A107532 |  |  |
|  | $\diamond$ Q1072 |  |  |
|  | $\bigcirc 7$ |  |  |
|  | Open Room |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Waluyan | Hirata | Sacul | Hanayama |
|  |  |  | All Pass |
|  | Closed Room |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Chen | Munawar | Abe | Lasut |
|  |  |  | Pass |
| $1 \diamond(1+\diamond)$ | Pass | 1NT | Pass |
| 2 | Pass | 2NT | Pass |
| 3\% | Pass | Pass | $3 \bigcirc$ |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

Dawei Chen was not going to enjoy himself in 3\%, but Lasut, who had waited long enough, could hardly stay out of the auction. He was not going to make $3 \bigcirc$, but Chen led a diamond; plus 140. 4 IMPs to Indonesia; 5-12.


Victim of the Sominex Coup.

| Bd: $4 \quad$ North |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dlr: West | ¢ 9 |  |  |
| Vul: Both $\bigcirc 3$ |  |  |  |
| $\diamond$ AQJ87642 |  |  |  |
| West |  |  |  |
| ¢ 1084 Q Q65 |  |  |  |
| $\bigcirc$ AQJ96542 |  |  |  |
| $\checkmark 93$ |  |  |  |
| 2- |  |  | KJ10984 |
| South |  |  |  |
| - AK732 |  |  |  |
| $\bigcirc$ K10 |  |  |  |
| $\checkmark$ K10 |  |  |  |
| - A 752 |  |  |  |
| Open Room |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Waluyan | Hirata | Sacul | Hanayama |
| $4 \bigcirc$ | 5 | Pass | 6NT |
| All Pass |  |  |  |
| Closed Room |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Chen | Munawa | Abe | Lasut |
| $4 \bigcirc$ | Pass | Pass | DBL |
| Pass | 5 | Pass | Pass |
| DBL | All Pass |  |  |

It's an old story. You have a long suit but not much in the way of high cards. You've got to decide whether to come into the auction at a high level or whether to pass and hope partner has enough shape to act. When you have both a good suit and shortage in the enemy suit, you hate to put pressure on your partner, but if you act, partner may take you seriously. Here we see the problem graphically stated. Hirata risked 5 $\diamond$ and Hanayama, with a whale of a hand, tried 6 NT , in case the 8 K needed to be protected. Waluyan found the good lead of the 4 , which destroyed Hanayama's communications and gave Denny Sacul some useful information. Hanayama took the jack with the ace and cashed the $9 K$ (club from dummy), then ran diamonds, discarding two spades, two clubs, a heart, and finally his remaining spade. Both East and West kept a high spade. Hanayama shrugged, led a club to his ace, and conceded defeat.

Munawar bit the bullet hard and passed $4 \triangle$. When Lasut was able to protect with a double, Munawar might well have bid $6 \diamond$, but once again
he adopted a conservative stance. Much to his surprise, he was doubled on the way out by Chen, who was thinking in terms of Lightner. Abe, however, was thinking in terms of a trump stack, so he led a heart. Munawar ruffed the second heart high, drew trumps, isolated the spade guard with a third-round ruff, and squeezed Abe in the black suits for an overtrick; plus 950. 14 IMPs to Indonesia, 19-12.

| Bd: 5 <br> DIr: North <br> Vul: N/S | NorthA98643 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
|  | $\bigcirc 10$ |  |
|  | $\diamond 10876$ |  |
|  | * 72 |  |
| West 72 |  | East |
| - 7 |  | - K2 |
| $\checkmark$ KJ87 |  | $\bigcirc$ Q542 |
| $\diamond$ J3 |  | $\checkmark$ AKQ94 |
| ¢ KJ9853 |  | * 104 |
| South |  |  |
|  | - QJ105 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ A963 |  |
|  | $\checkmark 52$ |  |
|  | * AQ6 |  |
| Open Room |  |  |
| West | North | East South |
| Waluyan | Hirata | Sacul Hanayama |
|  | Pass | 1NT Pass |
| 2\% | Pass | $2 \bigcirc$ Pass |
| $4 \bigcirc$ | All Pass |  |
|  | Closed | Room |
| West | North | East South |
| Chen | Munawar | Abe Lasut |
|  | Pass | $1 \diamond(1+\diamond) \quad$ DBL |
| 2\% | 24 | Pass Pass |
| 3\% | 34 | All Pass |

Sacul's strong notrump (a good piece of evaluation) worked well in theory when it got him to a good $4 \vee$ from the right side. Spade lead, diamond switch. Sacul won the jack and play 『K, heart to the queen, both ducked. A third heart was taken and a second diamond tucked declarer back in hand. Hanayama rose on the lead of the 10 and led his remaining trump to dummy's jack. When the K did not drop the queen, Sacul had to go one down; minus 50 .

Hearts were never a factor at the other table. Munawar lost two black finesses to make only 34; plus 140.3 well-deserved IMPs to Indonesia.

| Bd: 6 | North |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DIr: East | - 1083 |  |
| Vul: E/W | $\bigcirc$ A64 |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ KQ43 |  |
|  | \& Q106 |  |
| West |  |  |
| 4 J942 |  | Q765 |
| $\bigcirc 10752$ | $\wedge 8$$\diamond$ A87 |  |
| $\diamond$ J65 |  |  |
| * K4 | - A8753 |  |
| South |  |  |
|  | ¢ AK |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ KQJ93 |  |
|  | $\checkmark 1092$ |  |
|  | - J92 |  |
|  | Open Room |  |
| West | North | East South |
| Waluyan | Hirata | Sacul Hanayama |
|  |  | Pass 18 |
| Pass | 1NT(F1) | DBL Pass |
| 24 | $3 \bigcirc$ | All Pass |
|  | Close | d Room |
| West | North | East South |
| Chen | Munawar | Abe Lasut |
|  |  | Pass 18 |
| Pass | 14(1) | DBL 2\% |
| Pass | 38 | Pass $4 \bigcirc$ |
| (1) Forcing notrump equivalent |  |  |

In 3 , Hanayama got a spade lead, won, drew trumps, led a diamond to the king and ace, won the spade continuation, played on clubs, and survived when spades were four-four; plus 140. In $4 \checkmark$, Lasut got the lead of the $\diamond 5$, king, ace, deuce. A club switch would have beaten him now, but Abe returned a spade. Lasut won, drew trumps, and had the tempo. He built a club trick and finessed against the $\diamond$ J for plus 420. 7 IMPs to Indonesia, ahead 29-12. I confess that I would have been sorely tempted to bid 3NT over 3 $\triangle$, and that would turn on the opening lead and perhaps the guess in diamonds.



Hanayama led a low club against 24, ten (similar to nine from nine-eight-small on Board 2), jack, king. J to the ace, low diamond, king, high hearts to throw a diamond and a club, trump; plus 140.

Abe, in 4 doubled, got a heart lead from Lasut. He threw two clubs, then guessed diamonds late in the day to get out for one; minus 100. 6 IMPs to Indonesia, 36-14.

"It is useless to resist."


44 was too high. Hanayama lost the first four tricks, but guessed trumps to go minus 50. Lasut might have raised to 24 at little cost, but when he didn't there was no chance that his side would overcompete. Chen won the opening diamond lead and underled the ¢AK. Munawar, a seasoned veteran, played the queen and turned the card vertically in front of him before Abe followed. Lost in the stars, he misguessed the Q, however; plus 140.6 IMPs to Indonesia, 4214.

"Director, my redouble cards are missing."


With $5 \diamond$ very good and $6 \diamond$ playable, and with $4 \diamond$ reasonable enough, neither of our E/W pairs distinguished themselves. Abe had good reason to play a high diamond contract, but preferred to rebid his hearts, perhaps in the belief that he implied something useful for Chen since he had not preempted and was willing to play at the three-level opposite potential shortage. $3 \checkmark$ made five while Hanayama's 2NT went two down on the lead of the $\diamond$ K. No swing at 200 to E/W!

"I can't afford do this to Patrick . . . or can I?"


West has the wrong heart holding for five-of-aminor but it is tempting to bid it first and ask questions later, as Waluyan did. Both 5 $\diamond$ doubled and 4ad to go one down. Minus 200 and minus 50. 6 IMPs to Japan, who had not scored significantly since Board 2. Indonesia, 4320.

| Bd: 20 | North |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DIr: West | ¢ 765 |  |
| Vul: Both | $\bigcirc 542$ |  |
|  | $\diamond 973$ <br> \& A1092 |  |
| West |  | East |
| - Q43 |  | ¢ A98 |
| $\bigcirc$ KJ10 |  | $\bigcirc$ AQ3 |
| $\diamond$ KQ654 |  | $\checkmark 2$ |
| - 84 |  | K KQ7653 |
|  | South |  |
|  | - KJ102 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc 9876$ |  |


| Open Room |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| West | North | East | South |
| Waluyan | Hirata | Sacul | Hanayama |
| Pass | Pass | 2\& | DBL |
| RDBL | Pass | Pass | $2 \triangleleft$ |
| DBL | All Pass |  |  |
| Closed Room |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Chen | Munawar Abe | Lasut |  |
| Pass | Pass | 1\&(STR) | $1 \diamond(1)$ |
| DBL | Pass | $2 \dot{\varepsilon}$ | Pass |
| 3NT | All Pass |  |  |
| (1) Majors or minors |  |  |  |

Hanayama did well to take five tricks in $2 \diamond$ doubled, but minus 800 was not the result he wanted to bring back to the comparison. I like to double with the right shape, but that's going a bit too far at the two-level, vulnerable. Munawar led a spade against Chen's 3NT, ducked to the king. Lasut switched to the $\diamond J$ and Chen won, led a club to the queen, cashed two hearts and two spades, and led another club. Munawar won the ace and led a diamond over to the ace. Lasut cashed the long spade and played a heart and Munawar got a club for one down; minus 100. 14 IMPs to Indonesia, who won the match 57-$20,23-7$ in VP, cementing their hold on the fourth qualifying position.


[^0]
## THE LAST ROUND: NOTHING MUCH TO PLAY FOR

The four qualifiers in the Open Series had been determined, but it was still possible for New Zealand to catch China for first place, earning the right to choose their semifinal opponents. As it happened, China bested Indonesia 23-7, so New Zealand and Chinese Taipei were playing for drill on Vugraph.

Nonetheless, reportorial integrity forces us to mention the odd titbit from this round to ensure equal coverage and justice for all. Colker-san tells me that our Bulletin runneth over, but I scoff at him. We are the cutting edge of bridge journalism. We cannot be denied. We will seek to be paid by the word, if not by the caption.

| Bd: 5 | North |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DIr: North | - K1054 |  |
| Vul: N/S | $\bigcirc \mathrm{K} 8$ |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ Q62 |  |
|  | Q Q543 |  |
| West | East |  |
| $\triangle$ A | - 97 |  |
| $\checkmark 109652$ | $\bigcirc$ AJ74 |  |
| $\checkmark 10973$ | $\diamond \text { AJ854 }$K2 |  |
| 2 J108 |  |  |
| South |  |  |
| 4 QJ8632 |  |  |
| $\checkmark$ Q3 |  |  |
| $\diamond$ K |  |  |
| \& 4976 |  |  |
| Open Room |  |  |
| West | North | East South |
| Jacob | SR Wu | Mace CH Wu |
|  | Pass | 1\%(1) 14 |
| $3 \bigcirc$ (PRE) |  | All Pass |
| (1) $4+\bigcirc, 12+$ HCP |  |  |
| Closed Room |  |  |
| West | North | East South |
| Cheng | Newell | Kan Reid |
|  | Pass | $1 \diamond$ 1s |
| DBL* | $2 \diamond(\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{*}$ | $2 \bigcirc$ Pass |
| $4 \bigcirc$ | All Pass |  |

CH Wu stole the $\triangleleft K$ and guessed the clubs for a nice plus 170. Kao Cheng guessed clubs to make $4 『$; plus 420. 11 IMPs to Chinese Taipei, ahead 11-8.

| Bd: 15 |  |  | North |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DIr: South | ¢ 76543 |  |  |
| Vul: N/S | $\bigcirc 1087$ |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ AK |  |  |
|  | K103 |  |  |
| West |  |  | st |
| 4 Q982 |  |  | AJ10 |
| $\bigcirc$ AJ32 |  |  | Q654 |
| $\diamond$ J84 |  |  |  |
| -64 |  |  | QJ872 |
|  | South |  |  |
|  | , K |  |  |
|  | $\bigcirc \mathrm{K} 9$ |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ Q1097532 |  |  |
|  | - A95 |  |  |
|  | Open Room |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Jacob | SR Wu | Mace | CH Wu |
|  |  |  | $1 \diamond$ |
| Pass | 19 | Pass | $2 \diamond$ |
| All Pass |  |  |  |
|  | Closed Room |  |  |
| West |  |  | South |
| Cheng | Newell | Kan | Reid |
|  |  |  | $1 \diamond$ |
| Pass | 19 | Pass | $2 \diamond$ |
| Pass | Pass | DBL | RDBL |
| $2 \bigcirc$ | 3 - | Pass | Pass |
| 35 | Pass | Pass | 3NT |
| DBL | All Pass |  |  |

Wu made a peaceful plus 110 in $2 \diamond$. The closed Room auction, however, was anything but peaceful. Kan's reopening double looks unbelievably clear-cut (where was Brian Mace, we wonder?), as does Peter Newell's $3 \diamond$ (I am, of course, at a loss to explain why both he and SR Wu passed $2 \diamond$ ). Cheng's $3 \bigcirc$, however, is a sparrow of a different feather. Its effect to convince Martin Reid that it was worthwhile to try a last minute shot at 3NT, which the whole world doubled, of course.

A spade lead would have left Reid to wonder whether his "flair" bid deserved to cost him 1100 points, but Cheng led . . . a club. Reid remembered to win this in dummy, and soon had the plus 750 he had anticipated when he made his bid. 12 IMPs to New Zealand, 26-21.

| Bd: 17 | North |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DIr: North | ¢ K7654 |  |  |
| Vul: None | $\checkmark$ AQ102 |  |  |
|  | $\diamond 93$+109 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| West |  | East |  |
| - Q3 |  | -108 |  |
| $\bigcirc$ K864 |  | $\bigcirc$ J973 |  |
| $\diamond$ J8 |  |  | AQ10542 |
| * QJ653 |  | $\bigcirc$ |  |
|  | South |  |  |
|  | ¢ AJ92 |  |  |
|  | $\bigcirc 5$ |  |  |
|  | $\diamond$ K76 |  |  |
|  | - AK872 |  |  |
| Open Room |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Jacob | SR Wu | Mace | CH Wu |
|  | Pass | 2e(1) | DBL |
|  | DBL | Pass | 5\% |
| All Pass |  |  |  |
| (1) Weak, $4+\mathrm{P} / 4+\mathrm{m}, 3-8 \mathrm{HCP}$ |  |  |  |
| Closed Room |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Cheng | Newell | Kan | Reid |
|  | $1 \bigcirc(1)$ | $3 \checkmark$ | DBL |
| $4 \diamond$ | 44 | All Pa |  |
| (1) Lowest of 4+ majors |  |  |  |

Nice, easy 44 or even 64. Right? Not with the intrepid men from "Bondage" Junction at your table. Messrs Wu were three down in 5\% and wondered why this should have happened to them. Newell-Reid made short shrift of this one; plus 450. 12 IMPs to New Zealand, 43-21.

Those were the lowlights from the light-hearted last round. The Kiwis won 48-26, 20-10 in VP, and finished second in the round robin phase.

Speaking of lowlights, consider this . .


Nice outfit!

| Bd: 20 | North |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DIr: West | ¢ 9642 |  |
| Vul: Both | $\bigcirc$ J63 |  |
|  | Q10843 <br> 7 |  |
| West |  | East |
| - K10 |  | ¢ J53 |
| $\bigcirc$ AQ10854 |  | $\bigcirc 92$ |
| $\checkmark$ AK |  | $\checkmark$ J976 |
| - K106 |  | - 9853 |
|  | South |  |
|  | ¢ AQ87 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ K7 |  |
|  | $\checkmark 52$ |  |
|  | - AQJ42 |  |

Last deal of the OpenTeams for both Australia and Malaysia, who could not qualify for the semifinals. Malaysia had been pushed around by the dread NOVA system for 19 deals. Derek Maggs and Alan Sia were tired of the whole thing. "Mind if we don't play the last deal?" they asked Avon Wilsmore and Hugh Grosvenor. "Wouldn't be proper," stated Avon, correctly. "Well, then, let's pass it out," offered someone, brightly. "Can't do that," said Avon, ruefully, "We play a forcing pass system." Amid general grumpiness laced with hilarity, Wilsmore, the dealer, passed, according to his system, 17+ HCP. Pass from Maggs. With a twinkle in his eye, up popped Grosvenor . . . with a green card, breaching all discipline. And, true to his word, Alan Sia threw in the best hand he had held all session.

The Conduct Committee knows who you are, gentlemen. Do not leave town without clearing it with us.

"Not this time, sweetie."

## OPEN Series



## Round Robin-Match

This graph shows the match-by-match progress of the teams in the series. Each line in the graph has different properties (form: dashes, dots, etc.; markers: circles, boxes, etc.) and represents a different team (the legend shows which line is assigned to which team). Lines (or portions thereof) which rise more steeply indicate teams which are doing better, while lines (or portions thereof) which are flatter indicate teams which are doing more poorly. In other words, teams whose lines are near the top of the graph are doing best, while those whose lines are near the bottom are doing worst.

## LADIES Series



## Round Robin-Match

This graph shows the match-by-match progress of the teams in the series. Each line in the graph has different properties (form: dashes, dots, etc.; markers: circles, boxes, etc.) and represents a different team (the legend shows which line is assigned to which team). Lines (or portions thereof) which rise more steeply indicate teams which are doing better, while lines (or portions thereof) which are flatter indicate teams which are doing more poorly. In other words, teams whose lines are near the top of the graph are doing best, while those whose lines are near the bottom are doing worst.

## YOUTH Series



This graph shows the match-by-match progress of the teams in the series. Each line in the graph has different properties (form: dashes, dots, etc.; markers: circles, boxes, etc.) and represents a different team (the legend shows which line is assigned to which team). Lines (or portions thereof) which rise more steeply indicate teams which are doing better, while lines (or portions thereof) which are flatter indicate teams which are doing more poorly. In other words, teams whose lines are near the top of the graph are doing best, while those whose lines are near the bottom are doing worst.

## NO SMOKING ALERT!

Smoking is not permitted anywhere on the fourth floor of the Urban Gourmet Port, including the playing areas, the Secretariat, the foyer and the rest rooms. Please be considerate of others and refrain from smoking in these areas. Smoking is permitted in hotel rooms and outside.

## AIRPORT LIMOUSINE AND RETURN FLIGHT RECONFIRMATION

It is advisable to reconfirm your return flight and to reserve a seat on the airport limousine bus to Kansai International Airport (KIX) as soon as possible during your stay here. Should you require assistance, please contact the Business Center (ext. 3780) located on the second floor of the Sheraton. The Business Center's hours are 8:00 am to 7:00 pm every day.

## KYOTO ONE-DAY TOUR ON MAY 25

Two buses will leave for Kyoto at 8:30 am sharp. Registered participants are advised to be at the hotel main entrance ten minutes early. The tour includes visits to Kinkakuji (or Golden Pavilion), Heian Shrine, lunch and shopping at Kyoto Handicraft Center, Hekiunso Garden and Sanjusangendou Temple. Buses will return to the hotel by 5:30 pm. Anyone interested in this trip must reconfirm as soon as possible at the Secretariat. Those wishing to leave the buses and travel around Kyoto on their own are advised to declare their intentions in advance.

## DELAYS IN ROOM SERVICE

The hotel advises that, due to the expected heavy use of room service during this tournament, there may be delays in food delivery. Please be patient.

## ACCESS BETWEEN HOTEL AND PLAYING AREA

Be advised that there are two access routes between the Kobe Bay Sheraton Hotel and the Urban Gourmet Port Building. One is located on the fourth floor and the other on the second floor.

## COMPLIMENTARY BUS SHUTTLE TO SANNOMIYA OR SHIN KOBE

The Kobe Bay Sheraton offers complimentary shuttle bus service between the hotel and Sannomiya or Shin Kobe. Tickets may be obtained at the desk on the fourth floor of the Urban Gourmet Port Building. Schedules are available in your room, guest packet, the hotel Business Center, or the desk.

## SPORTS PUB ARENA

The Sports Pub Arena will return to its normal 11:00 pm closing time beginning May 18.

## CONTACTING THE PABF SECRETARIAT

The Secretariat, located in the I-Hall on the $4^{\text {th }}$ floor of the Urban Gourmet Port, can be contacted as follows: From your hotel room dial 6060 or 6061; from the Kobe City area dial 857-5585; from outside the City area dial 078-857-5585; and from overseas (for our Internet readers) dial +81-78-857-5585 (voice) or +81-78-857-5584 (fax).

## DISCOUNT ON USE OF TENNIS COURTS

The Bay Club on the $5^{\text {th }}$ floor of the Kobe Bay Sheraton Hotel is offering $50 \%$ off tennis court rentals. Please book all reservations directly to \#3891 in order to receive the discount. (Note: rental items do not qualify for the discount.)

## OPEN (2nd RR Match 10)

|  |  | NEW ZEALAND |  | CH. TAIPEI |  | CHINA |  | INDONESIA |  | HONG KONG, CH |  | JAPAN |  | AUSTRALIA |  | MALAYSIA |  | MACAU |  | PHILIPPINES |  | SINGAPORE |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No | DATUM | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{S}$ | E-W | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{S}$ | E-W | N-S | E-W | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{S}$ | E-W | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{S}$ | E-W | N-S | E-W | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{S}$ | E-W | N-S | E-W | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{S}$ | E-W | N-S | E-W | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{S}$ | E-W |
| 1 | 420 | -1 | 0 | 0 | -2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | -1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | -1 | 0 | -1 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 2 | -250 | 3 | 5 | 6 | -3 | -5 | -3 | -7 | -7 | 3 | -6 | 7 | 7 | -4 | 7 | 7 | 4 | -7 | 4 | 0 | 0 | -4 | -7 |
| 3 | 80 | 2 | -2 | 2 | 11 | 2 | -2 | 2 | 2 | -11 | -2 | -2 | -2 | 2 | 4 | -4 | -2 | -4 | -2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 4 |
| 4 | 330 | -10 | 10 | 15 | -15 | -10 | 10 | 12 | 10 | 15 | -15 | -10 | -12 | -11 | 10 | -5 | -5 | -10 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 5 |
| 5 | -30 | 5 | 2 | -2 | -2 | -2 | -5 | 5 | -2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | -5 | -9 | 5 | 8 | 5 | -5 | 9 | 0 | 0 | -5 | -8 |
| 6 | 120 | 7 | 6 | 7 | 5 | -6 | -7 | 7 | -1 | -5 | -7 | 1 | -7 | -5 | -2 | -5 | 5 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 0 | -5 | 5 |
| 7 | 620 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | -1 | -2 | 0 | 1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | -1 | 0 | -1 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 8 | -430 | 0 | 0 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -1 | -1 |
| 9 | 340 | 3 | -3 | -9 | 10 | 3 | -3 | 3 | -4 | -10 | 9 | 4 | -3 | 4 | -3 | 3 | 9 | 3 | -4 | 0 | 0 | -9 | -3 |
| 10 | 50 | 3 | -3 | 2 | -3 | 3 | -3 | -4 | 4 | 3 | -2 | -4 | 4 | 12 | 4 | 3 | 6 | -4 | -12 | 0 | 0 | -6 | -3 |
| 11 | -110 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | -4 | 5 | 1 | -10 | 0 | -1 | -5 | 6 | 0 | -7 | 0 | 0 | -6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| 12 | 100 | 1 | 5 | 1 | -1 | -5 | -1 | 1 | 5 | 1 | -1 | -5 | -1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -1 |
| 13 | 250 | -3 | 8 | -3 | -9 | -8 | 3 | -8 | 8 | 9 | 3 | -8 | 8 | 6 | 3 | 9 | -9 | -3 | -6 | 0 | 0 | 9 | -9 |
| 14 | -430 | -10 | 1 | 1 | 10 | -1 | 10 | 6 | -6 | -10 | -1 | 6 | -6 | 6 | 0 | -1 | 0 | 0 | -6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 15 | -520 | 1 | -2 | -9 | -2 | 2 | -1 | -9 | 9 | 2 | 9 | -9 | 9 | 1 | -2 | 11 | -11 | 2 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 11 | -11 |
| 16 | -620 | 0 | -10 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 17 | 100 | -4 | 0 | 0 | -1 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | -2 | 0 | 4 | 2 | -1 | 0 | 0 | -4 | 0 |
| 18 | 860 | 11 | -11 | -4 | -11 | 11 | -11 | -5 | 5 | 11 | 4 | -5 | 5 | -5 | -11 | -14 | 14 | 11 | 5 | 0 | 0 | -14 | 14 |
| 19 | 10 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 7 | -2 | -3 | -2 | -5 | -7 | -3 | 5 | 2 | 5 | 3 | -2 | 3 | -3 | -5 | 0 | 0 | -3 | 2 |
| 20 | -320 | -7 | -11 | 9 | 5 | 11 | 7 | 9 | 10 | -5 | -9 | -10 | -9 | -7 | 7 | 11 | 7 | -7 | 7 | 0 | 0 | -7 | -11 |
|  | IMP + | 45 | 40 | 47 | 59 | 42 | 35 | 50 | 56 | 49 | 28 | 26 | 35 | 46 | 45 | 54 | 58 | 20 | 41 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 40 |
|  | IMP - | 35 | 42 | 28 | 49 | 40 | 45 | 35 | 26 | 59 | 47 | 56 | 50 | 41 | 20 | 40 | 27 | 45 | 46 | 0 | 0 | 58 | 54 |

OPEN (2nd RR Match 11)

|  |  | NEW ZEALAND |  | CH. TAIPEI |  | CHINA |  | INDONESIA |  | HONG KONG, CH |  | JAPAN |  | AUSTRALIA |  | MALAYSIA |  | MACAU |  | PHILIPPINES |  | SINGAPORE |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No | DATUM | N -S | E-W | N-S | E-W | N-S | E-W | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{S}$ | E-W | N-S | E-W | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{S}$ | E-W | N-S | E-W | N-S | E-W | N-S | E-W | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{S}$ | E-W | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{S}$ | E-W |
| 1 | -70 | 3 | 1 | -1 | -3 | 1 | 1 | -1 | -1 | 1 | 1 | -1 | -1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | -3 | -2 | 2 | -2 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | 120 | 5 | -2 | 2 | -5 | -6 | 2 | -2 | 6 | 2 | 7 | -7 | -2 | 2 | 6 | -6 | -2 | 11 | -5 | 5 | -11 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 | -30 | -2 | 2 | -2 | 2 | -1 | -4 | 4 | 1 | -1 | 1 | -1 | 1 | -1 | 2 | -2 | 1 | 11 | -4 | 4 | -11 | 0 | 0 |
| 4 | -550 | -3 | 3 | -3 | 3 | -3 | -12 | 12 | 3 | 12 | 2 | -2 | -12 | -3 | 3 | -3 | 3 | -3 | 3 | -3 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| 5 | -70 | -8 | -6 | 6 | 8 | -2 | 8 | -8 | 2 | 5 | -5 | 5 | -5 | -8 | 4 | -4 | 8 | 6 | -6 | 6 | -6 | 0 | 0 |
| 6 | 60 | -3 | 3 | -3 | 3 | 2 | 3 | -3 | -2 | 2 | 3 | -3 | -2 | -4 | 3 | -3 | 4 | 8 | -8 | 8 | -8 | 0 | 0 |
| 7 | 50 | 3 | -3 | 3 | -3 | 3 | 12 | -12 | -3 | 3 | 4 | -4 | -3 | 3 | -3 | 3 | -3 | -6 | 2 | -2 | 6 | 0 | 0 |
| 8 | -40 | 4 | 2 | -2 | -4 | 0 | 2 | -2 | 0 | -3 | -3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | -2 | -3 | 4 | 2 | -2 | -4 | 0 | 0 |
| 9 | 60 | 1 | -1 | 1 | -1 | -5 | -1 | 1 | 5 | -8 | -1 | 1 | 8 | 1 | 3 | -3 | -1 | 8 | -1 | 1 | -8 | 0 | 0 |
| 10 | -20 | -2 | -3 | 3 | 2 | -2 | -3 | 3 | 2 | -2 | 2 | -2 | 2 | 4 | -4 | 4 | -4 | -2 | 3 | -3 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| 11 | -30 | 2 | -5 | 5 | -2 | 10 | 1 | -1 | -10 | -3 | 2 | -2 | 3 | -3 | 5 | -5 | 3 | 2 | 1 | -1 | -2 | 0 | 0 |
| 12 | -70 | -1 | 1 | -1 | 1 | 5 | -3 | 3 | -5 | -1 | 1 | -1 | 1 | -2 | 4 | -4 | 2 | -4 | -5 | 5 | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| 13 | -630 | 1 | 0 | 0 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | -4 | 0 | 13 | -13 | 0 | 0 | 1 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 14 | -100 | 4 | -5 | 5 | -4 | 4 | -2 | 2 | -4 | -1 | 1 | -1 | 1 | -1 | 9 | -9 | 1 | -5 | 2 | -2 | 5 | 0 | 0 |
| 15 | 410 | 8 | 7 | -7 | -8 | 6 | -5 | 5 | -6 | 6 | 11 | -11 | -6 | 6 | 11 | -11 | -6 | 5 | 7 | -7 | -5 | 0 | 0 |
| 16 | -120 | 6 | -1 | 1 | -6 | -1 | 10 | -10 | 1 | 14 | 1 | -1 | -14 | -1 | 1 | -1 | 1 | -2 | 1 | -1 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| 17 | 390 | 2 | 11 | -11 | -2 | 3 | -2 | 2 | -3 | 2 | 10 | -10 | -2 | 2 | -2 | 2 | -2 | 3 | -1 | 1 | -3 | 0 | 0 |
| 18 | -10 | 2 | -3 | 3 | -2 | 12 | 3 | -3 | -12 | -10 | 5 | -5 | 10 | 2 | -2 | 2 | -2 | -4 | -4 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| 19 | -100 | -2 | -2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 2 | -2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | -2 | 0 | -2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 20 | 40 | 2 | 4 | -4 | -2 | 2 | -2 | 2 | -2 | 2 | 4 | -4 | -2 | 2 | 1 | -1 | -2 | 2 | 8 | -8 | -2 | 0 | 0 |
|  | IMP + | 43 | 34 | 31 | 21 | 48 | 44 | 34 | 20 | 53 | 55 | 9 | 29 | 30 | 67 | 11 | 23 | 60 | 32 | 36 | 28 | 0 | 0 |
|  | IMP - | 21 | 31 | 34 | 43 | 20 | 34 | 44 | 48 | 29 | 9 | 55 | 53 | 23 | 11 | 67 | 30 | 28 | 36 | 32 | 60 | 0 | 0 |

LADIES (3rd RR Match 6)

|  |  | CHINA |  | AUSTRALIA |  | INDONESIA |  | JAPAN |  | NEW | ZEALAND | CH. TAIPEI |  | KOREA |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No | DATUM | N -S | E-W | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{S}$ | E-W | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{S}$ | E-W | N -S | E-W | N -S | E-W | N -S | E-W | N -S | E-W |
| 1 | 430 | -1 | -1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | -1 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | -70 | -2 | -5 | 2 | -2 | 5 | 2 | 2 | -2 | -8 | 2 | 0 | 0 | -2 | 8 |
| 3 | 120 | -3 | -7 | -5 | -2 | 7 | 3 | 2 | 5 | 1 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | -1 |
| 4 | 830 | 12 | 14 | -14 | 6 | -14 | -12 | -6 | 14 | 12 | -11 | 0 | 0 | 11 | -12 |
| 5 | -130 | 1 | -10 | 1 | -1 | 10 | -1 | 1 | -1 | -2 | 10 | 0 | 0 | -10 | 2 |
| 6 | 170 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 6 | -1 | 0 | -6 | -6 | -6 | -6 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 6 |
| 7 | 610 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -2 |
| 8 | -420 | 0 | 0 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 6 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | -6 |
| 9 | 330 | 4 | -3 | 4 | 9 | 3 | -4 | -9 | -4 | 4 | 10 | 0 | 0 | -10 | -4 |
| 10 | -70 | 5 | -5 | -2 | 1 | 5 | -5 | -1 | 2 | -4 | 2 | 0 | 0 | -2 | 4 |
| 11 | -160 | -6 | -1 | 5 | -1 | 1 | 6 | 1 | -5 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | -1 | -1 |
| 12 | 130 | 0 | 0 | -1 | 6 | 0 | 0 | -6 | 1 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 13 | 200 | -2 | -9 | -1 | 7 | 9 | 2 | -7 | 1 | -2 | -3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 2 |
| 14 | -280 | 5 | 12 | 8 | 4 | -12 | -5 | -4 | -8 | -5 | -4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 5 |
| 15 | -460 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 16 | -380 | 14 | 6 | -6 | 6 | -6 | -14 | -6 | 6 | 5 | -7 | 0 | 0 | 7 | -5 |
| 17 | 60 | 1 | 4 | 1 | -3 | -4 | -1 | 3 | -1 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | -3 | -2 |
| 18 | 860 | 11 | 5 | -5 | -11 | -5 | -11 | 11 | 5 | -5 | 5 | 0 | 0 | -5 | 5 |
| 19 | 50 | 2 | -4 | -3 | -4 | 4 | -2 | 4 | 3 | -3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | -3 | 3 |
| 20 | -130 | -10 | -6 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 10 | 0 | -6 | 6 | 11 | 0 | 0 | -11 | -6 |
|  | IMP + | 56 | 42 | 35 | 46 | 51 | 24 | 24 | 38 | 39 | 47 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 36 |
|  | IMP - | 24 | 51 | 38 | 24 | 42 | 56 | 46 | 35 | 36 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 47 | 39 |

LADIES (3rd RR Match 7)

|  |  | CHINA |  | AUSTRALIA |  | INDONESIA |  | JAPAN |  | NEW | ZEALAND | CH. TAIPEI |  | KOREA |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No | DATUM | N-S | E-W | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{S}$ | E-W | N-S | E-W | N-S | E-W | N-S | E-W | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{S}$ | E-W | N-S | E-W |
| 1 | -90 | -1 | 1 | -1 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | -4 | -2 | -1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | 90 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | -7 | 0 | 5 | 1 | -1 | -5 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 | -100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | -6 | -2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| 4 | -520 | -4 | 4 | -4 | 4 | -4 | -12 | 12 | 4 | 8 | 3 | -3 | -8 | 0 | 0 |
| 5 | 110 | 0 | -12 | 12 | 0 | -7 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 2 | 2 | -2 | -2 | 0 | 0 |
| 6 | 50 | 3 | -3 | 3 | -3 | -3 | 3 | -3 | 3 | 9 | 3 | -3 | -9 | 0 | 0 |
| 7 | 80 | 2 | 5 | -5 | -2 | 3 | -2 | 2 | -3 | -7 | -2 | 2 | 7 | 0 | 0 |
| 8 | -40 | 0 | -1 | 1 | 0 | -3 | -3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | -3 | -3 | 0 | 0 |
| 9 | 110 | -4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 3 | 6 | -6 | -3 | 9 | -3 | 3 | -9 | 0 | 0 |
| 10 | -90 | 0 | -5 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 11 | 40 | -3 | 3 | -3 | 3 | 2 | -2 | 2 | -2 | 8 | 6 | -6 | -8 | 0 | 0 |
| 12 | -50 | -6 | 2 | -2 | 6 | -2 | 2 | -2 | 2 | 4 | -4 | 4 | -4 | 0 | 0 |
| 13 | -870 | 6 | 6 | -6 | -6 | 6 | 6 | -6 | -6 | -6 | -5 | 5 | 6 | 0 | 0 |
| 14 | -120 | 2 | 1 | -1 | -2 | -1 | -5 | 5 | 1 | -1 | 1 | -1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 15 | 130 | -1 | 8 | -8 | 1 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | -11 | 11 | -1 | 0 | 0 |
| 16 | -130 | -1 | 5 | -5 | 1 | -2 | -6 | 6 | 2 | 1 | -2 | 2 | -1 | 0 | 0 |
| 17 | 470 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 18 | 100 | -5 | 15 | -15 | 5 | 11 | 0 | 0 | -11 | 12 | 7 | -7 | -12 | 0 | 0 |
| 19 | -130 | -1 | -1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | -1 | -1 | 1 | 1 | -1 | -1 | 0 | 0 |
| 20 | 140 | 0 | 1 | -1 | 0 | -6 | -10 | 10 | 6 | -1 | -2 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
|  | IMP + | 13 | 51 | 22 | 26 | 36 | 25 | 40 | 30 | 63 | 27 | 30 | 21 | 0 | 0 |
|  | IMP - | 26 | 22 | 51 | 13 | 30 | 40 | 25 | 36 | 21 | 30 | 27 | 63 | 0 | 0 |

## 38th PABF Championships: Final RR Standings

| OPEN Series | Rank | Total | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | Penalty | $1^{\text {st }}$ RR |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1.NEW ZEALAND | 2 | 368 |  | 20 | 16 | 23 | 16 | 16 | 1 | 21 | 25 | 14 | 23 |  |  |
| 2.CHINESE TAIPEI | 3 | 356 | 10 |  | 0 | 8 | 21 | 20 | 17 | 20 | 25 | 21 | 24 |  | 193 |
| 3.CHINA | 1 | 377 | 14 | 25 |  | 23 | 20 | 20 | 13 | 24 | 25 | 15 | 25 |  | 173 |
| 4.INDONESIA | 4 | 333 | 7 | 22 | 7 |  | 15 | 23 | 13 | 14 | 24 | 19 | 25 |  | 164 |
| 5.HONG KONG, CHINA | 6 | 318 | 14 | 9 | 10 | 15 |  | 25 | 18 | 20 | 15 | 13 | 23 |  | 156 |
| 6.JAPAN | 7 | 305 | 14 | 10 | 10 | 7 | 4 |  | 21 | 15 | 25 | 25 | 21 |  | 153 |
| 7.AUSTRALIA | 5 | 321 | 25 | 13 | 17 | 17 | 12 | 9 |  | 25 | 20 | 14 | 24 |  | 145 |
| 8.MALAYSIA | 8 | 267 | 9 | 10 | 6 | 16 | 10 | 15 | 4 |  | 22 | 14 | 23 |  | 138 |
| 9.MACAU | 10 | 201 | 4 | 5 | 3 | 6 | 15 | 5 | 10 | 8 |  | 21 | 13 |  | 111 |
| 10.PHILIPPINES | 9 | 233 | 16 | 9 | 15 | 11 | 17 | 0 | 16 | 16 | 9 |  | 22 |  | 102 |
| 11.SINGAPORE | 11 | 170 | 7 | 6 | 4 | 4 | 7 | 9 | 6 | 7 | 17 | 8 |  |  | 95 |


| LADIES Series | Rank | Tota | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | Penalty | $1^{\text {st }} \mathrm{RR}$ | $2^{\text {nd }}$ RR |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1.CHINA | 1 | 361 |  | 18 | 16 | 25 | 25 | 14 | 21 |  | 124 | 118 |
| 2.AUSTRALIA | 2 | 335 | 12 |  | 14 | 18 | 11 | 17 | 25 |  | 129 | 109 |
| 3.INDONESIA | 3 | 295 | 14 | 16 |  | 14 | 14 | 21 | 23 |  | 101 | 92 |
| 4.JAPAN | 5 | 257 | 1 | 12 | 16 |  | 14 | 23 | 9 |  | 97 | 85 |
| 5.NEW ZEALAND | 4 | 273 | 0 | 19 | 16 | 16 |  | 21 | 19 |  | 85 | 97 |
| 6.CHINESE TAIPEI | 6 | 181 | 16 | 13 | 9 | 7 | 9 |  | 14 |  | 39 | 74 |
| 7.KOREA | 7 | 141 | 9 | 3 | 7 | 21 | 11 | 16 |  |  | 40 | 34 |


| YOUTH Series | Rank | Tota | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | Penalty | $1^{\text {st }} \mathrm{RR}$ | $2^{\text {nd }} \mathrm{RR}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1.INDONESIA | 2 | 241 |  | 12 | 18 | 8 | 19 | 17 |  | 97 | 70 |
| 2.AUSTRALIA | 4 | 217 | 18 |  | 4 | 10 | 16 | 9 |  | 82 | 78 |
| 3.JAPAN | 3 | 237 | 12 | 25 |  | 11 | 19 | 12 |  | 78 | 80 |
| 4.CHINESE TAIPEI | 1 | 249 | 22 | 20 | 19 |  | 14 | 22 |  | 61 | 91 |
| 5.HONG KONG, CHINA | 5 | 207 | 11 | 14 | 11 | 16 |  | 18 |  | 76 | 61 |
| 6.SINGAPORE | 6 | 198 | 13 | 21 | 18 | 8 | 12 |  |  |  | 56 |


[^0]:    ". . . o'er the la-and of the free , , , and the home . . . of the . . . brave."

